

3208

RELATÓRIO DE PESQUISA 1994

ON THIRRING'S APPROACH TO
MACH'S PRINCIPLE: CRITICISMS
AND SPECULATIONS ON EXTENSIONS
OF HIS ORIGINAL WORK

Fabio M. Peiroto
and
Márcio A. F. Rosa

Julho

RP 37/94

RT - BIMECC
3158

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA
ESTATÍSTICA E CIÊNCIA DA COMPUTAÇÃO



UNICAMP

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS

ABSTRACT – In his famous paper, [1], Thirring obtained inertial forces in the context of linearized Einstein's Relativity Theory. Bass and Pirani, following a suggestion by Lanczos, improved Thirring's calculation ([2]). In this work, we criticise ref. [2] and make some speculations on the main problems of the linear approach. We also compare this classical point of view with a recent Assis' work on Mach's Principle using Weber's law of force ([7]).

IMECC – UNICAMP
Universidade Estadual de Campinas
CP 6065
13081-970 Campinas SP
Brasil

O conteúdo do presente Relatório de Pesquisa é de única responsabilidade do(s) autor(es).

Julho – 1994

ON THIRRING'S APPROACH TO MACH'S PRINCIPLE:
CRITICISMS AND SPECULATIONS ON EXTENSIONS OF HIS
ORIGINAL WORK.

Fabio M. Peizoto^(a)

and

Márcio A. F. Rosa^{(a),(b)}

Abstract: In his famous paper, [1], Thirring obtained inertial forces in the context of linearized Einstein's Relativity Theory. Bass and Pirani, following a suggestion by Lanczos, improved Thirring's calculation ([2]). In this work, we criticise ref. [2] and make some speculations on the main problems of the linear approach. We also compare this classical point of view with a recent Assis' work on Mach's Principle using Weber's law of force ([7]).

1. Introduction: In the last century, Ernst Mach proposed that inertial forces were caused by interaction of the body with all the great masses of the Universe, so called "fixed stars". Einstein named this idea as Mach's Principle and he had the hope that his General Relativity would give a mathematical formulation for it. Perhaps there are discussions about Machian effects in General Relativity until nowadays. In parts 2 and 3 of this work we present the main approaches to Mach's Principle in linearized Relativity, in part 4 we make a brief comment on non linear approaches, in part 5 we make our speculations and comments in the linear context and in part 6 we make comparisons of these approaches with a recent Assis' work on Mach's Principle ([7]).

2. Thirring's Approach: In 1918 Hans Thirring, [1], derived the inertial forces (Centrifugal and Coriolis) in a hollow, spinning, spherical cavity, using linearized equations. The hypothesis that he utilized are the following:

^(a) Department of Mathematics - UNICAMP, 13081-970, Brazil.

^(b) Mathematical Institute - Oxford OX1 3LB - England.

- (i) the rotation frequency w is constant.
- (ii) $wa \ll 1$ (a the sphere radius and $c = 1$ light's velocity).
- (iii) $|\vec{u}| \ll 1$ (being \vec{u} the text particle's velocity).
- (iv) $\rho = \rho_0 \delta(r - a)$ (thin shell hypothesis)
- (v) $g_{\mu\nu} = -\delta_{\mu\nu} + \gamma_{\mu\nu}$ (linearized equations).

The momentum - energy tensor for the hollow, spinning cavity utilized was

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho_0 v^\mu v^\nu \quad (1)$$

being v^μ the 4-velocity of an element of the shell and ρ_0 the constant density along it.

Rejecting terms with order higher than $(wa)^2$, he obtained the following equations of motion for a body near the center of the shell

$$\ddot{x} = -\frac{8GM}{3a}w\dot{y} + \frac{4GM}{15a}w^2x \quad (2)$$

$$\ddot{y} = \frac{8GM}{3a}w\dot{x} + \frac{4GM}{15a}w^2y \quad (3)$$

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{8GM}{15a}w^2z \quad (4)$$

where M is the rest mass of the shell.

Or vectorially

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\vec{r}} = & -\frac{8GM}{15a}w^2\vec{r} - \frac{4GM}{5a}\vec{\omega}(\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}) - \\ & - \frac{4GM}{3a}(2\vec{u} \times \vec{\omega}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

One feature of the above equations is a radial term which does not have similar in the Newtonian theory. Thirring had already realized it and had conjectured that it was caused by the assumption that the total mass of the universe could be treated as placed on a shell with infinitesimal thickness. He has guessed that an appropriate distribution of mass could get rid of this term.

3. Bass and Pirani Approach: In 1955, [2], Bass and Pirani following a suggestion made by Lanczos, noted that the energy-momentum tensor given by Thirring didn't satisfy the conservation law

$$T^{\mu\nu};\nu = 0 \quad (6)$$

So they have proposed a new energy-momentum tensor that that contains an elastic term

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho v^\mu v^\nu + E^{\mu\nu} \quad (7)$$

The terms of $E^{\mu\nu}$ were calculated by analogy with the classical elasticity theory and they are given by

$$E^{\mu\nu} = -\rho\gamma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w^2 & iw^3a^2 \text{sen}^2\theta \\ 0 & 0 & iw^3a^2 \text{sen}^2\theta & -w^4a^4 \text{sen}^4\theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\gamma = (1 - w^2a^2 \text{sen}^2\theta)^{-1/2} \quad (9)$$

and ρ is the mass density of the shell.

Their hypothesis were the same of Thirring and their calculations were very similar too, but they have made an additional assumption: that the mass density varies with the latitude according to the equation

$$\rho = \rho_0(1 + Nw^2a^2 \text{sen}^2\theta) \quad (10)$$

where ρ_0 and N are constants.

Equation (10) can be interpreted as a generalization of the Taylor expansion of eq. (9) when $wa \ll 1$, in the relativistic formula for density:

$$\rho = \gamma^2 \rho_0 \quad (11)$$

$$wa \ll 1 \Rightarrow \rho \cong \rho_0 \left(1 + \frac{w^2a^2}{2} \text{sen}^2\theta\right) \text{ when } N = 1/2.$$

Using the tensor (8) in (7) and rejecting terms of order higher than 2 in w , Bass and Pirani obtained the following energy-momentum tensor in cartesian coordinates

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\rho\gamma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi & -iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

from this tensor the linearized Einstein's theory gives, for a low velocity test particle near the center of the shell, the equations of motion

$$\ddot{x} = -\frac{SGM}{3a}w\dot{y} + \frac{2}{15}(1+N)\frac{GM}{a}w^2x \quad (13)$$

$$\ddot{y} = \frac{SGM}{3a}w\dot{x} + \frac{2}{15}(1+N)\frac{GM}{a}w^2y \quad (14)$$

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{4}{15}(1+N)\frac{GM}{a}w^2z \quad (15)$$

or

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = -\frac{4}{15}(1+N)\frac{GM}{a}w^2\vec{r} - \frac{2}{15}(1+N)\frac{GM}{a}\vec{w} \times (\vec{w} \times \vec{r}) - \frac{4}{3}\frac{GM}{a}(2\vec{u} \times \vec{w}) \quad (16)$$

We see, by comparing the case $N = 0$ with Thirring's equations, that the introduction of the elastic stress has as consequence the halving of the centrifugal force.

The problem of a radial term persists in their work and they didn't propose any solution for it as they explicitly state in their paper, [2].

4. The Non-Linear Approach: Latter, in 1966, Brill and Cohen studied the effect of rotating masses on inertial frames, [3] and [4], but they didn't use the linear approximation of Relativity theory. However they limited their calculations to first order in w and got the correct Coriolis force for the test particle. Recently, this work was extend to second order in w by Pfister and Brawn ([5]) and they also obtained the centrifugal force for the test particle.

The non-linear approaches do not have the extra radial term appearing in the linear one, but they make the very slowly rotating bodies approximation ($wa \ll 1$). We are not giving more details on the non-linear approaches since our comments

and speculations are restricted to the linear ones.

5. Criticisms and Speculations:

We have seen that all approaches make the assumption $wa \ll 1$, but if we want this model of the Universe, this assumption is no more valid because its radius (a) is extremely big. If we rotate ourselves with $w = 1Hz$ the Moon would have an apparent velocity of $4.0 \cdot 10^5 km/seg$; already higher than c .

For this we have extended the work of Bass and Pirani to the case of quickly rotating bodies, that is $wa \gg 1$, and the mass shell would rotate with a velocity much higher than c . For this we use the γ given in ref. [6]:

$$\gamma = (w^2 a^2 \sin^2\theta - 1)^{-1/2} \quad (17)$$

and $wa \gg 1$

We do not see any contradiction between the assumption $wa \gg 1$ and the General Relativity. The superluminal mass shell would be in eternal and constant motion in our model.

A justification for using linearized equations is that, in common life situations, the centrifugal force can be of the order of our weight, and we know that Earth's field is a weak field; as the centrifugal force in these situations.

We observe that Bass and Pirani have used ρ instead of ρ_0 in their $T^{\mu\nu}$ (eq. (7)), what is not correct because the velocity dependence of the density is already implicit in the definition of the energy-momentum tensor (from the 4-velocity). However this is not a problem in their work since for small w an appropriate choice of the constant N masks the mistake. The correct energy - momentum tensor would then be

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho_0 v^\mu v^\nu + E^{\mu\nu} \quad (18)$$

Applying (8) in (18) and only keeping terms in $1/wa$ and $(1/wa)^2$ (we have supposed $wa \gg 1$) we get the following superluminal tensor in cartesian coordinates,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\rho_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & iwa \sin\theta \sin\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ iwa \sin\theta \sin\varphi & -iwa \sin\theta \cos\varphi & 0 & w^2 a^2 \sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

If we use (10) in (12) and reject terms with order higher than second in w , we get the same tensor as (19). So it is not surprising when we repeat the calculations and obtain that the test particles's motion equation for $wa \gg 1$ are the same of Bass and Pirani with $N = 0$ ($\rho = \rho_0$),

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = -\frac{4GM}{15a}w^2\vec{r} - \frac{2GM}{5a}\vec{w} \times (\vec{w} \times \vec{r}) - \frac{4GM}{3a}(2\vec{w} \times \vec{w}) \quad (20)$$

Then radial term also appears in this approach. The fact that in the Newtonian theory the field inside a massive sphere is radial but is zero inside a shell; suggests that the thin shell model should be the responsible for the appearance of an extra radial term. It seems that this was Thirring's point of view since he stated in his paper that the radial term should arise from the mass shell approximation (see [1]).

Bass and Pirani didn't get any solution for the radial term problem, and we believe it is a consequence of the linear approximation, since it does not appear in the non-linear approach ([5]).

6. Comparison with Assis's work: In 1989, Assis proposed an equation for gravitation in analogy with the Weber's force law for Electrodynamics ([7]). This had already been proposed by Tinerand ([8]) but not yet applied in connection with Mach's Principle as Assis did.

The force law between the i and the j particle is given by

$$\vec{F}_{ij} = -Hg \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{ij}^2} \hat{r}_{ij} \{1 + \xi/c^2(\vec{v}_{ij} \cdot \vec{v}_{ij} - 3/2(\hat{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{v}_{ij})^2 + \vec{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{a}_{ij})\} \quad (21)$$

where the ij index is for the relative position, velocity and acceleration; H_g and ξ are constants.

In his work, Assis fix a value, $\xi = 6$, to fit the experimental advance of the perhelion of Mercury but the constant H_g remains undefined.

From (20), Assis calculated the force that a spinning, massive sphere with isotropical mass distribution exerts in a particle that is inside it (not necessarily near its center), obtaining the equation of motion

$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_p = -\Phi \left[\vec{a}_p + \vec{r}_p \times \frac{d\vec{w}}{dt} + 2\vec{v}_p \times \vec{w} + \vec{w} \times (\vec{w} \times \vec{r}_p) \right] \quad (22)$$

where

$$\Phi = \frac{H_g \xi M}{2ac^2} \quad (23)$$

($\vec{a}_p, \vec{v}_p, \vec{r}_p$ are the acceleration, velocity and position of the particle, \vec{w} the angular velocity of the spinning shell, a its radius and M its mass).

Taking \vec{w} constants as did Thirring,

$$\ddot{\vec{r}}_p = -\Phi \vec{a}_p - \Phi \vec{w} \times (\vec{w} \times \vec{r}_p) - \Phi(2\vec{v}_p \times \vec{w}) \quad (24)$$

Comparing (23) with (20) we observe that

(i) In (23) there is no radial term as in (20)

(ii) In (23) there is a acceleration dependent term, it is not present in (20) since the inertia is already included in Minkowsky metric and (20) is a result of a perturbation of it, keeping only perturbation terms.

(iii) In (23) the constants multiplying the Coriolis and centrifugal forces are the same while in (20) we have different constants for this terms. This is probably the influence of the radial term that has spoiled the centrifugal force's constant.

By comparing (20) and (23) we intend to relate H_g to the universal gravitation constant G . For this we make Φ equal to the constant appearing in the Coriolis force. From (iii) this is the correct procedure. We get

$$H_g = \frac{8G}{3\xi} \quad (25)$$

$$\text{if } \xi = 6, \quad H_g = 4G/9 \quad (26)$$

and H_g is of the order of G as should be expected.

7. Conclusion: In this work we have analysed the method of Thirring to obtain the inertial forces inside a hollow, spinning sphere. The appearance of a radial term that does not exist in classical mechanics, seems to be a consequence of the linear approximation and not a consequence of the thin shell approximation as Thirring first thought.

We observe that, if we consider the spinning sphere as a model of the Universe, the hypothesis $wa \ll 1$ is no more valid, and we have done an extension of Thirring's approach for $wa \gg 1$. We have obtained that the equation of motion is the same in both limits as should be expected.

Finally, we have made a comparison of these classical approaches and a recent work of Assis on Mach's Principle using a force equation from Weber's electrodynamics; calculating an unknown constant, H_g , in his theory from the universal gravitation constant G .

8. Acknowledgements: Specially to W. A. Rodrigues for comments and encouragement, and F.R. Andrade for the excellent typing work.

9. References:

- [1] Thirring, H. *Phys. Z.*, 33-39 (1918); erratum in *Phys. Z.*, 22, 29-30 (1921).
- [2] Bass, L. and Pirani, F.A.E., *Phil. Mag.* 46, 850-856 (1955).
- [3] Cohen, J.M.; Brill, D.R.; *Phys. Rev.*, 143, 1011-1015 (1966)
- [4] Cohen, J.M.; Brill, D.R.; *Nuovo Cim.*, 56B, 209-219 (1968)
- [5] Pfister, H.; Braun, K.H.; *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 2, 909-918 (1985).
- [6] Recami, E.; *Riv. del Nuov. Cim.*, 9, 6 and 50 (1986)
- [7] Assis, A.K.T., *Found. Phys. Lett.* 2, 301-309 (1989)
- [8] Whittaker, E.T., *A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity - The Classical Theories*, Humanities Press, N.Y., 1973, 207-208.

RELATÓRIOS DE PESQUISA — 1994

- 01/94 **Stability of the Sucker Rod's Periodic Solution** — *Aloisio Freiria Neves.*
- 02/94 **A New Strategy for Solving Variational Inequalities in Bounded Polytopes** — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos.*
- 03/94 **Prime and Maximal Ideals in Polynomial Rings** — *Miguel Ferrero.*
- 04/94 **A New Globalization Strategy for the Resolution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations** — *Ana Friedlander, Márcia A. Gomes-Ruggiero, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos.*
- 05/94 **An Ambrosetti-Prodi Type Result for a System of Elliptic Equations via Leray-Schauder Degree** — *Daniel Cordeiro de Moraes Filho.*
- 06/94 **On Attractivity of Discontinuous Systems** — *Marco Antonio Teixeira.*
- 07/94 **Weakly Elliptic Systems of Variational Inequalities: a 2×2 Model Problem with Obstacles in both Components** — *D. R. Adams and H. J. Nussenzweig Lopes.*
- 08/94 **A New Method for Large-Scale Box Constrained Convex Quadratic Minimization Problems** — *Ana Friedlander, José Mario Martínez and Marcos Raydan.*
- 09/94 **Weak - Strong Continuity of Multilinear Mappings Pełczyński - Pitt Theorem** — *Raymundo Alencar and Klaus Floret.*
- 10/94 **Removable Singularities Theorems for the Yang-Mills Functional** — *Antonella Marini.*
- 11/94 **Magneto-Micropolar Fluid Motion: Existence and Uniqueness of Strong Solution** — *Marko A. Rojas-Medar.*
- 12/94 **Absolutely Summing Analytic Operators and the Generalized Khintchine Inequality** — *Mário C. Matos.*
- 13/94 **Minimal Immersions of Surfaces Into n -Dimensional Space Forms** — *Irwen Valle Guadalupe.*
- 14/94 **Inexact - Newton Methods and the Computation of Singular Points** — *Daniel N. Kozakevich, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos.*
- 15/94 **Existence and non-existence of radial solutions for elliptic equations with critical growth in R^2** — *Djairo G. de Figueiredo and B. Ruf.*
- 16/94 **Numerical Solution of the Leptonic Model** — *M. F. Tome, J. M. Martínez and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.*

- 17/94 On Acyclic Knots — *Ricardo N. Cruz.*
- 18/94 Optimal Stopping Time for a Poisson Point Process — *Nancy Lopes Garcia.*
- 19/94 Field Theory of the Spinning Electron: I – Internal Motions — *Giovanni Salesi and Erasmo Recami.*
- 20/94 Field Theory of the Spinning Electron: II – The New, Non-Linear Field Equations — *Erasmo Recami and Giovanni Salesi.*
- 21/94 The Weak Solutions and Reproductive Property for a System of Evolution Equations of Magnetohydrodynamic Type — *Marko A. Rojas-Medar and José Luiz Boldrini.*
- 22/94 A Fixed Point Theorem of Banach in the Fuzzy Context — *Marko A. Rojas-Medar, Heriberto Román-Flores and Rodney C. Bassanezi.*
- 23/94 Spectral Galerkin Approximations for the Equations of Magneto Hydrodynamic Type: Local in Time Error Estimates — *Marko Rojas-Medar and José Luiz Boldrini.*
- 24/94 Solving Nonsmooth Equations by Means of Quasi-Newton Methods with Globalization — *Marcia A. Gomes-Ruggiero, José Mario Martínez and Sandra Augusta Santos.*
- 25/94 Os Trabalhos de Leopoldo Nachbin (1922-1993) — *Jorge Mujica.*
- 26/94 On a New Class of Polynomials — *D. Gomes and E. Capelas de Oliveira.*
- 27/94 Level-Convergence and Fuzzy Integral — *H. Román-Flores, A. Flores-Franulic and Rodney C. Bassanezi, Marko A. Rojas-Medar.*
- 28/94 Estimating Leaf Area Index for Canopies using Coverage Processes — *Nancy Lopes Garcia.*
- 29/94 The Isoperimetric Problem in Cylindrical Spaces I - Symmetrization and General Results — *Renato H.L. Pedrosa.*
- 30/94 The Isoperimetric Problem in Cylindrical Spaces II - Classification Results — *Renato H.L. Pedrosa.*
- 31/94 Chain Control Sets for Semigroup Actions on Homogeneous Spaces — *Carlos José Braga Barros and Luiz A.B. San Martin.*
- 32/94 Linear Semigroups Acting on Stiefel Manifolds — *Luiz A.B. San Martin.*
- 33/94 Wave Series Expansions for Stratified Acoustic Media — *Lúcio Tunes dos Santos, Bjørn Ursin and Martin Tygel.*
- 34/94 Estudo de Fractais gerados por Iterações de Ponto Fixo – *Ricardo Caetano Azevedo Biloti and Lúcio Tunes dos Santos.*
- 35/94 On Global Controllability of Discrete-Time Control Systems – *Luiz A. B. San Martin.*
- 36/94 Closed Formulas for the Exponentiation of Lie Algebra Elements and Applications to Kaluza Klein Theories – *Alex I. Shimabukuro and Márcio A. F. Rosa.*