Estimates for entropy numbers of multiplier operators of multiple series

Sergio A. Córdoba, Jéssica Milaré[†]and Sergio A. Tozoni[‡]

* Departamento de Vias y Transporte, Universidad del Cauca, Calle 5 Nº 4-70, Popayán - Cauca, CEP 190003, Colombia

^{†,‡} Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda 651, Campinas-SP, CEP 13083-859, Brazil

Abstract

The asymptotic behavior for entropy numbers of general Fourier multiplier operators of multiple series with respect to an abstract complete orthonormal system $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$ on a probability space and bounded in L^∞ , is studied. The orthonormal system can be of the type $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_{m_1}^{(1)}(x_1) \cdots \phi_{m_d}^{(d)}(x_d)$, where each $\{\phi_l^{(j)}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an orthonormal system, that can be different for each j, for example, it can be a Vilenkin system, a Walsh system on a real sphere or the trigonometric system on the unit circle. General upper and lower bounds for the entropy numbers are established by using Levy means of norms constructed using the orthonormal system. These results are applied to get upper and lower bounds for entropy numbers of specific multiplier operators, which generate, in particular cases, sets of finitely and infinitely differentiable functions, in the usual sense and in the dyadic sense. It is shown that these estimates have order sharp in various important cases.

MSC2020: 41A46, 42C10, 47B06

Keywords: Vilenkin series, multipliers operators, entropy numbers, approximation theory.

1 Introduction

In [3, 8, 9, 18], the asymptotic behavior of entropy numbers of multiplier operators was studied, using different techniques. Estimates were obtained for entropy numbers of sets of finitely and infinitely differentiable functions and sets of analytic functions, on homogeneous spaces and on the torus. In this paper, we continue these studies considering now general Fourier multiplier operators of multiple series with respect to an abstract complete orthonormal system.

Recently, much attention has been devoted to the study of entropy numbers of different sets of functions. This problem has a long history and some fundamental problems in this area are still open. Estimates for entropy numbers of different operators and embeddings between function spaces of the types Besov and Sobolev with mixed smoothness into Lebesgue have been studied [10, 11, 12, 19, 21]. In the papers [9, 18] sharp estimates were obtained for entropy numbers of sets of infinitely differentiable functions and of analytic functions, on two-points homogeneous spaces and on the torus, in several cases. We do not know other papers where studies of this type have been carried out.

Our approach is based on estimates for Levy means of norms constructed using an orthonormal system of multiple functions on a probability space. To prove the results for general multiplier operators we make use of

^{*}email: sergiocordoba@unicauca.edu.co

[†]email: jessymilare@gmail.com

^{*}email: tozoni@unicamp.br

deep results from Banach space geometry in Pisier [16] and in the paper of Pajor and Tomczak-Jaegermann [13]. For our applications we need results on the amount of points of \mathbb{Z}^d contained in a closed Euclidean ball of \mathbb{R}^d . We consider the study on the classical Gauss circle problem in Huxley [7] and the study on the three-dimensional sphere problem in Heath-Brown [6]. A quality of this method is to enable the study of entropy numbers of sets of infinitely differentiable and analytic functions, allowing to obtain sharp estimates in terms of order, in several cases, which has not been possible, until now, with the use of other methods. In particular we get lower and upper bounds for entropy numbers of classes of finitely and infinitely differentiable functions, in the usual sense and in the dyadic sense. The estimates in our applications have order sharp in various important cases.

Consider two Banach spaces X and Y. The norm of X will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ or $\|\cdot\|_X$ and the closed unit ball $\{x \in X : \|x\| \le 1\}$ by B_X . Let A be a compact subset of X. The *n*-th entropy number $e_n(A, X)$ is defined as the infimum of all positive ϵ such that there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_{2^{n-1}}$ in X satisfying $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} (x_k + \epsilon B_X)$, that is,

$$e_n(A,X) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left(x_k + \epsilon B_X \right) \text{ for some } x_1, \dots, x_{2^{n-1}} \in X \right\}.$$

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is a compact operator, the *n*-th entropy number $e_n(T)$ is defined as $e_n(T) = e_n(T(B_X), Y)$.

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers and \mathbb{N}_0 the set of non-negative integers. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, let $|\mathbf{k}| = (k_1^2 + \dots + k_d^2)^{1/2}$ and $|\mathbf{k}|_* = \max_{1 \le j \le d} k_j$. Given $l, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define $A_l = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : |\mathbf{k}| \le l\}$, $A_l^* = \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : |\mathbf{k}|_* \le l\}$, $A_{-1} = A_{-1}^* = \emptyset$. Let $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_d, \mathcal{A}_d, \mu_d)$ be probability spaces, $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_d$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_d$ and $\mu = \mu_1 \times \dots \times \mu_d$ be the product σ -algebra and the product measure, respectively. Suppose $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ is a complete orthonormal set of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, of real-valued functions, uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_l = [\phi_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{A}_l \setminus \mathcal{A}_{l-1}]$ be the linear space generated by the functions $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{A}_l \setminus \mathcal{A}_{l-1}$ and let $d_l = \dim \mathcal{H}_l$, $\mathcal{T}_N = \bigoplus_{l=0}^N \mathcal{H}_l$, $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_N$. Analogously we define \mathcal{H}_l^*, d_l^* , \mathcal{T}_N^* and $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H}$. Given a real function λ defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$ we consider the sequences $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ and $\Lambda^* = \{\lambda_k^*\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{H}$ by $\Lambda(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{\mathbf{k}}$. If Λ and Λ^* are bounded from $L^p(\Omega)$ to $L^q(\Omega)$ we also denote the extensions on $L^p(\Omega)$ by Λ and Λ^* .

In the present paper, we study estimates for entropy numbers of multiplier operators of type Λ and Λ^* . The multiplier operators of types Λ and Λ_* were studied in [4, 18]. In particular, we consider orthonormal systems $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$ of the type $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_{m_1}^{(1)}(x_1)\cdots\phi_{m_d}^{(d)}(x_d)$, where each $\{\phi_l^{(j)}\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ can be a different orthonormal system, for example, it can be a Vilenkin system, a Walsh system on a real sphere or the trigonometric system on the circle (see Remark 5.4).

Let $\Lambda^{(1)} = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$, $\Lambda_*^{(1)} = \{\lambda_k^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$, where $\lambda(t) = t^{-\gamma}(\log_2 t)^{-\xi}$ for t > 1 and $\lambda(t) = 0$ for $0 \le t \le 1$, with $\gamma > 0$, $\xi \ge 0$, and let $\Lambda^{(2)} = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$, $\Lambda_*^{(2)} = \{\lambda_k^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$, where $\lambda(t) = e^{-\gamma t^r}$, with $\gamma, r > 0$. Let U_p denote the closed unit ball in $L^p(\Omega)$. If $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ is the trigonometric system on the torus \mathbb{T}^d , $\Lambda^{(1)}U_p$ and $\Lambda_*^{(1)}U_p$ are sets of finitely differentiable functions, in particular, are Sobolev-type classes if $\xi = 0$, and $\Lambda^{(2)}U_p$ and $\Lambda_*^{(2)}U_p$ are sets of infinitely differentiable functions if 0 < r < 1 and of analytic functions if $r \ge 1$ (see [18]). If $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ is the multiple Walsh system on $[0, 1]^d$, $\Lambda^{(1)}U_p$ and $\Lambda_*^{(1)}U_p$ are sets of finitely differentiable functions and $\Lambda^{(2)}U_p$ and $\Lambda_*^{(2)}U_p$ are sets of infinitely differentiable functions, in the dyadic sense (see [4]).

For ease of notation we will write $a_n \gg b_n$ for two sequences if $a_n \ge Cb_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \ll b_n$ if $a_n \le Cb_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a_n \asymp b_n$ if $a_n \ll b_n$ and $a_n \gg b_n$. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \le x < a + 1$, we denote [x] = a.

The following estimates are the results of our applications and they are proved in Section 5.

Theorem 1.1. Consider $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. If $\gamma > d/2$ and $\xi \ge 0$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

and

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} 1, & p < \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p < \infty, q = 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p = \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1}, & p = \infty, q = 1. \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

If $\gamma > d$ and $\xi \ge 0$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}(p-1)^{-1/2}, & 1 (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.2. Consider $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. Let $\gamma, r \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $0 < r \le 1$ if $d \ge 4$, $0 < r \le 76/208$ if d = 2 and $0 < r \le 11/16$ if d = 3. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg e^{-\mathcal{C}n^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} 1, & p < \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p < \infty, q = 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p = \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1}, & p = \infty, q = 1, \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

and

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}n^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \gamma^{d/(d+r)} \left(\frac{(d+r)2^{d-1}d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)}{r\pi^{d/2}} \right)^{r/(d+r)}$$

The results of Theorem 1.1 also hold for the operator $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ and the results of Theorem 1.2 hold for $\Lambda_*^{(2)}$ for all $0 < r \le 1$ and any dimension d, if we change the constant C by the constant C_* , where

$$\mathcal{C}_* = \gamma^{d/(d+r)} \left(\frac{(d+r)(\ln 2)}{r}\right)^{r/(d+r)}.$$

The proofs in this paper are given only for the operators of type Λ . In Remark 5.3 we explain as the results can be proved for the operators of type Λ_* .

In this paper, the trigonometric system considered on the *d*-dimensional torus \mathbb{T}^d is constructed by making the product of the usual real trigonometric system on the unit circle (see Proposition 3.1 and Remark 5.4). In [18], estimates for entropy numbers of the operator $\Lambda^{(2)}$ were studied considering another trigonometric system on the torus. The upper and lower estimates were obtained for all real number $r, 0 < r \leq 1$ and for any dimension d. We note that the estimates in [18] when d = 2 are true only if $0 < r \leq 76/208$ and for d = 3 only if $0 < r \leq 11/16$.

The estimates of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are sharp in various important situations. For $2 \le p, q < \infty$, we have that

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \asymp e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}_*U_p, L^q\right) \asymp n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi}, \quad \gamma > d/2, \ \xi \ge 0;$$
$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(2)}_*U_p, L^q\right) \asymp e^{-\mathcal{C}_* n^{r/(d+r)}}, \quad 0 < r \le 1, \ \gamma > 0;$$

and

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \asymp e^{-\mathcal{C}n^{r/(d+r)}},$$

for $0 < r \le 1$ if $d \ge 4$, $0 < r \le 76/208$ if d = 2, $0 < r \le 11/16$ if d = 3 and for $\gamma > 0$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce real-valued Vilenkin systems. In Section 3 we recall some relevant facts and establish a few auxiliary results for later use. In Section 4 we prove two theorems where upper and lower bounds are established for entropy numbers of general multiplier operators. The paper ends with the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and two remarks in Section 5.

2 Real-valued Vilenkin system

Let $(s_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence of integers with $s_j \ge 2$ and let $P_0 = 1$, $P_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} s_j$ for $k \ge 1$. For an integer $s \ge 2$, consider the group $\mathbb{Z}_s = \mathbb{Z}/(s\mathbb{Z})$ with the discrete topology and the group $\mathbb{G} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}_{s_k}$ with the product topology. Denote by ν the normalized Haar measure on \mathbb{G} . We can identify \mathbb{G} with the unit interval [0, 1], associating each $(x_k) \in \mathbb{G}$ with the point $|x| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k/P_{k+1}$. If we disregard the countable subset

$$\mathbb{G}_0 = \{ (x_j) : \exists k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : x_j = 0, \forall j \ge k \} \subset \mathbb{G},$$

this mapping is one-to-one and onto (0, 1]. If A is a measurable subset of \mathbb{G} then the measure $\nu(A)$ is equal to the Lebesgue measure of the set $|A| = \{|x| : x \in A\}$. For $x = (x_k) \in \mathbb{G}$ we define $\rho_k(x) = \exp(2\pi i x_k/s_k), k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, n_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, s_k - 1\}$, be the unique sequence such that $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_k P_k$. We define the (complex-valued) Vilenkin function ψ_n [20, 22] by

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k^{n_k}(x).$$

If $s_k = 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the Vilenkin functions are the Walsh functions. The Walsh system has been extensively used in data transmission, filtering, image enhancement, signal analysis and pattern recognition [1, 17].

We denote by $L^p(\mathbb{G})$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, the usual vector space of complex measurable functions f on \mathbb{G} . The Vilenkin-Fourier system $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ [20]. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{G})$, we define the n^{th} partial sum $S_n(f)$ of the Vilenkin-Fourier series of f by

$$S_n(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(k) \psi_k, \qquad \hat{f}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{G}} f(t) \overline{\psi_k}(t) d\nu(t).$$

It is known [23] that, if $1 and <math>f \in L^p(\mathbb{G})$, then $S_n(f)$ converges to f in $L^p(\mathbb{G})$.

The Fourier system $\{\cos(nx) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{\sin(nx) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ can be obtained from the system $\{\exp(inx) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ by taking the real and imaginary part of $\exp(inx)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We proceed similarly to obtain a complete orthonormal system of real-valued functions from the complex-valued Vilenkin functions, but some care is needed, as can be seen in the following examples.

Example 2.1. If $s_k = 2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\rho_k(x) = (-1)^{x_k}$ for all k and ψ_n is real-valued for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example 2.2. Suppose s_k is odd for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\rho_k(x) = \omega_{s_k}^{x_k}$ for all k, where $\omega_s = \exp(2\pi i/s)$. Note that $\omega_s^u = \exp(\pi i(2u/s))$ is not a real number unless $2u/s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n_j \neq 0$. Let $x \in \mathbb{G}$ with $x_j = 1$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$. Then

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k^{n_k}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega_{s_k}^{n_k x_k} = \omega_{s_j}^{n_j}$$

is not a real number because $2n_j/s_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, ψ_n is real-valued if and only if n = 0.

Example 2.3. Suppose $s_k = 4$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $\rho_k(x) = i^{x_k}$ for all k. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n_k = 2u_k$ for all k, then

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k^{n_k}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} i^{2u_k x_k} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{x_k}$$

is a real number for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$. Otherwise, fix $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that n_j is odd and let $x \in \mathbb{G}$ with $x_j = 1$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$. Then

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k^{n_k}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} i^{n_k x_k} = i^{n_j}$$

is not a real number. Therefore, ψ_n is real-valued if and only if n_k is even for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Example 2.4. Let $s_{2k} = 2$ and $s_{2k+1} = 3$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this case, $\rho_{2k}(x) = (-1)^{x_{2k}}$ and $\rho_{2k+1}(x) = \omega_3^{x_{2k+1}}$ where $\omega_3 = \exp(2\pi i/3)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $n_{2k+1} = 0$ for all k, we have

$$\psi_n(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_k^{n_k}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho_{2k}^{n_{2k}}(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n_{2k}x_{2k}}$$

is real-valued. Otherwise, fix $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n_{2j+1} \neq 0$ and let $x \in \mathbb{G}$ with $x_{2j+1} = 1$ and $x_k = 0$ for $k \neq 2j+1$. Then $\psi_n(x) = \omega_3^{n_{2k+1}}$ is not a real number (see Example 2.2). Therefore, ψ_n is real-valued if and only if $n_{2k+1} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$n \oplus m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(n_k + m_k \right) \mod s_k \right) P_k, \quad n \ominus m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(n_k - m_k \right) \mod s_k \right) P_k$$

and $\ominus n = 0 \ominus n$. If $u = n \oplus m$, then $u_k = n_k + m_k - \alpha_k s_k$ with $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\psi_{n \oplus m}(x) = \psi_n(x) \psi_m(x)$. In particular, $\psi_n(x) \psi_{\ominus n}(x) = 1$ and, since $|\psi_n(x)| = 1$, we have $\psi_{\ominus n}(x) = \overline{\psi_n(x)}$. Therefore, ψ_n is real-valued if and only if $n = \ominus n$. We define

$$\mathbb{K} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \, n < \ominus n \right\}, \quad \mathbb{L} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \, n > \ominus n \right\}, \quad \mathbb{M} = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \, n = \ominus n \right\}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{L}$, let $X_n = \sqrt{2} \Re(\psi_n)$ and $Y_n = \sqrt{2} \Im(\psi_n)$, where $\Re(f)$ denotes the real part and $\Im(f)$ the imaginary part of a complex function f. For $n \in \mathbb{M}$, let $X_n = \psi_n$ and $Y_n \equiv 0$.

Proposition 2.5. The set

$$\Psi = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{M}\} \cup \{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{K}\}$$

is a complete orthonormal system of $L^{2}(\mathbb{G})$.

Proof. If $n \in \mathbb{M}$, then $||X_n||_2 = ||\psi_n||_2 = 1$. If $n \in \mathbb{K}$, then $\psi_n = 2^{-1/2} (X_n + iY_n)$. Since $||\psi_n||_2 = 1$,

$$2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} |\psi_n|^2 \, d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} |X_n + iY_n|^2 \, d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} X_n^2 d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{G}} Y_n^2 d\nu,$$

that is, $||X_n||_2^2 + ||Y_n||_2^2 = 2$. Also, since $n \neq \ominus n$ and $\psi_n, \psi_{\ominus n}$ are orthogonal

$$0 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \psi_n \psi_{\ominus n} d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n + iY_n) (X_n - iY_n) d\nu$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n^2 - Y_n^2) d\nu + i \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n Y_n + Y_n X_n) d\nu.$$

From the real part, we obtain $||X_n||_2^2 - ||Y_n||_2^2 = 0$ and therefore $||X_n||_2 = ||Y_n||_2 = 1$. From the imaginary part, it follows that $\int_{\mathbb{G}} X_n Y_n d\nu = 0$. If $n \in \mathbb{K}$ and $m \in \mathbb{M}$, since ψ_n, ψ_m are orthogonal,

$$0 = \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{G}} \psi_n \psi_m d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} \left(X_n + i Y_n \right) X_m \, d\nu.$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{G}} X_n X_m d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} Y_n X_m d\nu = 0$. Finally, if $n, m \in \mathbb{K}$ with $n \neq m$, we have

$$0 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \psi_n \psi_m d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n + iY_n) (X_m + iY_m) d\nu$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n X_m - Y_n Y_m) d\nu + i \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n Y_m + Y_n X_m) d\nu$$

We also have $n \neq \ominus m$ (otherwise $m < \ominus m = n < \ominus n = m$, a contradiction). Thus, ψ_n and $\psi_{\ominus m}$ are orthogonal and

$$0 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \psi_n \psi_{\ominus m} d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n + iY_n) (X_m - iY_m) d\nu$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{G}} (X_n X_m + Y_n Y_m) d\nu + i \int_{\mathbb{G}} (-X_n Y_m + Y_n X_m) d\nu$$

We obtain $\int_{\mathbb{G}} X_n X_m d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} Y_n X_m d\nu = 0$ from the sum of the equations and $\int_{\mathbb{G}} Y_n Y_m d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{G}} X_n Y_m d\nu = 0$, from the subtraction. Therefore, we conclude that the set Ψ is an orthonormal system.

To prove that Ψ is complete, let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{G})$ and suppose that $\int_{\mathbb{G}} fX_n d\nu = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{M}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{G}} fY_n d\nu = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{K}$. We have $\psi_n = 2^{-1/2} (X_n + iY_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\psi_n = X_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{M}$. For $n \in \mathbb{L}$, we have $\Theta n \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\psi_n = 2^{-1/2} (X_{\Theta n} - iY_{\Theta n})$. Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{G}} f\psi_n d\nu = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. But $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a complete orthonormal system of $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ and therefore f = 0 a.e.

The following proposition shows a simple way of determining whether n belongs to \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{L} or \mathbb{M} .

Proposition 2.6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $P_r \leq n < P_{r+1}$ (in particular, $n = \sum_{k=0}^r n_k P_k$ and $n_r > 0$). Let $n' = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} n_k P_k = n - n_r P_r$. We have:

- 1. If $n_r < s_r/2$, then $n \in \mathbb{K}$.
- 2. If $n_r > s_r/2$, then $n \in \mathbb{L}$.
- 3. If $n_r = s_r/2$ (in particular, s_r is even) then $n \in A \iff n' \in A$ for $A = \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{M}$.

Proof. We have $\ominus n = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \tilde{n}_k P_k$ where $\tilde{n}_k = (s_k - n_k) \mod s_k$. If $n_r < s_r/2$ then $\tilde{n}_r = s_r - n_r > s_r/2 > n_r$ and

$$n = n' + n_r P_r < P_r + n_r P_r \le \tilde{n}_r P_r \le \Theta n.$$

Thus $n \in \mathbb{K}$. If $n_r > s_r/2$, then $\tilde{n}_r < s_r/2$ and, by the previous case, $\tilde{n} \in \mathbb{K}$, that is, $n \in \mathbb{L}$. Now, suppose $n_r = s_r/2$. In this case, $\tilde{n}_r = s_r - n_r = n_r$ and $\ominus n' = \ominus n - \tilde{n}_r P_r = \ominus n - n_r P_r$. Since $n = n' + n_r P_r$ and $\ominus n = \ominus n' + n_r P_r$,

$$n \in \mathbb{K} \iff n < \ominus n \iff n' < \ominus n' \iff n' \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Symmilarly, $n \in \mathbb{L} \iff n' \in \mathbb{L}$ and $n \in \mathbb{M} \iff n' \in \mathbb{M}$.

Now we consider the problem of ordering Ψ . One simple ordering is defined by

$$Z_n = \begin{cases} X_n, & n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{M} \\ Y_{\ominus n}, & n \in \mathbb{L}. \end{cases}$$

Since $n \in \mathbb{L} \mapsto \ominus n \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\{Y_n : n \in \mathbb{K}\} = \{Y_{\ominus n} : n \in \mathbb{L}\}$, we have $\{Z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \Psi$. We can also consider the system

$$\tilde{Z}_n = \begin{cases} X_n, & n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{M} \\ Y_n, & n \in \mathbb{L}. \end{cases}$$

Note that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $\psi_{\ominus n} = \overline{\psi_n}$. Thus, $X_{\ominus n} = X_n$ and $Y_{\ominus n} = -Y_n$, that is, $\tilde{Z}_n = Z_{\ominus n}$ and $\{\tilde{Z}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is an ordering of

$$\Psi = \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{K} \cup \mathbb{M}\} \cup \{-Y_n : n \in \mathbb{K}\}$$

which is also a complete orthonormal system.

We have that $||Z_n||_{\infty} = ||\tilde{Z}_n||_{\infty} \le \sqrt{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. From Proposition 2.6, if $P_r \le n < P_{r+1}$, then we also have $P_r \le \ominus n < P_{r+1}$. Fixed a sequence (s_j) and given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, Proposition 2.6 will facilitate the identification of the functions Z_n and \tilde{Z}_n , especially in concrete cases as in the previous examples.

For the results of this study, any ordering of Ψ or Ψ is sufficient, since reordering preserves orthonormality and completeness.

Example 2.7. Suppose $s_k = 3$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The following table shows Z_n and \tilde{Z}_n for n = 0, ..., 27. In the table, $A_n = \mathbb{K} \iff n \in \mathbb{K}$, $A_n = \mathbb{L} \iff n \in \mathbb{L}$ and $A_n = \mathbb{M} \iff n \in \mathbb{M}$.

n	n_2	n_1	n_0	$\ominus n$	A_n	Z_n	\tilde{Z}_n	$\mid n$	n_2	n_1	n_0	$\ominus n$	A_n	Z_n	\tilde{Z}_n
0	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{M}	X_0	X_0	14	1	1	2	25	\mathbb{K}	X_{14}	X_{14}
1	0	0	1	2	\mathbb{K}	X_1	X_1	15	1	2	0	21	\mathbb{K}	X_{15}	X_{15}
2	0	0	2	1	\mathbb{L}	Y_1	Y_2	16	1	2	1	23	\mathbb{K}	X_{16}	X_{16}
3	0	1	0	6	\mathbb{K}	X_3	X_3	17	1	2	2	22	\mathbb{K}	X_{17}	X_{17}
4	0	1	1	8	\mathbb{K}	X_4	X_4	18	2	0	0	9	\mathbb{L}	Y_9	Y_{18}
5	0	1	2	7	\mathbb{K}	X_5	X_5	19	2	0	1	11	\mathbb{L}	Y_{11}	Y_{19}
6	0	2	0	3	\mathbb{L}	Y_3	Y_6	20	2	0	2	10	\mathbb{L}	Y_{10}	Y_{20}
7	0	2	1	5	\mathbb{L}	Y_5	Y_7	21	2	1	0	15	\mathbb{L}	Y_{15}	Y_{21}
8	0	2	2	4	\mathbb{L}	Y_4	Y_8	22	2	1	1	17	\mathbb{L}	Y_{17}	Y_{22}
9	1	0	0	18	\mathbb{K}	X_9	X_9	23	2	1	2	26	\mathbb{L}	Y_{16}	Y_{23}
10	1	0	1	20	\mathbb{K}	X_{10}	X_{10}	24	2	2	0	23	\mathbb{L}	Y_{12}	Y_{24}
11	1	0	2	19	\mathbb{K}	X_{11}	X_{11}	25	2	2	1	14	\mathbb{L}	Y_{14}	Y_{25}
12	1	1	0	24	\mathbb{K}	X_{12}	X_{12}	26	2	2	2	13	\mathbb{L}	Y_{13}	Y_{26}
13	1	1	1	26	\mathbb{K}	X_{13}	X_{13}	27	0	0	0	54	\mathbb{K}	X_{27}	X_{27}

Example 2.8. Suppose $s_k = 4$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The following table shows Z_n and \tilde{Z}_n for $n = 0, \dots, 15$.

0	0	0	0	\mathbb{M}	X_0	X_0	8	2	0	8	\mathbb{M}	Z_n X_8	X_8
2	0	2	2	\mathbb{M}	X_2	X_2	10	2	2	10	\mathbb{M}	$\begin{array}{c} X_9 \\ X_{10} \\ Y_9 \end{array}$	X_{10}
4	1	0	12	\mathbb{K}	X_4	X_4	12	3	0	4	\mathbb{L}	Y_4 Y_7	Y_{12}
6	1	2	14	\mathbb{K}	X_6	X_6	14	3	2	6	\mathbb{L}	Y_6 Y_5	Y_{14}

The following table shows Z_n and \tilde{Z}_n for $n_2 = 2$, that is, n = 32, ..., 47 (note that the column A_n in table below is equal to the column A_n in the table above - that is a consequence of Proposition 2.6).

n	n_2	n_1	n_0	$\ominus n$	A_n	Z_n	\tilde{Z}_n	$\mid n$	n_2	n_1	n_0	$\ominus n$	A_n	Z_n	\tilde{Z}_n
32	2	0	0	32	\mathbb{M}	X_{32}	X_{32}	40	2	2	0	40	\mathbb{M}	X_{40}	X_{40}
33	2	0	1	35	\mathbb{K}	X_{33}	X_{33}	41	2	2	1	43	\mathbb{K}	X_{41}	X_{41}
34	2	0	2	34	\mathbb{M}	X_{34}	X_{34}	42	2	2	2	42	\mathbb{M}	X_{42}	X_{42}
35	2	0	3	33	\mathbb{L}	Y_{33}	Y_{35}	43	2	2	3	41	\mathbb{L}	Y_{41}	Y_{43}
36	2	1	0	44	\mathbb{K}	X_{36}	X_{36}	44	2	3	0	36	\mathbb{L}	Y_{36}	Y_{44}
37	2	1	1	47	\mathbb{K}	X_{37}	X_{37}	45	2	3	1	39	\mathbb{L}	Y_{39}	Y_{45}
38	2	1	2	46	\mathbb{K}	X_{38}	X_{38}	46	2	3	2	38	\mathbb{L}	Y_{38}	Y_{46}
39	2	1	3	45	\mathbb{K}	X_{39}	X_{39}	47	2	3	3	37	\mathbb{L}	Y_{37}	Y_{47}

3 Main definitions and some results

We denoted by $L^p = L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, the vector space consisting of all measurable functions f defined on Ω and with values in \mathbb{R} , satisfying

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_p &= \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p \, d\mu(\mathbf{x})\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{\infty} &= \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathbf{x} \in \Omega} |f(\mathbf{x})| < \infty. \end{split}$$

Proposition 3.1. For each $1 \leq j \leq d$, let $\left\{\phi_l^{(j)}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a complete orthonormal set of $L^2(\Omega_j, \mathcal{A}_j, \mu_j)$, of real-valued or complex-valued functions. For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, consider the function $\phi_{\mathbf{m}} : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_{m_1}^{(1)}(x_1) \cdots \phi_{m_d}^{(d)}(x_d), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \Omega.$$

Then $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}}$ is a complete orthonormal set of $L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

Proof. It is immediate that the set $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$ is orthonormal. Consider d=2 and let $f\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{A},\mu)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

for all $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Then fixed $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for all $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$0 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left(\int_{\Omega_2} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{\phi_{m_2}^{(2)}}(x_2) d\mu_2(x_2) \right) \overline{\phi_{m_1}^{(1)}}(x_1) d\mu_1(x_1).$$

Let

$$E_{m_2} = \left\{ x_1 \in \Omega_1 : F_{m_2}(x_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f(x_1, x_2) \overline{\phi_{m_2}^{(2)}}(x_2) d\mu_2(x_2) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Since $\{\phi_k^{(1)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a complete orthonormal set of $L^2(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$, the function F_{m_2} is null almost everywhere and thus $\mu_1(E_{m_2}) = 0$. If $E = \bigcup_{m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0} E_{m_2}$ then $\mu_1(E) = 0$ and $F_{m_2}(x_1) = 0$ for all $x_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus E$ and all $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, again by hypothesis, we have that $f(x_1, \cdot) = 0$ a.e. for all $x_1 \in \Omega_1 \setminus E$ and then

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(\mathbf{x})| \, d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega_1 \setminus E} \left(\int_{\Omega_2} |f(x_1, x_2)| \, d\mu_2(x_2) \right) d\mu_1(x_1) = 0$$

Hence f = 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$. The general case we prove proceeding by induction on d.

From this point on, we will consider a complete orthonormal set of real-valued functions $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$ of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ satisfying the following condition: there is a constant K > 0 such that $\|\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\|_{\infty} \leq K$ for all $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d$.

If for each $1 \leq j \leq d$, $\left\{\phi_l^{(j)}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a complete orthonormal set of real-valued functions of $L^2(\Omega_j, \mathcal{A}_j, \mu_j)$ and for each $1 \leq j \leq d$, there is a constant $K_j > 0$ such that $\left\|\phi_l^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq K_j$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then the complete orthonormal set of $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ with the functions $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ as in the Proposition 3.1, satisfies $\|\phi_{\mathbf{m}}\|_{\infty} \leq K$ for $K = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} K_j$ and for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$.

We write $U_p = \left\{ \varphi \in L^p : \|\varphi\|_p \le 1 \right\}$. For $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ the *d*-dimensional Fourier series of *f* is given by

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}\widehat{f}(\mathbf{m})\phi_{\mathbf{m}},\quad \widehat{f}(\mathbf{m})=\int_{\Omega}f(\mathbf{x})\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

For $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and R > 0, we define the spherical partial sum of the Fourier series of the function f by

$$S_R(f) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ |\mathbf{m}| \le R}} \widehat{f}(\mathbf{m}) \phi_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Let A be a compact subset of a Banach space X and let $\epsilon > 0$. A finite subset $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ of X is called an ϵ -net for A in X if, for each $x \in A$, there is at least one point $x_k \in S$ such that $||x_k - x|| \leq \epsilon$, that is, $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m (x_k + \epsilon B_X)$. The set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ is called an ϵ -distinguishable subset of A in X, if $S \subset A$ and $||x_i - x_j|| > \epsilon$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m, i \neq j$. If every ϵ -distinguishable subset of A in X has at most m elements, we say that S is a maximal ϵ -distinguishable subset of A in X. A maximal ϵ -distinguishable subset of A in X is a ϵ -net for A in X.

Let X, Y, Z, Y_1 be Banach spaces and $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), R \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$. Then for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [5] or [16])

$$e_{k+l-1}(T+S) \le e_k(T) + e_l(S)$$
 (3.1)

and

$$e_{k+l-1}(R \circ S) \le e_k(R) e_l(S).$$

$$(3.2)$$

Suppose Y is isometric to a subspace of Y_1 and denote by $i: Y \to Y_1$ the isometric embedding. Then (see [16, Proposition 5.1])

$$2^{-1}e_k(T) \le e_k(i \circ T) \le e_k(T), \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.3)

Remark 3.2. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and R > 0 let $B_R = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| \le R\}$. The number of points of integer coordinates contained in the ball B_R is given by

$$N_d(R) = \left(\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}\right) R^d + E_d(R),$$

where E(R) is an error term. We denote

$$\theta_d = \inf \left\{ \alpha : E_d(R) = \mathcal{O}(R^\alpha) \right\}$$

For d = 1 we have $\theta_1 = 0$ and it is known that for $d \ge 4$, $\theta_d = d - 2$. The value of θ_d remains open for d = 2and d = 3. It is known that $1/2 \le \theta_2 \le 1$ and it was proved in [7] that, for each $\theta > 131/208$, there is a constant C > 0 such that $E_2(R) \le CR^{\theta}$, for all R > 0. In the case d = 3 we have $1 \le \theta_3 \le 2$ and the estimate $E_3(R) \le CR^{\theta}$ is true for $\theta = 21/16$ (see [6]).

As consequence of the estimates for the number of points with integer coordinates in B_R , there is a constant C_d , depending only on d, such that, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$2^{-d}N_d(l) \le \#A_l \le 2^{-d}N_d(l) + d2^{-d}N_{d-1}(l) + C_d l^{d-2},$$

$$2^{-d}(N_d(l) - N_d(l-1)) \le \#A_l - \#A_{l-1}$$
(3.4)

$$\leq 2^{-d} \left(N_d(l) - N_d(l-1) \right) \leq \# A_l - \# A_{l-1} \\ \leq 2^{-d} \left(N_d(l) - N_d(l-1) \right) + d2^{-d} \left(N_{d-1}(l) - N_{d-1}(l-1) \right) + C_d l^{d-2}.$$
(3.5)

Proposition 3.3. Given $d \ge 2$, let $\theta = 132/208$ if d = 2, $\theta = 21/16$ if d = 3 and $\theta = d - 2$ if $d \ge 4$. Then there are positive constants C' and C, such that, for all $l, N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} - C'l^{\theta} \le d_l \le \frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} + C'l^{\theta},$$
(3.6)

$$\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}N^d \le \dim \mathcal{T}_N \le \frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}N^d + CN^{d-1}$$
(3.7)

and

$$\frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{T}_N} \ge \frac{1}{FN^d} - \frac{C}{F^2 N^{d+1}},\tag{3.8}$$

where $F = 2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2} / (d\Gamma(d/2))$. In particular, $d_l \asymp l^{d-1}$ and $\dim \mathcal{T}_N \asymp N^d$.

Proof. We have

$$N_d(l) - N_d(l-1) = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)} (l^d - (l-1)^d) + (E_d(l) - E_d(l-1))$$

and

$$dl^{d-1} - \frac{d(d-1)}{2}l^{d-2} \le l^d - (l-1)^d \le dl^{d-1},$$

therefore

$$\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} - \frac{\pi^{d/2}(d-1)}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-2} + E_d(l) - E_d(l-1) \le N_d(l) - N_d(l-1).$$

By hypothesis $|E_d(l) - E_d(l-1)| \le C_1 l^{\theta}$ and thus

$$\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} - C_2 l^{\theta} \le N_d(l) - N_d(l-1) \le \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} + C_1 l^{\theta},$$
(3.9)

since $d - 2 \le \theta < d - 1$. Then, using (3.9) and (3.5) we get

$$\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}l^{d-1} - C_3l^{\theta} \le \#A_l - \#A_{l-1} = d_l$$

Again using (3.9) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} d_l &\leq 2^{-d} \left(N_d(l) - N_d(l-1) \right) + d2^{-d} \left(N_{d-1}(l) - N_{d-1}(l-1) \right) + C_d l^{d-2} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} l^{d-1} + 2^{-d} C_1 l^{\theta} + \frac{d2^{1-d} \pi^{(d-1)/2}}{\Gamma((d-1)/2)} l^{d-2} + C_4 l^{\alpha} + C_d l^{d-2} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} l^{d-1} + C_5 l^{\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d - 3 \le \theta_{d-1} < \alpha < d - 2$. Hence the estimates in (3.6) are proved.

On the other hand, since $E_d(N) \leq C_6 N^{\theta} \leq C_6 N^{d-1}$, $N_d(N) = (2\pi^{d/2}/(d\Gamma(d/2))) N^d + E_d(N)$ and $\dim \mathcal{T}_N = \#A_N$, it follows by (3.4) that $(2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}/d\Gamma(d/2)) N^d \leq \dim \mathcal{T}_N$ and

$$\dim \mathcal{T}_N \leq \left(\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}\right) N^d + 2^{-d}C_7 N^\theta + \left(\frac{d2^{1-d}\pi^{(d-1)/2}}{(d-1)\Gamma((d-1)/2)}\right) N^{d-1} + C_8 N^{d-2}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{d\Gamma(d/2)}\right) N^d + C_9 N^{d-1},$$

and we get (3.7).

The estimate (3.8) follows immediately from (3.7). As consequence of (3.6) and (3.7) we have that $d_l \simeq l^d$ and $\dim \mathcal{T}_N \simeq N^d$.

The Proposition 3.3 is applied in the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. To prove these theorems we use all the estimates (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8). The constants in these estimates are not important in the proof of Theorem 1.1, but they are fundamental in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The constant C in Theorem 1.2, with which we obtain sharp estimates for entropy numbers, is constructed using these constants. Also the values of θ determined in the proposition play an important role in Theorem 1.2.

Let $\Lambda = {\lambda_k}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$, $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. If for all $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega)$ there is a function $f = \Lambda \varphi \in L^q(\Omega)$ with formal Fourier expansion given by

$$f \sim \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}},$$

such that $\|\Lambda\|_{p,q} := \sup\{\|\Lambda\varphi\|_q : \varphi \in U_p\} < \infty$, we say that the multiplier operator Λ is bounded from $L^p(\Omega)$ into $L^q(\Omega)$, with norm $\|\Lambda\|_{p,q}$. Let $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function and for every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ let $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|)$, $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^* = \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|_*)$. In this paper, we will consider only multiplier operators associated with sequences of the type $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ and $\Lambda_* = \{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^*\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$.

Let us write $||x||_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2\right)^{1/2}$, for the euclidean norm of the element $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and by \mathbb{S}^{n-1} the unit euclidean sphere $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_{(2)} = 1\right\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . The Levy mean of a norm $||\cdot||$ on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$M(\|\cdot\|) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\|^2 \, d\sigma(x)\right)^{1/2},$$

where $d\sigma(x)$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Given $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, with $M_1 < M_2$, we will use the following notations:

$$\mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2} = igoplus_{l=M_1+1}^{M_2} \mathcal{H}_l \quad ext{and} \quad n = \dim \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2}.$$

Remark 3.4. Let $A_l \setminus A_{l-1} = \left\{ \mathbf{m}_j^l : 1 \le j \le d_l \right\}$ where the elements \mathbf{m}_j^l are chosen satisfying $\left| \mathbf{m}_j^l \right| \le \left| \mathbf{m}_{j+1}^l \right|$ for $1 \le j \le d_l - 1$. Then $\left\{ \phi_{\mathbf{m}_j^l} : 1 \le j \le d_l \right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H}_l . We consider the orthonormal basis

$$\Upsilon = \Upsilon_{M_1,M_2} = \left\{ \phi_j^l = \phi_{\mathbf{m}_j^l} : M_1 + 1 \le l \le M_2, 1 \le j \le d_l \right\}$$

of \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2} endowed with the order $\phi_1^{M_1+1}, \ldots, \phi_{d_{M_1+1}}^{M_1+1}, \phi_1^{M_1+2}, \ldots, \phi_{d_{M_1+2}}^{M_1+2}, \ldots, \phi_1^{M_2}, \ldots, \phi_{d_{M_2}}^{M_2}$. We denote

$$\xi_k = \phi_t^{l+1}, \quad k = t + \sum_{j=M_1+1}^l d_j, \quad 1 \le t \le d_{l+1}, \quad M_1 \le l < M_2,$$

and hence $\Upsilon = \{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^n$. Let $J : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2}$ be the coordinate isomorphism that assigns to $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the function

$$J(\alpha) = J(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \xi_k \in \mathcal{T}_{M_1, M_2}$$

Consider a function $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, $\lambda(t) \neq 0$, for $t \geq 0$, and let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ be the sequence of multipliers defined by $\lambda_k = \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|)$. Consider $\{\lambda_j^l = \lambda_{\mathbf{m}_j^l} : M_1 + 1 \leq l \leq M_2, 1 \leq j \leq d_l\}$ endowed with the order $\lambda_1^{M_1+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d_{M_1+1}}^{M_1+1}, \lambda_1^{M_1+2}, \ldots, \lambda_{d_{M_1+2}}^{M_1+2}, \ldots, \lambda_1^{M_2}, \ldots, \lambda_{d_{M_2}}^{M_2}$. We denote

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_t^{l+1} = \lambda\left(\left|\mathbf{m}_t^{l+1}\right|\right), \ k = t + \sum_{j=M_1+1}^l d_j, \ 1 \le t \le d_{l+1}, \ M_1 \le l < M_2$$

and $\Lambda_n = {\lambda_k}_{k=1}^n$. Now consider the multiplier operator Λ_n defined on \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2} by

$$\Lambda_n\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \xi_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j \xi_j.$$
(3.10)

Also, we define the multiplier operator $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n$ on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\Lambda_n(\alpha) = \Lambda_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\lambda_1 \alpha_1, \dots, \lambda_n \alpha_n).$$
(3.11)

Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define

$$\|\alpha\|_{(p)} := \|J(\alpha)\|_p$$

and we have that the map $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \alpha \to \|\alpha\|_{(p)}$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n . We will denote

$$B_p^n = \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{M_1, M_2} : \|\varphi\|_p \le 1 \}, \quad B_{(p)}^n = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\alpha\|_{(p)} \le 1 \}.$$

The following result is a simple generalization of a particular case of the Theorem 5, p. 1301 in [4].

Theorem 3.5. Let $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2}$, $\Upsilon_{M_1,M_2} = \{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be the orthonormal system of \mathcal{T}_{M_1,M_2} . Then there is an absolute constant C > 0 such that, for $2 \le p \le \infty$,

$$1 \le M\left(\|\cdot\|_{(p)}\right) \le C \begin{cases} p^{1/2}, & 2 \le p < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

4 Estimates for entropy numbers of general multiplier operators

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n and let us denote by $\langle x, y \rangle$ the usual inner product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The polar set of K is the set

$$K^{\circ} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \sup_{y \in K} |\langle x, y \rangle| \le 1 \right\},\$$

and we define

$$\|x\|_{K^{\circ}} = \sup \left\{ |\langle x, y \rangle| : y \in K \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

Theorem 4.1. (Urysohn's inequality, [16], p. 6) Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n(K)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \le \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\|_{K^\circ} \, d\sigma(x),$$

where $\operatorname{Vol}_n(A)$ denote the volume of a measurable subset A in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition 4.2. ([16], p. xi) Let V be a convex, centrally symmetric, bounded and absorbent subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then there is a constant C > 0, not dependent on n, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n(V)\operatorname{Vol}_n(V^\circ)}{\left(\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)\right)^2}\right)^{1/n} \ge C.$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^d}$ be an arbitrary multiplier operator, where $\lambda_k = \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|)$, for some function $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $t \mapsto |\lambda(t)|$ is non-increasing. Then there is a constant C > 0, depending only on p and q, such that, for all $N, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_N = \sum_{l=1}^N d_l$, $d_l = \dim \mathcal{H}_l$, we have

$$e_k\left(\Lambda U_p, L^q\right) \ge C2^{-k/n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N |\lambda(l)|^{d_l}\right)^{1/n} \mathcal{V}_n$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_n = \begin{cases} 1, & p < \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p < \infty, q = 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p = \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1}, & p = \infty, q = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if k = n, then

$$e_n\left(\Lambda U_p, L^q\right) \ge C \left|\lambda(N)\right| \mathcal{V}_n. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. If for some $1 \le l \le N$, $\lambda(l) = 0$, then the statement is trivial. Let us suppose $\lambda(l) \ne 0$ for all $1 \le l \le N$. Consider a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n and denote by E the Banach space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$. The dual norm of $\|\cdot\|$ is given by $\|x\|^{\circ} = \sup\{|\langle x, y \rangle| : y \in B_E\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The dual space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|^{\circ})$ of E will be denoted by E° . Using the fact that J is an isomorphism and the Hölder's inequality, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$\|x\|_{(p)}^{\circ} = \sup\left\{|\langle x, y\rangle| : y \in B_{(p)}^{n}\right\} = \sup\left\{\left|\int_{\Omega} J(x)J(\bar{y})d\mu\right| : J(\bar{y}) \in B_{p}^{n}\right\}$$
$$\leq \sup\left\{\|J(x)\|_{p'} \|J(\bar{y})\|_{p} : J(\bar{y}) \in B_{p}^{n}\right\} \leq \|J(x)\|_{p'} = \|x\|_{(p')},$$

for any $1 \le p, p' \le \infty$, such that 1/p + 1/p' = 1. Consider $1 \le q \le 2$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{(q)}$, then from Theorems 4.1, 3.5 and the last estimate, it follows that

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(q)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\|_{(q)}^{\circ} \, d\sigma(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\|_{(q')}^{\circ} \, d\sigma(x) \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\|_{(q')}^{2} \, d\sigma(x)\right)^{1/2} \\
= M\left(\|\cdot\|_{(q')}\right) \leq C_{1} \begin{cases} (q')^{1/2}, & 2 \leq q' < \infty, \\ (\log_{2} n)^{1/2}, & q' = \infty, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where 1/q + 1/q' = 1. Analogously, if we take $2 \le p \le \infty$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{(p')}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)^\circ\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}\right)^{1/n} \le M\left(\|\cdot\|_{(p)}\right) \le C_2 \begin{cases} p^{1/2}, & 2 \le p < \infty,\\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(4.3)

From Proposition 4.2, there is an absolute constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)^\circ\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)^2}\right)^{1/n} \ge C_3,$$

and by (4.3) we have that

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}\right)^{1/n} \ge C_3 \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)^{\circ}}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}\right)^{-1/n} \ge C_4 \left\{\begin{array}{ll} p^{-1/2}, & 2 \le p < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{-1/2}, & p = \infty. \end{array}\right.$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N(\epsilon)}\}$ be a minimal ϵ -net for the set $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(p)}^n$ in the Banach space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{(q)})$. Then

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B^n_{(p)} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N(\epsilon)} \left(x_k + \epsilon B^n_{(q)} \right).$$

Comparing volumes, we get

$$\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B^n_{(p)}\right) \le \epsilon^n N(\epsilon) \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(q)}\right)$$

and hence

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}B_{(p)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \leq \epsilon(N(\epsilon))^{1/n} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(q)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n}.$$
(4.5)

Since $\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(p)}^n\right) = \left(\det \widetilde{\Lambda}_n\right) \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(p)}^n\right)$, from (4.4), (4.5), and (4.2), it follows that

$$C_{4} \left(\det \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}\right)^{1/n} \begin{cases} p^{-1/2}, & 2 \leq p < \infty, \\ (\log_{2} n)^{-1/2}, & p = \infty. \end{cases} \leq \left(\det \widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(p)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \\ = \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_{n}B_{(p)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \leq \epsilon \left(N(\epsilon)\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(q)}^{n}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n}\left(B_{(2)}^{n}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \\ \leq C_{1}\epsilon \left(N(\epsilon)\right)^{1/n} \begin{cases} (q')^{1/2}, & 2 \leq q' < \infty, \\ (\log_{2} n)^{1/2}, & q' = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(4.6)

We put $N(\epsilon)=2^{k-1}$ and by the definition of entropy number we get

$$\epsilon = e_k\left(\Lambda_n B_{(p)}^n, \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{(q)}\right)\right) = e_k\left(\Lambda U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right),$$

and by (3.3),

$$e_k(\Lambda U_p, L^q) \ge e_k(\Lambda U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, L^q) \ge 2^{-1}e_k(\Lambda U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_N).$$

Hence for $2 \le p < \infty$ and $1 < q \le 2$, by (4.6) we get

$$e_k\left(\Lambda U_p, L^q\right) \ge C_5 2^{-k/n} \left(\det \widetilde{\Lambda}_n\right)^{1/n} \ge C_5 2^{-k/n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \lambda(l)^{d_l}\right)^{1/n}$$

where the last inequality is true since $\lambda(l) \leq \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|) \leq \lambda(l-1)$ for $\mathbf{k} \in A_l \setminus A_{l-1}$. Now consider $1 \leq p < 2$ and $1 < q \leq 2$. From the previous case

$$e_k\left(\Lambda U_p, L^q\right) \ge e_k(\Lambda U_2, L^q) \ge C2^{-k/n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \lambda(l)^{d_l}\right)^{1/n}$$

If $1 \le p < \infty$ and $2 < q \le \infty$, since $U_q \subset U_2$, from the previous cases we get

$$e_k(\Lambda U_p, L^q) \ge e_k(\Lambda U_p, L^2) \ge C2^{-k/n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \lambda(l)^{d_l}\right)^{1/n}$$

Thus we prove the general result of the theorem for $p < \infty$ and q > 1. In the other cases, by similar analysis, we obtain the remaining estimates.

Since the function $t \mapsto |\lambda(t)|$ is non-increasing, then

$$\prod_{l=1}^{N} |\lambda(l)|^{d_l} \ge \prod_{l=1}^{N} |\lambda(N)|^{d_l} = |\lambda(N)|^{\sum_{l=1}^{N} d_l} = |\lambda(N)|^n,$$

and therefore putting k = n we get $e_n (\Lambda U_p, L^q) \ge C |\lambda(N)| \mathcal{V}_n$, concluding the proof.

Remark 4.4. Given an arbitrary multiplier sequence $\Lambda = \{\lambda(|\mathbf{k}|)\}_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$, for $1 \le q \le \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\chi_{k}^{(q)} = \chi_{k} = 3 \sup_{N \ge 1} \left(\frac{2^{-k+1} \operatorname{Vol}_{n} \left(B_{(2)}^{n} \right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{n} \left(B_{(q)}^{n} \right)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} |\lambda(j)|^{d_{j}} \right)^{1/n},$$

where $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_N$. We observe that χ_k depends on k, q and λ . If λ is a bounded function then

$$\chi_k^{(q)} \le 3 \sup_{N \ge 1} \left(2^{-k+1} \right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(q)}^n\right)} \right)^{1/n} \sup_{1 \le j \le N} \left| \lambda(j) \right|,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and if $2 \le q \le \infty$, since $B_{(q)}^n \subset B_{(2)}^n$, it follows by (4.4) that

$$1 \le \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(q)}^n\right)}\right)^{1/n} \le C \left\{\begin{array}{ll} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty,\\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty. \end{array}\right.$$
(4.7)

Remark 4.5. Consider a function λ such that $t \mapsto |\lambda(t)|$ is a non-increasing function and $\lim_{t\to\infty} |\lambda(t)| = 0$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $N_1 = N$ and

$$N_{k+1} = \min \left\{ l \in \mathbb{N} : 2 \left| \lambda(l) \right| \le \left| \lambda(N_k) \right| \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and we have $|\lambda(N_{k+1})| \leq 2^{-k} |\lambda(N)|$. Let

$$\theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}} = \sum_{s=N_k+1}^{N_{k+1}} \dim \mathcal{H}_s$$

Fixed a positive ϵ *we write*

$$M = \left[\frac{\log_2(\theta_{N_1,N_2})}{\epsilon}\right], \quad m_k = [2^{-\epsilon k} \theta_{N_1,N_2}] + 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

and $m_0 = \theta_{N_0,N_1} = \theta_{0,N}$. We have that $\sum_{k=1}^{M} m_k \leq C_{\epsilon} \theta_{N_1,N_2}$, where C_{ϵ} is a positive constant depending only on ϵ .

We say that $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}\}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d_{\alpha}} \in K_{\epsilon,p}$, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $1 \le p \le 2$, if for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} 2^{-k(1-\epsilon/2)} \frac{\theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}}^{1/p}}{\theta_{N_1,N_2}^{1/2}} \le C_{\epsilon,p} \theta_{N_1,N_2}^{1/p-1/2}.$$

Remark 4.6. Estimates of *n*-widths for the multiplier operators $\Lambda^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda^{(2)}$ were studied in [4]. On page 1313 it was shown that $\Lambda^{(1)} \in K_{\epsilon,2}$ if $0 < \epsilon < 2 - d/\gamma$, $\gamma > d/2$, and on page 1318 that $\Lambda^{(2)} \in K_{\epsilon,2}$ if $0 < \epsilon < (2r - \delta(d - r))/r$, $0 < \delta < 2r/(d - r)$.

For both operators, it was proved on pages 1313 and 1319, that, for $2 \le p \le \infty$ and $2 \le q < \infty$,

$$\sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \theta_{N_k, N_{k+1}}^{1/p - 1/q} \le C,$$

where the constant C does not depend on N. Also, for the operator $\Lambda^{(1)}$, on page 1312, the following estimates were proved,

$$\theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}} \asymp N_{k+1}^d, \quad 2^{k/(\gamma+1)}N \le N_{k+1} \le C 2^{k/\gamma}N, \quad k \ge 1,$$

and for the operator $\Lambda^{(2)}$, on page 1318, the following estimate can be obtained,

$$\theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}} \le C 2^{(\delta(d-r)/r)k} N^{d-r}, \quad k \ge 1.$$

The constant C does not depend on N.

Lemma 4.7. ([13]) Let $E = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ be a n-dimensional Banach space and

$$M^* = M^*(E) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|x\| \, d\sigma(x).$$

Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$e_m\left(B_{(2)}^n, E\right) \ll M^*(E) \begin{cases} (n/m)^{1/2}, & m \le n, \\ e^{-m/n}, & m > n. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.8. Let $\lambda : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $t \mapsto |\lambda(t)|$ is a non-increasing function, $\lim_{t\to\infty} |\lambda(t)| = 0$ and consider $\Lambda = {\lambda_k}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0^d}$, $\lambda_k = \lambda(|\mathbf{k}|)$. Suppose $\Lambda \in K_{\epsilon,2}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$. Let χ_k be as in Remark 4.4 and $M, N_l, \theta_{N_l,N_{l+1}}$ and m_l as in Remark 4.5 and $\eta = k + \sum_{l=1}^M m_l$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider fixed $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $|\lambda(N)| \ge 2^{-b}\chi_k$. Then there exists an absolute constant C > 0 such that, for $2 \le p \le \infty$, $1 \le q \le 2$, we have that

$$e_{\eta+bn}\left(\Lambda U_p, L^q\right) \leq C \left|\lambda(N)\right|,$$

and for $2 \leq p, q \leq \infty$

$$e_{\eta+bn}(\Lambda U_p, L^q) \le C |\lambda(N)| \left(\begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ \sup_{1 \le j \le M} (\log_2 \theta_{N_j, N_{j+1}})^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases} \right) \\ + \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \theta_{N_j, N_{j+1}}^{1/2 - 1/q} \right).$$
(4.8)

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for p = 2 and $q \ge 2$. We denote $B_q^{N_k, N_{k+1}} = U_q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_k, N_{k+1}}$. From [4, (37), p. 1309], for p = 2, we obtain

$$\Lambda U_2 \subset \Lambda U_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N + \bigoplus_{l=1}^M |\lambda(N_l)| B_2^{N_l, N_{l+1}} + \bigoplus_{l=M+1}^\infty |\lambda(N_l)| \theta_{N_l, N_{l+1}}^{1/2 - 1/q} B_q^{N_l, N_{l+1}}$$

Using properties of entropy numbers and (3.1) we get

$$e_{\eta+bn} \left(\Lambda U_{2}, L^{q}\right) \leq e_{k+bn} \left(\Lambda U_{2} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{M} |\lambda(N_{l})| e_{m_{l}} \left(B_{2}^{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}\right) + e_{1} \left(\bigoplus_{l=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_{l})| \theta_{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}^{1/2-1/q} B_{q}^{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}\right).$$

$$(4.9)$$

and

$$e_{1+1-1}\left(\bigoplus_{l=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_l)| \,\theta_{N_l,N_{l+1}}^{1/2-1/q} B_q^{N_l,N_{l+1}}, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_l,N_{l+1}}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_l)| \,\theta_{N_l,N_{l+1}}^{1/2-1/q} e_1\left(B_q^{N_l,N_{l+1}}, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_l,N_{l+1}}\right) = \sum_{l=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_l)| \,\theta_{N_l,N_{l+1}}^{1/2-1/q}.$$
(4.10)

Therefore, from (4.9) and (4.10) it follows that

$$e_{\eta+bn} \left(\Lambda U_{2}, L^{q}\right) \leq e_{k+bn} \left(\Lambda U_{2} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N}\right) + \sum_{l=1}^{M} |\lambda(N_{l})| e_{m_{l}} \left(B_{2}^{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}\right) + \sum_{l=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_{l})| \theta_{N_{l}, N_{l+1}}^{1/2 - 1/q}.$$
(4.11)

We show first that

$$e_{k+bn}\left(\Lambda U_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right) = e_{k+bn}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B^n_{(2)}, \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{(q)}\right)\right) \le 2^{-b}\chi_k = 2^{-b}\chi_k^{(q)}.$$
(4.12)

For $q \geq 2$, we have $U_q \subset U_2$ and

$$|\lambda(N)| B_{(q)}^n \subset |\lambda(N)| B_{(2)}^n \subset J^{-1}(\Lambda_n B_2^n) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n.$$
(4.13)

Consider a maximal $2^{-b}\chi_k$ -distinguishable subset $\Theta = \{z_j\}_{1 \le j \le m}$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n = J^{-1}(\Lambda_n B_2^n)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{(q)})$, that is, $\|z_i - z_j\|_{(q)} \ge 2^{-b}\chi_k$ for all $i \ne j$. By maximality, Θ is a $2^{-b}\chi_k$ -net of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{(q)})$. Note that the balls

$$z_j + \left(\frac{2^{-b}\chi_k}{2}\right) B^n_{(q)}, \quad 1 \le j \le m$$

are disjoint. Applying (4.13) and the inequality $|\lambda(N)| \ge 2^{-b}\chi_k$, we get

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n + \left(\frac{2^{-b}\chi_k}{2}\right) B_{(q)}^n \subset \widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n + \left(\frac{|\lambda(N)|}{2} B_{(q)}^n\right) \subset \frac{3}{2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n.$$

Then,

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \left(z_j + \frac{2^{-b}\chi_k}{2} B^n_{(q)} \right) \subset \frac{3}{2} \widetilde{\Lambda}_n B^n_{(2)},$$

where the union is of disjoint sets. Hence, by taking volumes

$$\left(\frac{2^{-b}\chi_k}{2}\right)^n m \cdot \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(q)}\right) \le \frac{3^n}{2^n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j}\right) \operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right),$$

and therefore

$$m \le \left(\frac{3}{2^{-b}\chi_k}\right)^n \frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(q)}\right)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j}\right).$$
(4.14)

By definition of χ_k , we get

$$\left(\frac{3}{\chi_k}\right)^n \le 2^{k-1} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(2)}^n\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_n\left(B_{(q)}^n\right)} \prod_{j=1}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j}\right)^{-1}.$$
(4.15)

From (4.14) and (4.15), it follows that $m \leq 2^{k+bn-1}$ and m is the cardinality of a $2^{-b}\chi_k$ -net of $\widetilde{\Lambda}_n B_{(2)}^n$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_{(q)}\right)$. Therefore (4.12) follows from the definition of entropy numbers.

Now let us find an upper bound for the expression

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} |\lambda(N_j)| e_{m_j} \left(B_2^{N_j, N_{j+1}}, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_j, N_{j+1}} \right) + \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_j)| \theta_{N_j, N_{j+1}}^{1/2 - 1/q}.$$
(4.16)

Applying Lemma 4.7 to the Banach space $E_j = \left(\mathbb{R}^{\theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}}, \|\cdot\|_{(q)}\right)$ and m_j , remembering that $m_j = [2^{-\epsilon j}\theta_{N_1,N_2}] + 1 \ge 2^{-\epsilon j}\theta_{N_1,N_2}$ and that $M^*(E_j) \le M(E_j)$ we obtain

$$e_{m_j}\left(B_2^{N_j,N_{j+1}}, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_j,N_{j+1}}\right) = e_{m_j}\left(B_{(2)}^{N_j,N_{j+1}}, E_j\right) \ll M^*(E_j)\frac{\theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2}}{m_j^{1/2}} \ll \frac{\theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2}}{\theta_{N_1,N_2}^{1/2}} 2^{\epsilon j/2} M(E_j).$$

Thus by Theorem 3.5

$$e_{m_j}\left(B_2^{N_j,N_{j+1}}, L^q \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_j,N_{j+1}}\right) \ll \frac{\theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2}}{\theta_{N_1,N_2}^{1/2}} 2^{\epsilon j/2} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 \theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}})^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and since $\lambda(N_j) \leq \lambda(N) 2^{-j+1}$ and $\Lambda \in K_{\epsilon,2},$ we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M} |\lambda(N_{j})| e_{m_{j}} \left(B_{2}^{N_{j},N_{j+1}}, L^{q} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N_{j},N_{j+1}} \right) \\ \ll |\lambda(N)| \sum_{j=1}^{M} 2^{-j(1-\epsilon/2)} \frac{\theta_{N_{j},N_{j+1}}^{1/2}}{\theta_{N_{1},N_{2}}^{1/2}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_{2} \theta_{N_{j},N_{j+1}})^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases} \\ \ll |\lambda(N)| \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ \sup_{1 \le j \le M} (\log_{2} \theta_{N_{j},N_{j+1}})^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
(4.17)

and

$$\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} |\lambda(N_j)| \,\theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2-1/q} \le |\lambda(N)| \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j+1} \theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2-1/q} \ll |\lambda(N)| \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2-1/q}.$$
(4.18)

Therefore, we find an upper bound for (4.16). Hence, from (4.11), (4.12), (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain (4.8). The first estimate follows from (4.8) for q = 2.

5 **Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2**

Lemma 5.1. For $\gamma, \xi > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $g : [2, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$g(x) = -\frac{k}{x} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2 x - \xi \log_2(\log_2 x).$$

Then there are constants $C_1, C_2, \overline{C} > 0$ depending only on γ, ξ and d such that the absolute maximum value of the function g is assumed at a point x_k satisfying

$$C_1k \le x_k \le C_2k, \quad k \ge 1$$

and

$$\overline{C} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2 k - \xi \log_2(\log_2 k) \le g(x_k) \le \overline{C} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2 k - \xi \log_2(\log_2 k)$$

Proof. We have that g'(x) = 0 if and only if $x = k(\ln 2)^2 d(\log_2 x)/((\ln 2)(\log_2 x)\gamma + d\xi)$.

The function g' is zero for only one point x_k , where the function g assumes its absolute maximum value. If $h(x) = \log_2 x / ((\ln 2)(\log_2 x) \gamma + d\xi)$, then $h(2) \le h(x) \le 1 / (\gamma \ln 2)$ for $x \ge 2$ and therefore

$$\frac{d(\ln 2)^2}{\gamma(\ln 2) + d\xi} k \le k(\ln 2)^2 d \frac{\log_2 x}{(\ln 2)(\log_2 x)\gamma + d\xi} \le k \frac{(\ln 2)d}{\gamma}.$$

Then, there are constants C_1, C_2 depending only on d, γ, ξ such that $C_1k \leq x_k \leq C_2k, k \geq 1$. Let $C_1 \leq C \leq C_2$ such that $x_k = Ck$. Thus

$$g(x_k) = g(Ck) = -\frac{k}{Ck} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2(Ck) - \xi\log_2(\log_2 Ck) \le \overline{C} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2 k - \xi\log_2(\log_2 k)$$

and

$$g(x_k) \ge -C' - \frac{\gamma}{d} \log_2 k - \xi \log_2(\log_2 k)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We start proving (1.2). Let $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_N$. Since $n \asymp N^d$ then $\lambda(N) = N^{-\gamma} (\log_2 N)^{-\xi} \asymp n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi}$, therefore by (4.1) we get

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi}\mathcal{V}_n$$

Consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{N-1} \leq k \leq \dim \mathcal{T}_N$. Then by the above estimate we obtain

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ge e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi}\mathcal{V}_n$$

But, $n \simeq N^d \simeq (N-1)^d \simeq \dim \mathcal{T}_{N-1} \le k \le \dim \mathcal{T}_N = n$, then $k \simeq n$ and therefore we obtain (1.2). We will prove (1.1). Note that

$$\sigma_n = \left(\prod_{j=2}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j}\right)^{1/n} \ge \left(|\lambda(N)|^{\sum_{j=2}^N d_j}\right)^{1/n} \ge N^{-\gamma} (\log_2 N)^{-\xi} \asymp n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi}.$$
 (5.1)

Moreover,

$$\log_2 \sigma_n = \log_2 \left(\prod_{j=2}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j} \right)^{1/n} \le -\frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{j=3}^N d_j \log_2 j - \frac{\xi}{n} \sum_{j=3}^N d_j \log_2 (\log_2 j) + C_1.$$
(5.2)

From Proposition 3.3, it follows that $d_j \ge Ej^{d-1} - C_2 j^{\theta}$, where $E = 2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2} / \Gamma(d/2)$, $d-2 \le \theta < d-1$ and C_2 is a positive constant. If $f(x) = Ex^{d-1} \log_2 x - C_2 x^{\theta} \log_2 x$ and $g(x) = Ex^{d-1} \log_2(\log_2 x) - C_2 x^{\theta} \log_2(\log_2 x)$, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=3}^{N} d_j \log_2 j \ge \frac{1}{n} \int_2^N f(x) dx \ge \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{E}{d} N^d \log_2 N - C_2 \frac{N^{\theta+1}}{\theta+1} \log_2 N + C_3 \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=3}^{N}d_{j}\log_{2}(\log_{2}j) \geq \frac{1}{n}\int_{2}^{N}g(x)dx \geq \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{E}{d}N^{d}\log_{2}(\log_{2}N) - C_{2}\frac{N^{\theta+1}}{\theta+1}\log_{2}(\log_{2}N) + C_{4}\right).$$

From Proposition 3.3, it follows that $1/n \ge 1/(FN^d) - C/(F^2N^{d+1})$, where F = E/d and therefore

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=3}^{N}d_{j}\log_{2}j \ge \left(\frac{1}{FN^{d}} - \frac{C}{F^{2}N^{d+1}}\right)\left(\frac{E}{d}N^{d}\log_{2}N - C_{2}\frac{N^{\theta+1}}{\theta+1}\log_{2}N + C_{3}\right) \ge \log_{2}N + C_{5}, \quad (5.3)$$

and in the same way we get

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=3}^{N}d_j\log_2(\log_2 j) \ge \log_2(\log_2 N) + C_6.$$
(5.4)

Thus, we obtain by (5.2)-(5.4)

$$\log_2 \sigma_n \le -\gamma \log_2 N - \xi \log_2(\log_2 N) + C_7$$

and since $N^d \simeq n$, then

$$\sigma_n \ll 2^{-\gamma \log_2 N} 2^{-\xi \log_2(\log_2 N)} \asymp n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi}.$$
(5.5)

By (5.1) and (5.5), we get

$$\sigma_n \asymp n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi}.$$
(5.6)

Let $2 \le q < \infty$. From (4.7) and (5.6), we obtain

$$\chi_{k} = 3 \sup_{\overline{N} \ge 1} \left(\frac{2^{-k+1} \operatorname{Vol}_{\overline{n}} \left(B_{(2)}^{\overline{n}} \right)}{\operatorname{Vol}_{\overline{n}} \left(B_{(q)}^{\overline{n}} \right)} \prod_{j=1}^{\overline{N}} |\lambda(j)|^{d_{j}} \right)^{1/\overline{n}} \asymp \sup_{\overline{N} \ge 1} 2^{-k/\overline{n}} \sigma_{\overline{n}} \asymp \sup_{\overline{N} \ge 1} 2^{-k/\overline{n}} \overline{n}^{-\gamma/d} (\log_{2} \overline{n})^{-\xi}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\overline{n} = \dim \mathcal{T}_{\overline{N}}$. Consider

$$g(x) = -\frac{k}{n} - \frac{\gamma}{d}\log_2 x - \xi \log_2(\log_2 x).$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.1 and (5.7), for $2 \le q < \infty$, it follows that

$$\chi_k \asymp \sup_{\overline{N} \ge 1} 2^{g(\overline{n})} = 2^{\sup_{\overline{N} \ge 1} g(\overline{n})} \asymp 2^{g(x_k)} \asymp 2^{-(\gamma/d)\log_2 k - \xi \log_2(\log_2 k)} = k^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 k)^{-\xi}.$$
(5.8)

From (5.8) we have that $\chi_k \simeq \lambda(k^{1/d}) \simeq \lambda([k^{1/d}])$ and thus there is $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\lambda(N) \ge 2^{-b}\chi_k$ for $N = [k^{1/d}], k \in \mathbb{N}$, and from Remark 4.6 we have that $\Lambda^{(1)} \in K_{\epsilon,2}$ for $0 < \epsilon < 2 - d/\gamma$. Hence, applying Theorem 4.8, observing that $\sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^j \theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}}^{1/2-1/q} \ll 1$ (see Remark 4.6) and from (5.8), for $2 \le p \le \infty$, $2 \le q < \infty$, we get

$$e_{\eta+bn}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll C\lambda(N)q^{1/2} \ll k^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 k)^{-\xi}.$$
(5.9)

Using estimates from Remark 4.6 and Remark 4.5 we get

$$\eta + bn = k + bn + \sum_{j=1}^{M} m_j \asymp k + N^d + C_{\epsilon} \theta_{N_1, N_2} \asymp k + N^d \asymp k,$$

therefore from (5.9) we get

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll k^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 k)^{-\xi}q^{1/2}, \quad 2 \le p \le \infty, \ 2 \le q < \infty.$$

The estimate for $1 \le q \le 2$ follows from the above estimate for q = 2. For $2 \le p \le \infty$ and $q = \infty$, using (4.7) and proceeding in a similar way to the previous case we obtain

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll k^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 k)^{-\xi} (\log_2 k)^{1/2},$$

concluding the proof of (1.1).

Now, we will prove (1.3). Let X be a Banach space and $A \subset X$. The Kolmogorov *n*-width of A in X is defined by

$$d_n(A, X) = \inf_{X_n} \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in X_n} \|x - y\|_X,$$

where X_n runs over all subspaces of X of dimension n, and the Gel'fand n-width of A in X is defined by

$$d^{n}(A,X) = \inf_{L^{n}} \sup_{x \in A \cap L^{n}} \|x\|_{X},$$

where L^n runs over all subspaces of X of codimension n. It was proved in [4, Theorem 1] that for $\gamma > d/2, \xi \ge 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_2, L^q\right) \ll n^{-\gamma/d} (\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.10)

From the duality of Kolmogorov and Gel'fand n-widths (see [15, p. 34]) it follows that

$$d^{n}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_{q'},L^{2}\right) = d_{n}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_{2},L^{q}\right) \ll n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_{2}n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_{2}n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
(5.11)

where 1/q + 1/q' = 1. Let $\{s_n\}$ be the sequence $\{d_n\}$ or $\{d^n\}$ and let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive and increasing function (for large $l \in \mathbb{N}$) such that, there is a constant c > 0 and $f(2^j) \leq cf(2^{j-1})$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is a constant C > 0 such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)e_l(A, X) \le C \sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)s_l(A, X)$$
(5.12)

(see [2, Theorem 1], [5, 1.3.3] and [14, 12.1.8]). Consider the function f defined for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \ge 2$, by

$$f(l) = l^{\gamma/d} (\log_2 l)^{\xi} \begin{cases} q^{-1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 l)^{-1/2}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

This function f satisfies the above condition and therefore from 5.12, 5.10 and 5.11 we have

$$\sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)e_l\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_2, L^q\right) \le C \sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)d_l\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_2, L^q\right) \le \bar{C},$$
$$\sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)e_l\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_{q'}, L^2\right) \le C \sup_{1 \le l \le n} f(l)d^l\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_{q'}, L^2\right) \le \bar{C},$$

that is,

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_2, L^q\right) \le \bar{C}n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
(5.13)

$$e_n\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^2\right) \le \bar{C}n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \begin{cases} (p-1)^{-1/2}, & 1 (5.14)$$

Suppose $\gamma > d$ and consider the multiplier sequence $\{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}\}_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \lambda^{(1)}(|\mathbf{k}|)$ where $\lambda^{(1)}$ is the function $\lambda^{(1)}(t) = t^{-\gamma/2}(\log_{2} t)^{\xi/2}$ for t > 1 and $\lambda^{(1)}(t) = 0$ for $0 \le t \le 1$. We denote by R and S the multiplier operators associated with the sequence $\{\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}\}_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_{0}^{d}}, R : L^{2} \to L^{q}$ and $S : L^{p} \to L^{2}$, for $1 \le p \le 2$ and $2 \le q \le \infty$. We have that the operator $\Lambda^{(1)} = R \circ S : L^{p} \to L^{q}$ is bounded. Applying the multiplicative property of entropy numbers (3.2) and (5.13), (5.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_{2n-1}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) &= e_{2n-1}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}\right) = e_{2n-1}\left(R \circ S\right) \\ &\leq e_n\left(R\right)e_n\left(S\right) = e_n\left(RU_2, L^q\right)e_n\left(SU_p, L^2\right) \\ &\leq \bar{C}^2 n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} q^{1/2}, & 2 \leq q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (p-1)^{-1/2}, & 1 < p \leq 2, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & p = 1, \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bar{C}^2 n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} q^{1/2}(p-1)^{-1/2}, & 1 < p \leq 2, 2 \leq q < \infty, \\ q^{1/2}(\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & p = 1, 2 \leq q < \infty, \\ (p-1)^{-1/2}(\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & 1 < p \leq 2, q = \infty, \\ \log_2 n, & p = 1, q = \infty. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $2n-1 \le k \le 2n+1$, then $e_k\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right) \le e_{2n-1}\left(\Lambda^{(1)}U_p, L^q\right)$ and $n^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 n)^{-\xi} \le C_1 k^{-\gamma/d}(\log_2 k)^{-\xi}$, $\log_2 n \le C_1 \log_2 k$ for a constant $C_1 > 0$ and all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence (1.3) follows from the above estimate. \Box

Remark 5.2. Let $\gamma, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma, r > 0$. For $N, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_N$, let

$$A_{N,k} = -\frac{1}{n} \left(k \ln 2 + \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \right).$$

Since $d_l \asymp l^{d-1}$ and $n \asymp N^d$, then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \asymp \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^{r+d-1} \asymp \int_0^N x^{d+r-1} dx \asymp N^{d+r},$$

and hence

$$A_{N,k} \asymp g(N),\tag{5.15}$$

where $g(x) = -kx^{-d} - x^r$. The function g assumes its absolute maximum at the point $x_k = (d/r)^{1/(d+r)}k^{1/(d+r)}$. Since for all r > 0 and x > 1, we have $-dx^{-d-1}k \le k[(x+1)^{-d} - x^{-d}] \le 0$ and $0 \le (x+1)^r - x^r \le rx^{r-1}$, then $g(x+1) \ge g(x) - rx^{r-1}$. Thus, since g is decreasing for $x > x_k$, it follows that

$$g(x) - rx^{r-1} \le g(x+1) \le g(x+t) \le g(x), \quad x \ge x_k, \ 0 \le t \le 1$$

If $\overline{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_k \leq \overline{N} \leq x_k + 1$, then

$$g(x_k) - rx_k^{r-1} \le g(\overline{N}) \le \sup_N g(N) \le g(x_k)$$

and thus

$$-C_1 k^{r/(d+r)} - C_2 k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \sup_N g(N) \le -C_1 k^{r/(d+r)}$$

with $C_1 = (d+r)d^{-d/(d+r)}r^{-r/(d+r)}$ and $C_2 = r^{(d+1)/(d+r)}d^{(r-1)/(d+r)}$. Therefore, from (5.15) we get

$$\sup_{N} A_{N,k} \asymp \sup_{N} g(N) \asymp k^{r/(d+r)}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we will prove (1.4). Note that

$$2^{-k/n} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N} |\lambda(l)|^{d_l} \right)^{1/n} = e^{-\left(k \ln 2 + \gamma \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l\right)/n} = e^{A_{N,k}}$$

where $n = \dim \mathcal{T}_N$. Then by Theorem 4.3 it follows that

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg e^{A_{N,k}}\mathcal{V}_n.$$
(5.16)

From Proposition 3.3 we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} l^{r} d_{l} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{N} \left(\frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} l^{d+r-1} + C_{1} l^{\theta+r} \right).$$

Taking $f(x) = (2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2))x^{d+r-1} + C_1 x^{\theta+r}$ we get

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} l^{r} d_{l} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{N} f(l) \leq \int_{1}^{N+1} f(x) dx \leq \frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)(d+r)} (N+1)^{d+r} + C_{2}(N+1)^{\theta+r+1}.$$

Again, by Proposition 3.3 we have that $n \ge \left(2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}/d\Gamma(d/2)\right)N^d$ and therefore

$$\frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \le \frac{d\Gamma(d/2)\gamma}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}N^d} \left(\frac{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)(d+r)} (N+1)^{d+r} + C_2(N+1)^{\theta+r+1} \right)$$
$$= \frac{d\gamma}{(d+r)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)^d (N+1)^r + C_3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)^d (N+1)^{\theta+r+1-d}.$$

But $(1 + 1/N)^d = 1 + b_1/N + b_2/N^2 + \dots + 1/N^d \le (1 + C_4/N)$, then

$$\frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \le \frac{d\gamma}{(d+r)} (N+1)^r + C_5 \left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right)^r N^{r-1} + C_6 (N+1)^{\theta+r+1-d} \le \frac{d\gamma}{(d+r)} (N+1)^r + C_7,$$

if $0 \le r \le d - \theta - 1$. For $d \ge 4$, $\theta = d - 2$ and thus we must have $0 < r \le 1$, for d = 2, $\theta = \frac{132}{208}$ and thus $0 < r \le \frac{76}{208}$, and for d = 3 we must have $0 < r \le \frac{11}{16}$. Since $(N + 1)^r = N^r (1 + N^{-1})^r \le N^r + C_r N^{r-1} \le N^r + C_8$, we get

$$\frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \le \frac{d\gamma}{d+r} (N^r + C_8) + C_7 = \frac{d\gamma}{d+r} N^r + C_9.$$
(5.17)

From Proposition 3.3 and (5.17), we obtain

$$A_{N,k} \ge -\frac{d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)k}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}N^{-d} - \frac{d\gamma}{d+r}N^r - C_9.$$
(5.18)

Consider the function

$$g(x) = -\frac{d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)k}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}x^{-d} - \frac{d\gamma}{d+r}x^r - C_9.$$

The absolute maximum value of the function g is assumed at the point

$$x_k = \left(\frac{(d+r)d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)}{2^{1-d}r\gamma\pi^{d/2}}\right)^{1/(d+r)}k^{1/(d+r)}.$$

We can show, as in Remark 5.2, that there is a constant C_{10} such that

$$g(x) - C_{10} \le g(x+t) \le g(x), \quad x \ge x_k, \quad 0 \le t \le 1$$

and hence

$$g(x_k) - C_{10} \le \sup_N g(N) \le g(x_k).$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.18) that

$$\sup_{N} A_{N,k} \ge \sup_{N} g(N) \ge g(x_k) - C_{10}.$$

But

$$g(x_k) = -\gamma^{d/(d+r)} \left(\frac{(d+r)2^{d-1}d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)}{r\pi^{d/2}} \right)^{r/(d+r)} k^{r/(d+r)} - C_9 = -\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} - C_9,$$

and thus

$$\sup_{N} A_{N,k} \ge -\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} - C_{11}.$$
(5.19)

Then, by (5.16)

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}}\mathcal{V}_n$$

Let $\overline{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{N} \in (x_k - 1, x_k + 1)$ such that $\sup_N g(N) = g(\overline{N})$. From Remark 5.2, $\overline{N} \simeq k^{1/(d+r)}$, thus $\overline{n} = \dim \mathcal{T}_{\overline{N}} \simeq \overline{N}^d \simeq k^{d/(d+r)}$, and hence $\log_2 n \simeq \log_2 k$. Therefore

$$e_k\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \gg e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}}\mathcal{V}_k,$$

concluding the proof of (1.4).

Now, we will prove (1.5). From Remark 4.6 we have that $\Lambda^{(2)} \in K_{\epsilon,2}$ for $0 < \epsilon < [2r - \delta(d-r)]/r$ and any $0 < \delta < 2r/(d+r)$. From Remark 4.6, there is a positive constant C_{12} such that, $\theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}} \leq C_{12}2^{(\delta(d-r)/r)k}N^{d-r}$ for all $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and since $M \leq \epsilon^{-1}\log_2 \theta_{N_1,N_2} \leq C_{13}\log_2 N$, then $\log_2 \theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}} \leq C_{14}\log_2 N \leq C_{15}\log_2 n$ for $1 \leq k \leq M$. Therefore

$$\sup_{1 \le k \le M} \left(\log_2 \theta_{N_k, N_{k+1}} \right)^{1/2} \le C_{16} (\log_2 n)^{1/2}.$$
(5.20)

For $2 \le q \le \infty$, we obtain from (4.7)

$$\chi_k \le C_{17} \sup_{N \ge 1} \left(2^{-k} \right)^{1/n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^N |\lambda(j)|^{d_j} \right)^{1/n} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty, \end{cases}$$
$$= C_{17} \sup_N e^{A_{N,k}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 n)^{1/2}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

From (4.7) we also have $\chi_k \ge C_{18} \sup_N e^{A_{N,k}}$ and since $n \asymp N^d$, then

$$e^{\sup_{N} A_{N,k}} \ll \chi_{k} \ll \begin{cases} e^{\sup_{N} A_{N,k}} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ e^{\sup_{N} \left(A_{N,k} + (\ln(\log_{2} N))/2\right)}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.21)

Consider $2 \le q < \infty$. If $f(x) = (2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2))x^{d+r-1} - Cx^{\theta+r}$, then by Proposition 3.3 it follows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{N} l^{r} d_{l} \ge \sum_{l=1}^{N} f(l) \ge \int_{0}^{N} f(x) dx = \frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)(d+r)} N^{d+r} - \frac{C}{\theta+r+1} N^{\theta+r+1}.$$
(5.22)

The supremum $\sup_N A_{N,k}$ is assumed in $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $N \simeq k^{1/(d+r)}$ (see Remark 5.2). Again, from Proposition 3.3 and since $0 < r \leq 1$, we get

$$\frac{k}{n} \ge \frac{k}{FN^d} - \frac{\overline{C}k}{F^2N^{d+1}} \ge \frac{k}{FN^d} - C_{19}k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \ge \frac{d\Gamma(d/2)k}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}N^{-d} - C_{19}.$$
(5.23)

Now, using Proposition 3.3 and (5.22),

$$\frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{N} l^r d_l \ge \left(\frac{\gamma}{FN^d} - \frac{\overline{C}\gamma}{F^2 N^{d+1}}\right) \left(\frac{2^{1-d} \pi^{d/2}}{(d+r)\Gamma(d/2)} N^{d+r} - \frac{C}{\theta+r+1} N^{\theta+r+1}\right) \ge \frac{d\gamma}{(d+r)} N^r - C_{20}, \quad (5.24)$$

since $0 < r \le d - 1 - \theta$. Hence, from (5.23) and (5.24) we get

$$A_{N,k} = -\frac{k}{n}\ln 2 - \frac{\gamma}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{N} l^{r}d_{l} \le -\frac{d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)k}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}N^{-d} - \frac{d\gamma}{d+r}N^{r} + C_{21}.$$
(5.25)

Consider the function $g_1(x) = g(x) + C_9 + C_{21}$. The absolute maximum value of g_1 is assumed at the point x_k and therefore

$$\sup_{N} A_{N,k} \le \sup_{N} g_1(N) \le g_1(x_k) = -\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C_{21}$$

Then, by (5.21),

$$\chi_k \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}}q^{1/2}, \quad 2 \le q < \infty.$$
 (5.26)

For $q = \infty$, from (5.21) and (5.25) we obtain

$$\chi_k \ll e^{\sup_N \left(A_{N,k} + (\ln(\log_2 N))/2\right)} \le \sup_N e^{-\frac{d\Gamma(d/2)(\ln 2)k}{2^{1-d}\pi^{d/2}}N^{-d} - \frac{d\gamma}{d+r}N^r + \frac{1}{2}\ln(\log_2 N) + C_{21}}.$$

Consider now the function $g_2(x) = g_1(x) + (\ln(\log_2 x))/2$. As in Lemma 5.1, we can show that the absolute maximum value of g_2 is assumed at a point \overline{x}_k satisfying $\overline{C}_1 x_k \leq \overline{x}_k \leq \overline{C}_2 x_k$ and hence $\sup_N g_2(N)$ is obtained when $N \asymp \overline{x}_k \asymp x_k \asymp k^{1/(d+r)}$. Therefore, for $q = \infty$, we have that

$$\chi_k \ll \sup_N \left(e^{A_{N,k}} (\log_2 N)^{1/2} \right) \ll \sup_N e^{A_{N,k}} \left(\log_2 k^{1/(d+r)} \right)^{1/2} \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, \tag{5.27}$$

and by (5.19), (5.21), (5.26) and (5.27) it follows that

$$e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \ll \chi_k \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.28)

Fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\gamma}\right)^{1/r} k^{1/(d+r)} \le N < \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\gamma}\right)^{1/r} k^{1/(d+r)} + 1.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} \le \gamma N^r \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-1)/(d+r)} \le \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)} + C'k^{(r-$$

and thus

$$\overline{C}e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \le \lambda(N) \le e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}}$$
(5.29)

for positive constants C' and \overline{C} . Since by (5.28) $\chi_k \simeq e^{-Ck^{r/(d+r)}}$, there are positive constants D and \overline{D} such that $D\chi_k \leq \lambda(N) \leq \overline{D}\chi_k$. Let $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $D \geq 2^{-b}$. Therefore we have $\lambda(N) \geq 2^{-b}\chi_k$ and since $\Lambda^{(2)} \in K_{\epsilon,2}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$, applying Theorem 4.8 we obtain

$$e_{\eta+bn}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_{p},L^{q}\right) \ll |\lambda(N)| \left(\begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, 2 \le q < \infty, \\ \sup_{1 \le j \le M} (\log_{2} \theta_{N_{j},N_{j+1}})^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty, \end{cases} + \sum_{j=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \theta_{N_{j},N_{j+1}}^{1/2-1/q} \right).$$

But from Remark 4.6, $\sum_{k=M+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \theta_{N_k,N_{k+1}}^{1/p-1/q} \ll 1$ and $\log_2 \theta_{N_j,N_{j+1}} \ll \log_2 n$ and since $\log_2 n \asymp \log_2 N^d \asymp \log_2 k^{d/(d+r)} \asymp \log_2 k$, hence by (5.29)

$$e_{\eta+bn}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, 2 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Considering the result of Theorem 4.8 for $1 \le q < 2$, we obtain

$$e_{\eta+bn}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, 1 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.30)

From Remark 4.5 and remembering that $N \simeq k^{1/(d+r)}$, we get

$$\eta + bn = k + bn + \sum_{j=1}^{M} m_j \le k + C_{22}N^d + C_{23}N^{d-r} \le k + C_{24}k^{d/(d+r)}$$

Now, from properties of entropy numbers and (5.30), we obtain

$$e_{[k+C_{24}k^{d/(d+r)}]}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, 1 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.31)

Let $\xi_k = k + C_{24} k^{d/(d+r)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}\left(-k^{r/(d+r)} + \xi_k^{r/(d+r)}\right) = \mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}\left(\frac{rC_{24}}{(d+r)}k^{-r/(d+r)} - \frac{rdC_{24}^2}{2(d+r)^2}k^{-2r/(d+r)} + \cdots\right)$$
$$\leq \mathcal{C}\frac{rC_{24}}{(d+r)} = C_{25}$$

and therefore

$$e^{-\mathcal{C}k^{r/(d+r)}} \le e^{C_{25}}e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_k^{r/(d+r)}} \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_k^{r/(d+r)}}.$$

Then from (5.31)

$$e_{[\xi_k]}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, 1 \le q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & 2 \le p \le \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases}$$
(5.32)

We observe that

$$\xi_k^{r/(d+r)} = k^{r/(d+r)} \left(1 + \frac{rC_{24}}{d+r} k^{-r/(d+r)} - \frac{rdC_{24}^2}{2(d+r)^2} k^{-2r/(d+r)} + \cdots \right),$$

and hence

$$\xi_k^{r/(d+r)} \ge k^{r/(d+r)} \left(1 + \frac{rC_{24}}{d+r} k^{-r/(d+r)} - \frac{rdC_{24}^2}{2(d+r)^2} k^{-2r/(d+r)} \right)$$

and

$$\xi_{k+1}^{r/(d+r)} \le (k+1)^{r/(d+r)} \left(1 + \frac{rC_{24}}{d+r} (k+1)^{-r/(d+r)} \right).$$

Since $0 < (k+1)^{r/(d+r)} - k^{r/(d+r)} \le r/(d+r)$, it follows that

$$0 \le \xi_{k+1}^{r/(d+r)} - \xi_k^{r/(d+r)} \le (k+1)^{r/(d+r)} - k^{r/(d+r)} + C_{26} \le C_{27}$$

and thus

$$1 \le \frac{e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_k^{r/(d+r)}}}{e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_{k+1}^{r/(d+r)}}} \le C_{28}.$$
(5.33)

Finally, let *l* an integer satisfying $[\xi_k] \le l \le [\xi_{k+1}]$. Then from properties of entropy numbers, from (5.32) and (5.33) we obtain

$$\begin{split} e_l\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) &\leq e_{[\xi_k]}\left(\Lambda^{(2)}U_p, L^q\right) \ll e^{-\mathcal{C}\xi_k^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 k)^{1/2}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, q = \infty. \end{cases} \\ &\leq e^{-\mathcal{C}l^{r/(d+r)}} \begin{cases} q^{1/2}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ (\log_2 l)^{1/2}, & 2 \leq p \leq \infty, q = \infty, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

concluding the proof of (1.5).

Remark 5.3. Let $B_R^* = {\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}|_* \leq R}$ and N_d^* the number of points of integer coordinates contained in the ball B_R^* . We have that $N_d^*(l) = (2l+1)^d$ and $\#A_l^* = (l+1)^d$. Then there is a constant C, such that, for all $l, N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$dl^{d-1} \le d_l^* \le dl^{d-1} + Cl^{d-2}$$
 and $N^d \le \dim \mathcal{T}_N^* \le N^d + CN^{d-1}$.

Considerer the multiplier operators $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda_*^{(2)}$ defined in the introduction. We note that the Theorem 3.5 was proved in [4] also for operators of this type. Through minor modifications in the proofs in this paper we can show that Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.8 also hold if we change \mathcal{H}_l , \mathcal{T}_N , d_l and λ_k by \mathcal{H}_l^* , \mathcal{T}_N^* , d_l^* and λ_k^* and Theorem 1.1 also hold if we change $\Lambda^{(1)}$ by $\Lambda_*^{(1)}$ and Theorem 1.2 hold if we change $\Lambda^{(2)}$ by $\Lambda_*^{(2)}$ and the constant C by the constant C_* given in the introduction. The Theorem 1.2 is valid in this case for $0 < r \leq 1$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5.4. Consider the real *l*-dimensional unit sphere \mathbb{S}^l in \mathbb{R}^{l+1} , that is, the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ such that $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{l+1}^2 = 1$, endowed with the normalized Lebesgue measure dx. Walsh functions on \mathbb{S}^l were introduced in [3]. The set $\{\zeta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the Walsh functions on \mathbb{S}^l is a complete orthonormal subset of real-valued functions of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^l)$ and $|\zeta_n(x)| = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^l$ (see [3, 4]).

-	-	-	1

Now, consider the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with the normalized Lebesgue measure $(1/(2\pi))dt$ and for each $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ let $\varphi_0(x) = 1$, $\varphi_{2k}(x) = \sqrt{2}\cos(kx)$, $\varphi_{2k-1}(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(kx)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The trigonometric system $\{\varphi_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a complete orthonormal subset of $L^2([0, 2\pi])$, of real-valued functions, uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}([0, 2\pi])$.

For each $1 \leq j \leq d$, let $\left\{\phi_l^{(j)}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a Vilenkin system $\{Z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{G})$, or the Walsh system of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^l)$ for some $l \geq 2$, or the trigonometric system $\{\varphi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $L^2([0, 2\pi])$. Consider the complete orthonormal system $\{\phi_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $L^2(\Omega)$ as in the Proposition 3.1. Then all the results in this paper are true for this system.

References

- [1] R. Barrett, J.A. Gordon, D. Brammer, Theory and applications of Walsh functions, Hatfield Polytechnic Symposium, England, 1971.
- [2] B. Carl, Entropy numbers, s-numbers and eigenvalue problems, J. Funct. Anal. 41 (1981) 290-306.
- [3] B. Bordin, A. Kushpel, S. Tozoni, Approximate characteristics of multiplier operators on the sphere, in: K. Kopotun, T. Lyche, M. Neamtu (Eds.), Trends in Approximation Theory, Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville, 2001, pp. 39-48.
- [4] S.A. Córdoba, S. Tozoni, Estimates for *n*-widths of multiplier operators of multiple Walsh series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 479 (2019) 1292–1323.
- [5] D.E. Edmunds, H. Triebel, Function Spaces, Entropy Numbers, Differential Operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [6] D. R. Heath-Brown, Lattice points in the sphere, Number theory in progress Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1977), 883-892, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.
- [7] M. N. Huxley, Exponential sums and lattice points III, Proc. London Math. Soc. 87 (2003) 591-609.
- [8] A. Kushpel, S. Tozoni, Entropy numbers of Sobolev and Besov classes on homogeneous spaces, in: H.G.W. Begehr, R.P. Gilbert, M.E. Muldoon, M.W. Wong (Eds.), Advances in Analysis, World Scientific Publishing Co, Singapore, 2005, pp. 89-98.
- [9] A. Kushpel, S. Tozoni, Entropy and widths of multiplier operators on two point homogeneous spaces, Constr. Approx. 35 (2012) 137-180.
- [10] A. Kushpel, K. Taş, J. Levesley, Widths and entropy of sets of smooth functions on compact homogeneous manifolds, Turk J Math 45 (2021) 167-184.
- [11] S. Mayer, T. Ullrich, Entropy numbers of finite dimensional mixed-norm balls and function space embeddings with small mixed smoothness, Const. Approx. 53 (2021) 249–279.
- [12] T. Mieth, Entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of weighted Sobolev spaces, J. Approx. Theory 192 (2015) 250-272.
- [13] A. Pajor, N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Subspaces of small codimension of finite-dimensional Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986) 637–642.
- [14] A. Pietsch, Operator Ideals, North-Holland Publ. Co, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [15] A. Pinkus, *n*-Widths in Approximation Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [16] G. Pisier, The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

- [17] F. Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon, Walsh Series: an introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, Adam Hilger, New York, 1990.
- [18] R.L.B. Stábile, S. Tozoni, Estimates for entropy numbers of sets of smooth functions on the torus \mathbf{T}^d , J. Approx. Theory **235** (2018) 92-115.
- [19] V. Temlyakov, On the entropy numbers of the mixed smoothness function classes, J. Approx. Theory, 217 (2017) 26–56.
- [20] N. Ja. Vilenkin, On a class of complete orthonormal systems, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 28 (1963) 1-35.
- [21] H.P. Wang, K. Wang, J. Wang, Entropy numbers of Besov classes of generalized smoothness on the sphere, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 30 (2014) 51–60.
- [22] C. Watari, On generalized Walsh Fourier series, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 10 (1958) 211-241.
- [23] W.S. Young, Mean convergence of generalized Walsh-Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 218 (1976) 311-320.