

ON THE WEIGHT OF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

IBERIAN MEETING ON NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

GRANADA 2010

GRANADA, SPAIN, FEBRUARY, 3-5, 2010

FERNANDO TORRES

(WITH GILVAN OLIVEIRA AND JUAN VILLANUEVA)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTIC AND COMPUTER SCIENCES
UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS, P.O. BOX 6065, 13083-970, CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL
FTORRES AT IME.UNICAMP.BR

ABSTRACT. We investigate the weight of a family of numerical semigroups by means of even gaps and the Weierstrass property for such a family. Our motivation comes from results on double coverings of curves.

References:

- (1) G. Oliveira, F. Torres and J. Villanueva, “On the weight of numerical semigroups”, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, to appear.

MSC: 14H55; 14H45; 14H50.

Keywords: numerical semigroups, weight of a numerical semigroup, double covering of curves, Weierstrass semigroups.

February 1, 2010.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{X} be a (non-singular, projective, irreducible) curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{K} of characteristic zero. The *Weierstrass semigroup* (or the *non-gaps*) at a point P is the set $H(P)$ of poles of regular functions in $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{P\}$. The elements of $G(P) := \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus H(P) = \{\ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_g\}$ ($\ell_i = \ell_i(P)$) are the *gaps* at P . The Weierstrass gap theorem asserts that $\ell_g \leq 2g - 1$, see e.g. [4]. Let \mathcal{X} be a double covering of a curve $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of genus γ ; i.e., there exists a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ of degree two. Assume that $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is ramified (thus $g \geq 2\gamma$) and set $\tilde{P} = \pi(P)$. If g is large enough with respect to γ , properties of $H(P)$ characterize the morphism π (see Theorem 1). To be more precise let us first recall that $2h \in H(P)$ iff $h \in H(\tilde{P})$ ([9]). Then $H(P)$ has exactly γ even gaps which are contained in $[2, 4\gamma]$ and thus γ odd non-gaps in $[3, 2g - 1]$, say $u_\gamma < \cdots < u_1$ ($u_i = u_i(P)$). Set $2\tilde{H}(\tilde{P}) := \{2h : h \in \tilde{H}(\tilde{P})\}$. Therefore the semigroup $H(P)$ is of the form

$$(1) \quad H(P) = 2\tilde{H}(\tilde{P}) \cup \{u_\gamma, \dots, u_1\} \cup \{2g + i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The *Weierstrass weight* at P is $w(P) := \sum_{i=1}^\gamma (\ell_i - i)$. The following result is our starting point (see Problems 1, 2, 3). Throughout this paper let $F(\gamma) (*)$ be the function defined by $F(0) = 2$, $F(1) = 11$, $F(2) = 23$, $F(3) = 34$, $F(4) = 44$, $F(5) = 56$, $F(6) = 65$ and $F(\gamma) = \gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 3$ for $\gamma \geq 7$.

Theorem 1. ([10], [9], [8], [5], [24]) *Let $\gamma \geq 0$ be an integer and \mathcal{X} a curve of genus $g \geq F(\gamma)$. The following statements are equivalents:*

- (I) *There exists a point P in \mathcal{X} such that the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ is of the form (1);*
- (II) *There exists a point P in \mathcal{X} such that the Weierstrass weight satisfies*

$$(2) \quad \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} \leq w(P) \leq \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2;$$
- (III) *The curve \mathcal{X} is a double covering of a curve of genus γ (for short, we say that \mathcal{X} is γ -hyperelliptic).*

The following problem arises.

Problem 1. Find the true values of $w(P)$ in (2).

A point $P \in \mathcal{X}$ is called *Weierstrass* if $w(P) > 0$. This concept has an important role in the study of the geometry of curves (see [2] for a beautiful exposition on several topics on Weierstrass points). Let W denote the set of Weierstrass points. By means of Hürwitz's Wronskian method [6] it can be shown that W is the support of a divisor \mathcal{W} on \mathcal{X} and that $w(P)$ is the multiplicity of P in \mathcal{W} ; moreover $\sum_P w(P) = (g-1)g(g+1)$. Hürwitz, among other things, was concerned about the following matters:

- (1) On the number of Weierstrass points of \mathcal{X} (which have to do with bounds on weights);
- (2) Suppose that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{g-1}(\mathbf{K})$ is non-hyperelliptic and let $P \in \mathcal{X}$; since $(\ell_1(P) - 1, \dots, \ell_g(P) - 1)$ is the sequence of all multiplicity of intersections of hyperplanes and \mathcal{X} at P , it is natural to look for the sequence that can be appear in this way. This is equivalent to ask on arbitrary semigroups H that occur as a Weierstrass semigroups; i.e. whether $H = H(P)$ for some $P \in \mathcal{X}$ (for short, we say that H satisfies the *Weierstrass property*).

Counting Weierstrass points is important e.g. in getting bounds on the number of automorphisms of curves [6] or on the study of constellations of curves [22]. Question two was raised approximately in 1893; further historical accounts can be read in [3]. Long after that, in 1980 Büchweitz [1] showed that not every semigroup satisfies the Weierstrass property (see also [13] and [24]).

In Section 2 we investigate weights of arbitrary numerical semigroup by means of even gaps. In this context the analogue of Theorem 1 is Theorem 2; Problem 1 is related then to Problems 2, 3. Theorem 3 subsume several values of weights. In Section 3 we investigate the Weierstrass property of the semigroups arising in Section 2; here we are mainly concern with the cases $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. A typical application of our results is for example the non-existence of a 2-hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 23$ with a ramification point of weight $\binom{g-4}{2} + 6$ (Example 3).

2. ON PROBLEM 1

In this section we consider Problem 1 above in the context of Numerical Semigroup Theory only (as was already mentioned in the introduction there are numerical semigroups that cannot be realized as Weierstrass semigroups).

Let $H = \{0 = m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots\}$ ($m_i = m_i(H)$) be an arbitrary (numerical) semigroup; i.e., H is a subsemigroup of the nonnegative integers \mathbb{N}_0 such that its complement is finite. Let $G(H) := \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus H = \{\ell_1 < \dots < \ell_g\}$ ($\ell_i = \ell_i(H)$). Following geometrical settings we say that m_1 , the elements of H , the elements of $G(H)$ and $g = g(H)$ are respectively the *multiplicity*, the *non-gaps*, the *gaps* and the *genus* of H . The ‘Weierstrass gap theorem’ is also true here; in particular, $m_{g+i} = 2g + i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ([1], [18]). The number

$$(3) \quad w(H) := \sum_{i=1}^g (\ell_i - i) = \frac{1}{2}(3g^2 + g) - \sum_{i=1}^g m_i$$

is the *weight* of H . We say that H is γ -*hyperelliptic* if it has exactly γ even gaps. In this case, for $g \geq 2\gamma$, H satisfies property (1), [7] (cf. [2]), [23]; i.e., there exists a unique semigroup \tilde{H} of genus γ and γ odd numbers $3 \leq u_\gamma < \dots < u_1 \leq 2g - 1$ ($u_i = u_i(H)$) such that

$$H = 2\tilde{H} \cup \{u_\gamma, \dots, u_1\} \cup \{2g + i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

As a matter of fact, $\tilde{H} = \{h/2 : h \in H, h \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$. We say that H is a *double covering* of \tilde{H} . Let $\tilde{H} = \{0 = \tilde{m}_0 < \tilde{m}_1 < \tilde{m}_2 < \dots\}$. After some computations from (3) we have the following.

Lemma 1. *Notation as above. For $g \geq 2\gamma$, the weight of a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup H can be computed by any of the formulas below:*

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} w(H) &= \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + (2g + 2\gamma + 1)\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (2\tilde{m}_i + u_i) \\ &= \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + (2g - \gamma)\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} u_i + 2w(\tilde{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\gamma \geq 0$ be an integer and H_1 and H_2 semigroups of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$ which are double coverings of a semigroup \tilde{H} of genus γ . Then*

$$w(H_1) = w(H_2) \quad \text{iff} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} u_i(H_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} u_i(H_2).$$

From (4) we have:

Lemma 2. ([25]) *For a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup H of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$, $w(H) \equiv \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} \pmod{2}$.*

Lemma 3. ([23], [26]) *Notation as above.*

- (1) *For each $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$, $2\tilde{m}_i + u_i \geq 2g + 1$, especially we get $u_\gamma \geq 2g - 4\gamma + 1$. Thus if $g \geq 4\gamma$, then $m_i = 2\tilde{m}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$.*
- (2) *Let $2\tilde{m}_1 \geq 4$. Then $u_1 + 2\tilde{m}_1 = 2g + 1$ iff $u_1 = 2g - 3$ and $2\tilde{m}_1 = 4$; in this case, for each $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$, $u_i + 2\tilde{m}_i = 2g + 1$.*
- (3) *Let $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma - 1$. If $u_1 = 2g - 3$, then for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$, $u_i = 2g - 2i - 1$. If $u_1 = 2g - 1$, let $k := \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma - 1\} : u_i = 2g - 2i + 1\}$. Then $u_i = 2g - 2i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $u_i = 2g - 2i - 1$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, \gamma$.*
- (4) *Let $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma - 3$ and $u_1 = 2g - 1$. Let $k := \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma - 1\} : u_i = 2g - 2i + 1\}$ and $s := \min\{i \in \{k + 1, \dots, \gamma\} : u_i = 2g - 2i - 3\}$ (thus $s \geq k + 1$). Then $u_i = 2g - 2i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$; $u_i = 2g - 2i - 1$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, s - 1$ and $u_i = 2g - 2i - 3$ for $i = s, \dots, \gamma$.*

Proof. (1) It follows from the fact that all the numbers in the sequence $u_i < u_i + 2\tilde{m}_1 < \dots < u_i + 2\tilde{m}_i$ are odd non-gaps of H .

(2) Here the odd numbers $u_\gamma < u_\gamma + 2\tilde{m}_1 < \dots < u_2 + 2\tilde{m}_1$ are the odd non-gaps of H . Therefore $u_{\gamma-1} = u_\gamma + 2\tilde{m}_1, \dots, u_1 = u_2 + 2\tilde{m}_1$, so that $u_\gamma + (\gamma - 1)2\tilde{m}_1 = u_1$. We have $u_1 \leq 2g - 1$. If $\tilde{m}_1 \geq 3$, $u_\gamma \leq 2g - 6\gamma - 5$ which is a contradiction according to Item (1). Then the result follows.

(3) If $u_1 = 2g - 3$, clearly $u_i = 2g - 2i - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$. Let $u_1 = 2g - 1$ and k the number defined as above. Then $u_{k+1} \leq 2g - 2k - 1$. We claim that $u_{k+1} \leq 2g - 2k - 3$,

otherwise $u_{k+1} = 2g - 2k - 1 = 2g - 2(k + 1) + 1$ a contradiction with the definition of k . Now in the interval $[2g - 2\gamma - 1, 2g - 2k - 3]$ there are $\gamma - k$ odd numbers as well as $\gamma - k$ odd non-gaps. The result now follows.

(4) Let k and s be the numbers defined above. Arguing as in Item (3), $u_{k+1} \leq 2g - 2k - 3$. In the interval $[u_\gamma, u_s]$ there are $\gamma + 1 - s$ odd numbers and the same number of odd non-gaps. Thus $u_i = 2g - 2i - 3$ for $i = s, \dots, \gamma$. If $s = k + 1$ the result is clear; otherwise, $u_{s-1} \geq 2g - 2s - 1$ and thus $u_{s-1} \geq 2g - 2s + 1$ by the definition of s . In the interval $[2g - 2s + 1, 2g - 2k - 3]$ we have both $s - (k + 1)$ odd numbers and odd non-gaps and the proof is complete. \square

The analogous of Theorem 1 is formulated as follows. Recall that $F(\gamma)$ is the function defined by (*) in the introduction. Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and g be integers. Let H be a semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$. Consider the following statements:

(I) H is a γ -hyperelliptic;

(II) The weight $w(H)$ satisfies

$$(5) \quad \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} \leq w(H) \leq \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2.$$

Then (I) implies (II) which follows from Lemmas 1 and 3(1).

Problem 2. Find the true values of weights of γ -hyperelliptic semigroups H (of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$) in (5).

Concerning the converse we have the following.

Theorem 2. ([23]) (II) *implies* (I) *provided that* $g \geq F(\gamma)$.

Thus if we impose the condition $g \geq F(\gamma)$ in Problem 2, then all the possible value attained in (5) will be from γ -hyperelliptic semigroups only.

Remark 1. The bound $F(\gamma)$ on g is sharp [5], [23].

Problem 3. Which semigroups satisfying (5) are Weierstrass?

The border cases in (5) follow from Lemma 3 (1) (2).

Proposition 1. ([23]) *Let $\gamma \geq 0$ be an integer and H a semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$. Then:*

- (1) $w(H) = \binom{g}{2}$ iff $2 \in H$;
- (2) Let $\gamma \geq 1$. Then $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$ iff $m_i = 2\gamma + 2i$ and $u_i = 2g - 2i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$;
- (3) Let $\gamma \geq 1$. Then $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2$ iff $m_1 = 4$ and $u_1 = 2g - 3$. In this case, $H = \langle 4, 4\gamma + 2, 2g - 4\gamma + 1 \rangle$.

Next we compute the weights of semigroups of multiplicity 4 and 6.

Proposition 2. ([26]) *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an integer and H a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g \geq 3\gamma$ of multiplicity $m_1 = 4$. Then*

- (1) $w(H) \in \left\{ \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + k^2 - k : k = 1, \dots, \gamma + 1 \right\}$;
- (2) $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + k^2 - k$ iff $H = \langle 4, 4\gamma + 2, 2g - 2\gamma - 2k + 3, 2g - 2\gamma + 2k + 1 \rangle$ ($k = 1, \dots, \gamma + 1$).

Proof. (1) By Proposition 1 (3) we shall assume $k \leq \gamma$. We have to show that $w(H) \notin \left\{ \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + k^2 - k : k = 1, \dots, \gamma \right\}$ gives a contradiction. We have that $u_1 \geq 2g - 3$ (as $m_1 = 4$) and thus the aforementioned result allows to define the integer $j := \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma - 1\} : u_i = 2g - 2i + 1\}$. Now, the weight of a hyperelliptic semigroup of genus γ is $\gamma^2 - \gamma$; then $w(H) \geq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma$ by (4). It follows that

$$w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + k^2 - k + 2n$$

for some integer $n \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$; finally Lemma 1 implies

$$j^2 - (2\gamma + 1)j + (\gamma^2 + \gamma - k^2 + k - 2n) = 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that j is an integer.

(2) The case $k = \gamma + 1$ is just Proposition 1 (3). Let now $k \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$ and $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma + k^2 - k$. From Proposition 1 (3) there is $j = \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\} : u_i =$

$2g - 2i + 1\}$, therefore if $j < \gamma$ we have $u_{j+l} = 2g - 2j - 4l + 1$ for each $l = 1, \dots, \gamma - j$. And so from Lemma 1 we have

$$j^2 - (2\gamma + 1)j + (\gamma^2 + \gamma - k^2 + k) = 0.$$

Therefore, $j = \gamma - k + 1$. In particular, $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma - 2k + 3$ and $u_{\gamma-k} = 2g - 2\gamma + 2k + 1$. So $H_k := \langle 4, 4\gamma + 2, 2g - 2\gamma - 2k + 3, 2g - 2\gamma + 2k + 1 \rangle \subseteq H$. Since $g \geq 3\gamma$ it follows that H_k is a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup. Let $4e_2 + 2, 4e_1 + 1, 4e_3 + 3$ be the smallest integers in H_k which are congruent respectively to $2, 1, 3 \pmod{4}$. We have that $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = g(H_k)$ and $e_2 = \gamma$ is the number of even gaps of H_k . Since $4e_1 + 1, 4e_3 + 3 \in \{2g - 2\gamma - 2k + 3, 2g - 2\gamma + 2k + 1\}$ we have $g(H_k) = g$ and so $H_k = H$. The converse is clear. \square

A semigroup \tilde{H} of genus γ of multiplicity $\tilde{m}_1 = 3$ has the following form and weight [8]. For each $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/3 \rfloor$,

- (1) If $\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_k = \{3i : i = 1, \dots, 2\gamma/3\} \cup \{\gamma - 2 + 3k + 3s : s = 1, \dots, \gamma/3 - k\} \cup \{2\gamma - 1 + 3s - 3k : s = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{2\gamma + i : i \geq 0\}$ and $w(\tilde{H}) = \gamma(\gamma - 1)/3 + 3k^2 - k\gamma - k$;
- (2) If $\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_k = \{3i : i = 1, \dots, (2\gamma - 2)/3\} \cup \{\gamma - 2 + 3k + 3s : s = 1, \dots, (\gamma + 2)/3 - k\} \cup \{2\gamma - 1 + 3s - 3k : s = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{2\gamma + i : i \geq 0\}$ and $w(\tilde{H}) = \gamma(\gamma - 1)/3 + 3k^2 - k\gamma - k$;
- (3) If $\gamma \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}_k = \{3i : i = 1, \dots, (2\gamma - 1)/3\} \cup \{\gamma - 1 + 3k + 3s : s = 1, \dots, (\gamma + 1)/3 - k\} \cup \{2\gamma - 2 + 3s - 3k : s = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{2\gamma + i : i \geq 0\}$ and $w(\tilde{H}) = \gamma(\gamma - 2)/3 + 3k^2 - k\gamma + k$.

Therefore a direct computation via (4) shows the following.

Proposition 3. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an integer and H a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$ of multiplicity $m_1 = 6$. Let $u_\gamma < \dots < u_1$ be the odd non-gaps of H . Then*

$$w(H) = \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma g - \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} u_i + I_k(\gamma),$$

where $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \gamma/3 \rfloor$, $I_k(\gamma) = 2(3k^2 - k\gamma - k) - \gamma(\gamma + 2)/3$ if $\gamma \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$ and $I_k(\gamma) = 2(3k^2 - k\gamma + k) - \gamma(\gamma + 4)/3$ otherwise.

We obtain an improvement on (5), namely:

Proposition 4. ([26]) *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an integer and H a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus g .*

(1) *If $g \geq 3\gamma$ and $m_1 = 4$, then either $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2$, $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$ or*

$$\binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + \gamma^2 - \gamma \leq w(H) \leq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2(\gamma^2 - \gamma);$$

(2) *If $g \geq 2\gamma$ and $m_1 \geq 6$, then $w(H) \leq \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma^2 - (2\gamma - 4)$.*

Proof. (1) It follows from Proposition 2.

(2) We have $\tilde{m}_i \geq 2i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma - 2$, $\tilde{m}_{\gamma-1} \geq 2(\gamma - 1)$ ([18]) and $u_i \geq 2g - 4i + 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \gamma$ ([23]). Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (2\tilde{m}_i + u_i) \geq 2g\gamma + 3\gamma - 4$. Now the inequality follows from (4). \square

Proposition 5. *Let H and \tilde{H} be semigroups of genus g and γ respectively with $g \geq 2\gamma$. Suppose that H is a double covering of \tilde{H} .*

(1) *If $u_\gamma = u_\gamma(H) = 2g - 2\gamma + 1$, then $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2w(\tilde{H})$.*

(2) *If $u_\gamma = u_\gamma(H) = 2g - 4\gamma + 1$, then $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2\gamma + 4w(\tilde{H})$.*

Proof. In both cases the odd non-gaps are determined by u_γ . We have respectively u_γ , $u_i = u_\gamma + 2\tilde{m}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \gamma - 1$) and $u_i = 2g - 2i + 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, \gamma$); now the result follows from (4). \square

Proposition 6. *Let H be a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$ and multiplicity $m_1 = 2\gamma + 2$. Suppose in addition that $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma - 1$. Let k be the integer defined in Lemma 3 (3). (For the case $u_1 = 2g - 3$, we let $k = 0$). Then*

$$w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2} + 2(\gamma - k).$$

Proof. H is a double covering of a semigroup of multiplicity $\gamma + 1$ and the result follows from (4) and Lemma 3 (3). \square

In a similar way we obtain:

Proposition 7. *Let H be a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$ and multiplicity $m_1 = 2\gamma + 2$. Suppose in addition that $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma - 3$. Let k and s be the numbers defined in Lemma 3 (4). Then*

$$w(H) = \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + 2(2\gamma - s - k + 1).$$

Proof. H is a double covering of a semigroup of multiplicity $\gamma + 1$ and $m_1 + u_\gamma = 2g - 1$. In particular $w(\tilde{H}) = 0$ and $u_1 = 2g - 1$. Therefore from (4) and Lemma 3 (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(H) &= \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + (2g - \gamma)\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} u_i \\ &= \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + (2g - \gamma)\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} (2g - 2i) - (2s + 2k - 3\gamma - 2) \\ &= \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2} + 2(2\gamma - s - k + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

We subsume next some values in (5) obtained so far. For H a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus $g \geq 2\gamma$ set $D(H) := w(H) - \binom{g - 2\gamma}{2}$.

Theorem 3. *Notation as above. The function $D(H)$ attains the following values:*

- (1) $D(H) = 2k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2$;
- (2) $D(H) = 2\gamma + 4k$ for $k = 1, \dots, \gamma - 1$;
- (3) If further $g \geq 3\gamma$, $D(H) = 2\gamma^2 - (\gamma + k)(\gamma + 1 - k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, \gamma + 1$.

Proof. Let k be an integer with $0 \leq k \leq \gamma - 1$. Let \tilde{H}_k be the semigroup of genus γ with gaps $1, \dots, \gamma - 1$ and $\gamma + k$ so that $w(\tilde{H}_k) = k$. Thus Proposition 5 (or Proposition 6) implies Item (1) for $k = 0, \dots, \gamma$. From these H_k 's, Item (2) follows. Item (1) for $k = \gamma + 1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2$ follows from Proposition 7. Item (3) follows from Proposition 2. □

3. ON THE WEIERSTRASS PROPERTY

In this section we will find out semigroups H satisfying both (5) and the Weierstrass property. Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $g \geq 2\gamma$ be integers. For such semigroups recall that $D(H) = w(H) - \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$ and that $F(\gamma)$ is the function defined in the introduction via (*). Let $S(H) := \{2g + i : i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$.

Example 1. Let $\gamma = 0$. Then $D(H) = 0$. This case is only possible if $2 \in H$ and the semigroup is Weierstrass for $g \geq F(0)$; see e.g. [4].

Example 2. Let $\gamma = 1$. From Lemma 2 $D(H) = 0$ or $D(H) = 2$. By Proposition 1 the former case occurs iff $m_1 = 4$ and $u_1 = 2g - 1$ and the second iff $m_1 = 4$ and $u_1 = 2g - 3$. In both cases H is Weierstrass [17].

Example 3. Let $\gamma = 2$ and assume $g \geq F(2)$. From Lemma 2, $D(H) \in \{2i : i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We shall show that $D(H) \neq 6$. Here we have $m_1 \in \{4, 6\}$. If $m_1 = 4$, $D(H) = 8$ or $D(H) \leq 4$ by Proposition 4(1). Let $m_1 = 6$. Then H is a double covering of the semigroup $\tilde{H} = \{0, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ and hence $D(H) = 4g - 4 - u_2 - u_1$ by (4). We know that $u_2 \geq 2g - 7$ (Lemma 3 (1)). Thus $u_2 + u_1 \geq 4g - 8$ and $D(H) \leq 4$.

All the values $D(H) = 0, 2, 4, 8$ occur only if H is 2-hyperelliptic (Theorem 2). As a matter of fact all these semigroups are Weierstrass [17], [5], [19]. We observe that if $\tilde{H} = \{0, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$, $H_1 := 2\tilde{H} \cup \{2g - 7, 2g - 1\} \cup S(H)$ and $H_2 := 2\tilde{H} \cup \{2g - 5, 2g - 3\} \cup S(H)$ then $w(H_1) = w(H_2)$ by Corollary 1.

Example 4. Any semigroup of multiplicity 4 is Weierstrass [17]. Thus all the values in Theorem 3(3) arise from Weierstrass semigroups.

Example 5. If $m_1 = 2\gamma + 2$ and $u_\gamma = 2g - 2\gamma + 1$, then $w(H) = \binom{g-2\gamma}{2}$ (Proposition 5 (1)). By using the theory of Fuchsian groups and Lewittes' theorem on fixed points of automorphisms, it can be shown that H is Weierstrass [21]. Next we give a direct proof for $\gamma = 3$. We use ideas from [19]. Thus at least for $g \geq 11$ we have to show that $H = 2\langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle \cup \{2g - 5, 2g - 3, 2g - 1\} \cup S(H)$ is Weierstrass.

Let $g \geq 11$ and $0 \leq r \leq 7$ be integers such that $g + r$ is odd. Let $\alpha(x)$ be a degree four polynomial in $\mathbf{K}[x]$ and let b be a constant such that the roots of $a(x) := \alpha^2(x) - b^2$, say a_1, \dots, a_8 , are pairwise different. Let $b_1, \dots, b_{g-10} \in \mathbf{K} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_8\}$ pairwise different.

We consider the curves \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}_r defined respectively by

$$y^2 = a(x), \quad z^4 = a(x)(x - a_1)^2 \cdots (x - a_r)^2 (x - b_1)^2 \cdots (x - b_{g-10})^2.$$

Then \mathcal{X}_r is a double covering of \mathcal{X} . There are two points $R_\infty, R'_\infty \in \mathcal{X}$ over $x = \infty$; since $\gcd(4, 2r + 2g - 12) = 2$, there exist just two points S_∞ and S'_∞ in \mathcal{X}_r over R_∞ and R'_∞ respectively. We shall show that $H(S_\infty) = H$. Observe that $H(R_\infty) = \langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle$ and thus the even non-gaps of $H(S_\infty)$ are $\{0, 8, 10, 12, 14, \dots\}$.

Claim 1. By applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula it follows that the genus of \mathcal{X}_r is g .

Claim 2. The numbers $2g - 5, 2g - 3, 2g - 1$ belong to $H(S_\infty)$.

Proof. (Claim 2) We compute some divisors (cf. [19]). Let $P_i \in \mathcal{X}_r$ be the unique point over $x = a_i$ and Q_i, Q'_i the two points in \mathcal{X}_r over $x = b_i$. We permute the roots of $a(x)$ such way that $\alpha(a_j) = b$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and we write $f := y - \alpha(x) + b$. Then

- $\operatorname{div}(x - a_i) = 4P_i - 2S_\infty - 2S'_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(x - b_i) = 2Q_i + 2Q'_i - 2S_\infty - 2S'_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(y) = 2P_1 + \cdots + 2P_8 - 8S_\infty - 8S'_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(z) = 3P_1 + \cdots + 3P_r + P_{r+1} + \cdots + P_8 + (Q_1 + Q'_1) + \cdots + (Q_{g-10} + Q'_{g-10}) - (g + r - 6)(S_\infty + S'_\infty)$;
- $\operatorname{div}(y + \alpha(x)) = 8S'_\infty - 8S_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(f) = 2P_1 + \cdots + 2P_4 - 8S'_\infty$.

We choose r such that $g + r \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Let $h := z(y + \alpha(x))^n$ where $n = (g + r + 1)/8$ if $r \leq 4$ and $n = (g + r - 7)/8$ if $r \geq 5$. Then $\operatorname{div}_\infty(h) = (g + r - 6 + 8n)S_\infty$ iff $8n - (g + r - 6) \geq 0$. For each such integer r we shall give in the table bellow functions h_1, h_2 and h_3 such that their pole divisors are respectively $(2g - 5)S_\infty, (2g - 3)S_\infty$ and $(2g - 1)S_\infty$.

r	h_1	h_2	h_3
0	h	$(x - a_1)h$	$(x - a_1)^2h$
1	$fh/(x - a_1)$	h	$(x - a_1)h$
2	$fh/(x - a_1)(x - a_2)$	$(x - a_2)h_1$	h
3	$fh/(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)$	$(x - a_3)h_1$	$(x - a_2)h_2$
4	$fh/(x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)(x - a_4)$	$(x - a_4)h_1$	$(x - a_3)h_2$
5	$(x - a_6)(x - a_7)(x - a_8)h/y$	h/f	$(x - a_5)h_2$
6	$(x - a_7)(x - a_8)h/y$	$(x - a_6)h_1$	h/f
7	$(x - a_8)h/y$	$(x - a_7)h_1$	$(x - a_6)h_2$

□

Example 6. For $g \geq 9$ an odd integer we show that the semigroup $H = 2\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cup \{2g - 7, 2g - 3, 2g - 1\} \cup S(H)$ is Weierstrass. The method of the proof follows as the example above. Let $a_1, \dots, a_4, b_1, \dots, b_{(g-9)/2} \in \mathbf{K}$ be pairwise different. Let $1 \leq r \leq 4$ be an integer. We consider the curves \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}_r defined by

$$\mathcal{X} : y^4 = a(x) := (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)(x - a_4), \quad \mathcal{X}_r : z^8 = a(x)A(x)^4,$$

where $A(x) := (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_r)(x - b_1) \cdots (x - b_{(g-9)/2})$. Then \mathcal{X}_r is a double covering of \mathcal{X} and let D_∞ be the pole divisor of the function x on \mathcal{X}_r . Let $P_i \in \mathcal{X}_r$ be the unique point over $x = a_i$ and Q_i, Q'_i, Q''_i, Q'''_i the four different points over $x = b_i$. We shall show that $H(P_1) = H$. As a matter of fact the even non-gaps of $H(P_1)$ are precisely the set $2\langle 3, 4 \rangle$, because the unique point p_1 of \mathcal{X} over $x = a_1$ is a hyperflex point on the non-singular curve \mathcal{X} . It is not difficult to see that:

- $\operatorname{div}(x - a_i) = 8P_i - D_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(y) = 2(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4) - D_\infty$;
- $\operatorname{div}(z) = 5P_1 + \cdots + 5P_r + P_{r+1} + \cdots + P_4 + (Q_1 + Q'_1 + Q''_1 + Q'''_1) + \cdots + (Q_{(g-9)/2} + Q'_{(g-9)/2} + Q''_{(g-9)/2} + Q'''_{(g-9)/2}) - \frac{g+2r-7}{4}D_\infty$.

Let $F_1 := z/(x - a_1)^n$. Then $\operatorname{div}_\infty(F_1) = (8n - 5)P_1$ iff $2n - \frac{g+2r-7}{2} \geq 0$. First, we consider the case $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We set $n = (g - 1)/4$. Here $r = 2$ implies $2g - 7 \in H(P_1)$. With

$F_2 = (x - a_3)(x - a_4)F_1/y^2$ and $F_3 = yF_1(x - a_1)$ we find that $2g - 3$ and $2g - 1$ belong to $H(P_1)$ respectively. In the case $g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we set $n = (g - 3)/4$. Then the proof with $r = 1$ similar to that of the case $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ with $r = 2$ works well. Notice that (4) implies $D(H) = 6$.

Example 7. Let us consider a γ -hyperelliptic semigroup of genus g with $n := u_\gamma = 2g - 4\gamma + 1$. Suppose that H is the double covering of $\tilde{H} = \{0, \tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_\gamma = 2\gamma, 2\gamma + 1, \dots\}$. Thus $u_{\gamma-1}(H) = n + 2\tilde{m}_1, \dots, u_1(H) = n + 2\tilde{m}_{\gamma-1}$ so that $H = 2\tilde{H} + n\mathbb{N}_0$. Let $c(\tilde{H})$ denote the conductor of \tilde{H} ; i.e., the least integer c such that $c + h \in \tilde{H}$ for all $h \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0$. We look for the hypotheses in [11, Thm. 2.2], namely $n \geq 2c(\tilde{H}) - 1$ and $n \neq 2\tilde{m}_1 - 1$. Since $c(\tilde{H}) \leq 2\gamma$, both conditions are satisfied for $g \geq 4\gamma$. Therefore if \tilde{H} is Weierstrass so H does, loc. cit. There are several sufficient conditions in order that \tilde{H} be Weierstrass; e.g.,

- $m_1(\tilde{H}) \leq 5$ ([20], [17], [15]);
- Either $g(\tilde{H}) \leq 8$ ([14], [12]);
- Either $w(\tilde{H}) \leq g(\tilde{H})/2$ or $g(\tilde{H})/2 < w(\tilde{H}) \leq g(\tilde{H}) - 1$ and $2m_1(\tilde{H}) > \ell_g(\tilde{H})$ ([3], [16]).

Example 8. Let us consider further values of $D(H)$ in Theorem 2. We let \tilde{H} be a ρ -hyperelliptic of genus γ . For example for $\rho = 1$, $\gamma \geq 3$, let $w(\tilde{H}) = \binom{g-2}{2}, \binom{g-2}{2} + 2$; thus the example above and Proposition 5 (2) show that there are Weierstrass semigroups H with $D(H) = 2\gamma^2 - (8\gamma - 12), 2\gamma^2 - (8\gamma - 20)$.

Example 9. Let us consider the case $\gamma = 3$, $g \geq F(3)$. Arguing as in Example 3 ($\gamma = 2$) we can rule out the possibility $D(H) = 16$. Thus by Lemma 2 $D(H) \in \{2i : i = 0, \dots, 9\} \setminus \{16\}$. We have the following table:

H	D(H)	Reference	Weierstrass
$\langle 4, 14, 2g - 11 \rangle$	18	Prop. 1 (3)	yes ([17])
$2\langle 3, 4 \rangle + (2g - 11)\mathbb{N}_0$	14	Prop. 5 (2)	yes (Ex. 7)
$\langle 4, 14, 2g - 9 \rangle$	12	Prop. 2	yes ([17])
$2\langle 3, 5, 7 \rangle + (2g - 11)\mathbb{N}_0$	10	Prop. 5 (2)	yes (Ex. 7)
$\langle 4, 14, 2g - 7, 2g - 1 \rangle$	8	Prop. 2	yes ([17])
$2\langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle + (2g - 11)\mathbb{N}_0$	6	Prop. 5 (2)	yes (Ex. 7)
$2\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cup \{2g - 7, 2g - 3, 2g - 1\} \cup S(H)$	6	Ex. 6 (g odd)	yes
$2\langle 3, 4 \rangle \cup \{h : h \geq 2g - 5\}$	4	Prop. 5 (1)	??
$2\langle 3, 5 \rangle \cup \{h : h \geq 2g - 5\}$	2	Prop. 5 (1)	??
$2\langle 4, 5, 6, 7 \rangle \cup \{2g - 5, 2g - 3, 2g - 1\} \cup S(H)$	0	Example 5	yes ([21])

Example 10. Let $\gamma = 4$ and $g \geq F(4)$. From Lemma 2 and Proposition 4 $D(H) \in \{2i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 16\} \setminus \{30\}$.

(1) For $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $D(H) = 32 - (4+k)(5-k) = 32, 24, 18, 14, 12$ by using a Weierstrass semigroup of multiplicity 4.

(2) Let $u_4 = 2g - 15$ and \tilde{H} a semigroup of genus 4 (which is always Weierstrass). Thus $H = 2\tilde{H} + u_4\mathbb{N}$ is Weierstrass (cf. Example 7) and $D(H) = 8 + 4w(\tilde{H})$ by Proposition 5 (2). Now $w(\tilde{H}) \leq 4(4-1)/3$ ([8]) and in fact there exists \tilde{H} such that $w(\tilde{H}) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus $D(H) = 24, 20, 16, 12, 8$ occur for H a Weierstrass semigroup.

(3) If $u_4 = 2g - 7$, via the \tilde{H} 's in (2), Proposition 5 (1) gives $D(H) = 8, 6, 4, 2, 0$.

(4) If $m_1 = 10$ and $u_4 = 2g - 11$, Proposition 7 gives $D(H) = 12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 0$.

In contrast to the case $\gamma = 3$, the following questions remain open: (a) Is there exists H such that $D(H) = 28, 26, 22$? (b) Is any semigroup in Item 3 above Weierstrass?

REFERENCES

- [1] R.O. Buchweitz, “Über deformation monomialer kurvensingularitäten und Weierstrasspunkte auf Riemannschen flächen”, Ph.D. Thesis, Hannover, 1976.
- [2] A. del Centina, *Weierstrass points and their impact in the study of algebraic curves: a historical account from the “Lückensatz” to the 1970s*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara **54** (2008), 37–59.
- [3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Existence, decomposition and limits of certain Weierstrass points*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 495–515.
- [4] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra, “Riemann Surfaces”, Grad. Texts in Math. **71**, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [5] A. Garcia, *Weights of Weierstrass points in double coverings of curves of genus one or two*, Manuscripta Math. **55** (1986), 419–432.
- [6] A. Hürwitz, *Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen transformationen in sich*, Math. Ann. **41** (1892), 403–442.
- [7] T. Kato, *Weierstrass normal form of a Riemann surface and its applications* (in Japanese), Sûgaku **32** (1980), 73–75.
- [8] T. Kato, *Non-hyperelliptic Weierstrass points of maximal weight*, Math. Ann. **239** (1979), 141–147.
- [9] T. Kato, *On the order of a zero of the Theta function*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **28** (1977), 390–407.
- [10] T. Kato, *On criteria of \tilde{g} -hyperellipticity*, Kodai Math. J. **341** (1970), 275–285.
- [11] J. Komeda and A. Ohbuchi, *On double coverings of a pointed non-singular curve with any Weierstrass semigroups*, Tsukuba J. Math. **31**(1) (2007), 205–215.
- [12] J. Komeda and A. Ohbuchi, *Existence of the non-primitive Weierstrass gap sequences on curves of genus 8*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **39** (2008), 109–121.
- [13] J. Komeda, *Non-Weierstrass numerical semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **57** (1998), 157–185.
- [14] J. Komeda, *On the existence of Weierstrass gap sequences on curves of genus ≤ 8* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **97** (1994), 51–71.
- [15] J. Komeda, *On the existence of Weierstrass points whose first non-gap is five*, Manuscripta Math. **76**(2) (1992), 193–211.
- [16] J. Komeda, *On primitive Shubert indices of genus g and weights $g - 1$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **43**(3) (1991), 437–445.
- [17] J. Komeda, *On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four*, J. reine angew. Math. **341** (1983), 68–86.
- [18] G. Oliveira, *Weierstrass semigroups and the canonical ideal of non-trigonal curves*, Manuscripta Math. **71** (1991), 431–450.

- [19] G. Oliveira and F.R.L. Pimentel, *On Weierstrass semigroups of double covering of genus two curves*, Semigroup Forum **77** (2008), 152–162.
- [20] C. Maclachlan, *Weierstrass points on compact Riemann surfaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **3**(2) (1971), 722–724.
- [21] A.L. Perez del Pozo, *On the weights of fixed points of automorphism of a compact Riemann surface*, Arch. Math. **86** (2006), 50–55.
- [22] U. Pflaum, *The canonical constellation of k -Weierstrass points*, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 139–144.
- [23] F. Torres, *On γ -hyperelliptic numerical semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **55** (1997), 364–379.
- [24] F. Torres, *Weierstrass points and double coverings of curves with applications: Symmetric numerical semigroups which cannot be realized as Weierstrass semigroups*, Manuscripta Math. **83** (1994), 39–58.
- [25] C. Towse, *Weierstrass weights of fixed points of an involution*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **122** (1997), 385–392.
- [26] J. Villanueva, “Semigrupos fracamente de Arf e pesos de semigrupos”, Ph. D. Thesis, UNICAMP, 2008.