SIGNS PERTRUBATION PROBLEMS FOR TIME-REVERSIBLE SYSTEMS
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Abstract. In this paper singularly perturbed reversible vector fields defined in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) without normal hyperbolicity conditions are discussed. The main results give conditions for the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits and heteroclinic cycles converging to singular orbits with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

1. Introduction

The present work fits within the geometric study of singular perturbation problems expressed by one parameter families of vector fields on \( \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \). Special emphasis on systems having some symmetry properties is given. A singular perturbation problem is expressed by a differential equation \( z' = h(z, \varepsilon) \) with \( z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}, \varepsilon \geq 0 \) and \( h \in C^\infty \) where we want to study the phase portrait for sufficient small \( \varepsilon > 0 \) near a set that contains a manifold of singular points of \( z' = h(z,0) \).

Let \( z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \) and \( f, g \) be smooth functions. We deal with equations that may be written in the form

\[
\begin{aligned}
  x' &= f(x, y, \varepsilon) \\
  y' &= \varepsilon g(x, y, \varepsilon) \\
  x &= x(\tau), \\ y &= y(\tau).
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.1)

The main trick in the geometric singular perturbation (GSP) is to consider the family (1.1) in addition to the family

\[
\begin{aligned}
  \varepsilon \dot{x} &= f(x, y, \varepsilon) \\
  \dot{y} &= \varepsilon g(x, y, \varepsilon) \\
  x &= x(t), \\ y &= y(t)
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.2)

obtained after the time rescaling \( t = \varepsilon \tau \).

Equation (1.1) is called the fast system and (1.2) the slow system. Observe that for \( \varepsilon > 0 \) the phase portrait of fast and slow systems coincide.

For \( \varepsilon = 0 \), let \( \mathcal{S} \) be the set of all singular points of (1.1). We call \( \mathcal{S} \) the slow manifold of the singular perturbation problem and it is important to notice that equation (1.2) defines a dynamical system, on \( \mathcal{S} \), called the reduced problem.

Combining results on the dynamics of these two limiting problems (1.1) and (1.2), with \( \varepsilon = 0 \), one obtains information on the dynamics for small values of \( \varepsilon \). In fact, such techniques can be exploited to formally construct approximate solutions
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on pieces of curves that satisfy some limiting version of the original equation as $\varepsilon$ goes to zero.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ be normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds on $S$ for the reduced problem. A singular orbit consists of 3 pieces of smooth curves: an orbit of the reduced problem in the unstable manifold $W^u(\mathcal{N}_1)$, an orbit of the reduced problem in the stable manifold $W^s(\mathcal{N}_2)$ and a heteroclinic orbit of the fast problem connecting the two previous pieces.

**Definition 1.2.** Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be compact sets. The Hausdorff distance between $A$ and $B$ is $D(A, B) = \max_{z_1 \in A, z_2 \in B} \{d(z_1, B), d(z_2, A)\}$.

The main question in GSP-theory is to exhibit conditions under which a singular orbit can be approached by regular orbits for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

The usual approach is to consider a smooth manifold of singular points along which the vector field $h(z, 0)$ is normally hyperbolic. We refer to [2] for a survey of GSP-theory and related problems. A general question is what remains of this picture when the normal hyperbolicity assumption is dropped. That means there exists a turning point in usual terminology. In the generic case, perturbation problem is not expected to have an easy answer. We deal such problem by assuming that our system is time-reversible (see [1], [3], [6] and [7] for such problems in different contexts). It is worthwhile to mention that the reversibility will guarantee that the center manifold is symmetric with respect to the fixed points set of the involution. So, if we assume that the turning point belongs to the this fixed set then it can be treated like a normal hyperbolic point without needing extra parameters.

Let $z' = h(z, \varepsilon)$ be a 1-parameter family with $h$ a smooth function and $h(0, \varepsilon) = 0$, for $\varepsilon$ near zero. The family is called time-reversible if there exists a germ of a smooth involution $\phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+m}, 0 \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m}, 0$ satisfying the relation $h(\phi(z), \varepsilon) = -\phi'(z) h(z, \varepsilon)$.

We point out some properties of reversible vector fields:

(a) The phase portrait of $z' = h(z, \varepsilon)$ is symmetric with respect to $\text{Fix}(\phi) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} | \phi(x, y) = (x, y)\}$.

(b) If $\gamma(t)$ is a solution of $z' = h(z, \varepsilon)$ then so is $\phi(\gamma(-t))$.

(c) Any orbit meeting $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ at two different points is a periodic orbit. In this case it is called a symmetric periodic orbit.

(d) Any singular point or periodic orbit on $\text{Fix}(\phi)$ cannot be an attractor or a repeller.

(e) Intersection of (un)-stable manifolds with fixed sets of $\phi$ imply the existence of heteroclinic or homoclinic orbits.

**Definition 1.3.** The system $z' = h(z, \varepsilon)$ is said to be time-reversible of type $(n + m; k)$, or simply $(n + m; k)$-reversible, if the dimension of the fixed point set of $\phi$, $\text{Fix}(\phi)$, is equal to $k$.

It should be noted that in our context the linearity of a reversing involution is not relevant. Reversible dynamical systems are a well-established mathematical subject widely discussed in the physics literature (see [5, 8] for further details, a historical review and related topics).

Our main motivation is that many phenomena in physics and engineering involving reversible singular perturbation problem appear naturally.
Example 1.4. (see [4]) Imagine a particle with unit mass moving along a line under the influence of a periodic potential $\alpha(1 - \cos y_1)$ and fixed external force $F$ such that the particle has coordinate $y_1$, momentum $y_2$ and its time-averaged kinetic energy is kept constant via a feedback mechanism involving a friction coefficient $x$. The equations of motion are

\begin{align*}
\epsilon \dot{x} &= y_2^2 - 1 \\
\dot{y}_1 &= y_2 \\
\dot{y}_2 &= F - \alpha \sin(y_1) - xy_2
\end{align*}

where the parameter $\epsilon > 0$ is the thermostat strength. For $\epsilon$ near zero, this system is singular and time-reversible with respect to the involution $\phi(x, y_1, y_2) = (-x, y_1, -y_2)$.

Let $X_\epsilon$ be a reversible singularly perturbed vector field and $\Gamma$ a singular orbit. A rough description of the main results of the paper are as follows:

1. Assume that $X_\epsilon$ is of type $(k; k - 1)$. We give conditions on $X_\epsilon$ under which $\Gamma$ is approximated by regular orbits (see Theorem A). In addition we exhibit conditions, for $k = 2$ (resp. $k \geq 2$), under which $X_\epsilon$ possesses a periodic orbit (resp. heteroclinic cycle) $\Gamma_\epsilon$ converging to $\Gamma$. In section 2 we present a brief survey of the techniques which lead to a geometric analysis of singularly perturbed problems. In section 3 we prove Theorem A and discuss the existence of infinitely many periodic orbits or heteroclinic cycles converging to singular orbits.

2. We present conditions for a $(1+m, k)$-reversible singularly perturbed vector field $X_\epsilon$, where $k < m$, having a singular orbit $\Gamma$, to be approximated by a vector field $Y_\epsilon$, which has periodic orbits (resp. heteroclinic cycles) $\Gamma_\epsilon$, when $m = 1$ (resp. $m \geq 1$), such that $\Gamma_\epsilon \to \Gamma$, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ (see Theorem B). Section 4 is devoted to prove Theorem B.

2. Basic Facts of GSP-Theory

The foundation of “GSP-theory”, which is briefly summarized here, was laid by Fenichel in [2].

Consider the slow manifold of system (1.1), $\mathcal{S}$, which is given implicitly by $f(x, y, 0) = 0$.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathcal{S}$ is normally hyperbolic if the real parts of the eigenvalues of $D_1f(x_0, y_0, 0)$ are nonzero.

Consider $K \subset \mathcal{S}$ a compact set such that every $p \in K$ is normally hyperbolic. Assume that $D_1f(p, 0)$ has $k^s$ eigenvalues with negative real parts and $k^u$ eigenvalues with positive real parts. There are locally invariant manifolds, $\mathcal{C}^s$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^u$, containing $K \times \{0\}$ and tangent to the corresponding center-stable, center and center-unstable eigenspaces of the linearization supplemented by the equation $\epsilon' = 0$.

**Theorem 2.2** (Fenichel [2]). If $N \subset K$ is a $j$-dimensional invariant manifold of the slow system with a $(j + j^s)$-dimensional local stable manifold $W^s$ and a $(j + j^u)$-dimensional local unstable manifold $W^u$, then there exists an $\epsilon$-continuous family $N_\epsilon$ such that

a) $N_0 = N$, and
b) \( N_\varepsilon \) is an invariant manifold of \( X_\varepsilon \) with a \((j + j^s + k^s)\) - dimensional local stable manifold \( N_\varepsilon^s \) and a \((j + j^u + k^u)\) - dimensional local unstable manifold \( N_\varepsilon^u \).

The importance of this theorem is that every structure of the slow system which persists under regular perturbation persists under singular perturbation by restriction of the flow of the fast system to the center manifold.

The next step is to decide if a singular orbit can be approached by regular orbits.

Suppose that \( F^s \) and \( F^u \) are families of stable and unstable manifolds that foliate \( C^s \) and \( C^u \), respectively.

**Definition 2.3.** Submanifolds \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) of a manifold \( M \) intersect transversally (denoted \( M_1 \pitchfork M_2 \)) at a point \( p \in M_1 \cap M_2 \) if \( T_p M = T_p M_1 + T_p M_2 \).

**Theorem 2.4.** [3] If \( W^u_1 (N_1) \) and \( W^s_2 (N_2) \) are on \( K \) and

\[
(2.1) \quad \left( N_1^u = \bigcup_{p \in W^u_1} F^u (p) \right) \pitchfork \left( N_2^s = \bigcup_{p \in W^s_2} F^s (p) \right),
\]

then there exists an orbit of \( X_\varepsilon \) connecting \( N_{1,\varepsilon} \) and \( N_{2,\varepsilon} \).

![Figure 1. Transversal intersection of invariant manifolds.](image)

We emphasize that theorem 2.4 was obtained under the normal hyperbolicity hypothesis.

Dumortier and Roussarie presented a method based on the blowup techniques that leads to a rigorous geometric analysis of the non normally hyperbolic case [1]. The idea is to saturate the flow and blowup the singularity so that after desingularization the orbits, for \( \varepsilon \) near zero, are obtained by transverse intersection of center manifolds associated to the lines of normally hyperbolic singularities. Their main example is the singular perturbation problem \( X_{\varepsilon,a}(x, y) = (y - \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{4}, \varepsilon (a - x)) \).

### 3. Singly Perurbed Reversible Vector Fields of Type \((n + m; n + m - 1)\)

Here we examine the solutions of reversible singular perturbation problems expressed by \( X_\varepsilon \) as in (1.1).

**Definition 3.1.** We say that \( X_\varepsilon \) satisfies the QG-condition if

1. \((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}, \varepsilon \geq 0, \) and \( f, g \in C^\infty; \)
(2) The slow manifold \( \mathcal{S} = \{(x, y) \mid f(x, y, 0) = 0\} \) is a \( k \)-manifold with \( k \leq n + m - 1 \);

(3) \( p \in \mathcal{S} \cap \text{Fix}(\phi) \) is a non normally hyperbolic point;

(4) \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are \( \phi \)-symmetric invariant manifolds on \( S \) and \( \Gamma \) is a singular orbit passing through \( p \) that is composed of 3 pieces: an orbit of the reduced problem in the unstable manifold \( W^u_1 (N_1) \), an orbit of the reduced problem in the stable manifold \( W^s_2 (N_2) \), and a heteroclinic orbit of the fast problem that connects them;

(5) \( p \) is the unique non normally hyperbolic point on \( \Gamma \).

\[\text{Figure 2. QG-condition}\]

**Theorem A** Suppose that \( X_\varepsilon \) given by (1.1) satisfies the QG-condition. There exists a neighborhood \( U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \) of \( p \) as in (3), such that if \( \Gamma \subset U \) then for each \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists an orbit \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \) of \( X_\varepsilon \) that approaches \( \Gamma \) as \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \), with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

**Proof.** Consider the auxiliary vector field \( X^\ast_\varepsilon(x, y, \varepsilon) = (f(x, y, \varepsilon), \varepsilon g(x, y, \varepsilon), 0) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1} \). Without loss of generality, suppose that the involution \( \phi \) is linear and \( \text{Fix}(\phi) = \{(x, y) : x_1 = 0\} \). Take \( q \neq p \) on \( \Gamma \cap \text{Fix}(\phi) \). Such a point exists because \( \Gamma \) connects \( \phi \)-symmetric invariant manifolds on \( S \). Let \( l = \{(x, y, \varepsilon) : (x, y) = q, 0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0\} \), where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is some sufficiently small positive number. Thus \( l \) is a segment of a curve transverse to \( \text{Fix}(\phi) \) at \( q \). Denote by \( C_l \) the saturate of \( l \), that is the closure of the union of segments of orbits of \( X^\ast_\varepsilon \) through the points of \( l \) and taken between the first intersection of this curve with \( \text{Fix}(\phi) \) in negative time \( (C_l^-) \) and in positive time \( (C_l^+) \). The orbits on \( C_l \) are the orbits of \( X_\varepsilon \). \( C_l \) crosses \( \text{Fix}(\phi) \) transversally because the singular orbit \( \Gamma \) does. Finally, the reversibility of \( X_\varepsilon \) implies that \( C_l^- \cap \text{Fix}(\phi) = C_l^+ \cap \text{Fix}(\phi) \). To complete the proof it is enough to choose \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \) to be an orbit contained on \( C_l \). \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.2.** If \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \), as in the QG-condition, are hyperbolic singular points of the slow system, then the family obtained in Theorem A is composed of heteroclinic cycles.

**Proof.** It is enough to combine Theorem A and Theorem 2.2. \(\square\)
Example 3.3. Consider the family of reversible vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by $X_\varepsilon(x, y_1, y_2) = (y_2 - x^2, \varepsilon y_1 x, \varepsilon y_1 x)$ and the linear involution on $\mathbb{R}^3$ given by $\phi(x, y_1, y_2) = (-x, y_1, y_2)$. The family $X_\varepsilon$ satisfies $X_\varepsilon \circ \phi = -\phi \circ X_\varepsilon$. So it is $\phi$-reversible and the phase portrait of $X_\varepsilon$ is symmetric with respect to $\text{Fix}(\phi) = \{(0, y_1, y_2) | y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The reduced system is

\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
y_2 &= x^2 \\
y_1' &= y_1 x \\
y_2' &= y_1 x
\end{cases}
\end{align}

and the projection of some trajectories of the slow manifold on the $xy_1$-plane is composed of parabolas with vertices on $0y_1$. All points in $0x$ are singularities. Consider a singular orbit $N$ such that its projection on the $xy_1$-plane is a parabola with vertex $(0, y_0)$, and $y_0 < 0$. For $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we may select an orbit $\Gamma_\varepsilon$ of $X_\varepsilon$ that approaches the singular orbit $\Gamma$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

This situation is generic. In our terminology it satisfies $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0, 0) = 0$, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$ and $\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}(0, 0, 0) \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2}(0, 0, 0) \right)^2 \neq 0$.

The next theorem states the existence of a one-parameter family of periodic solutions converging to the singular orbit in the $(2; 1)$-reversible case.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose the system $X_\varepsilon$, given by (1.1), satisfies the QG-condition, is $\phi$–reversible with $\phi(x, y) = (-x, y)$ and satisfies $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) < 0$. If $\Gamma$ is a singular orbit of $X_0$ passing through $(0, 0)$ and connecting $\phi$-symmetric points on the slow manifold, then there exists a sequence of periodic orbits $\Gamma_\varepsilon$, of $X_\varepsilon$, that approaches $\Gamma$ for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Proof. If $X_\varepsilon$ is $\phi$-reversible, then we have $f(x, y, \varepsilon) = \varphi(x^2, y, \varepsilon)$ and $g(x, y, \varepsilon) = x \psi(x^2, y, \varepsilon)$ for some smooth functions $\varphi$ and $\psi$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The singular points for $\varepsilon > 0$ are given by the equations $x \psi(x^2, y, \varepsilon) = 0$ and $\varphi(x^2, y, \varepsilon) = 0$. By the implicit function theorem there is a smooth function $y = y(\varepsilon)$ provided that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) \neq 0$. Thus we have a curve $(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)$ composed of singular points of $X_\varepsilon$. Moreover $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) = 0$; $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2}(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon) \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$ are continuous functions. Finally, the reversibility condition ensures that the singularity $(0, y(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)$ is a center for $X_\varepsilon$. $\square$
4. Singly Perturbed Reversible Vector Fields of type \((n + m; k)\)

In this section we treat the singular perturbation problem for \((n + m; k)\)-reversible vector fields with \(k < n + m - 1\).

Consider \(X_{ε,a}\) a 2-parameter family of reversible vector fields on \(R^3\) that is of type \(1 + 2; 1\) with respect to the involution \(ϕ(x, y_1, y_2) = (−x, −y_1, y_2)\), given by \(X_{ε,a}(x, y_1, y_2) = (f(x, y_1, y_2), εy_1(x, y_1, y_2, a), εy_2(x, y_1, y_2, a))\).

Let \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) be \(φ\)-symmetric invariant manifolds on the slow manifold \(S\) and \(Γ \subset S\) a singular orbit passing through 0, which is the unique non normally hyperbolic point on \(Γ\). Assume that \(Γ\) is composed of 3 pieces \(Γ_1, Γ_2, Γ_3\). Such curves are characterized by:

1. \(Γ_1\) is an orbit of the reduced problem in the unstable manifold \(W^u_{ε}(N_1)\);
2. \(Γ_2\) is an orbit of the reduced problem in the stable manifold \(W^s_{ε}(N_2)\);
3. \(Γ_3\) is an orbit of the fast problem connecting \(Γ_1\) and \(Γ_2\).

Take \(p \in Γ \cap \{(0, 0, y_2)|y_2 \in R\}\) and \(l\) a segment that is transversal to the line \(\{(0, 0, y_2)|y_2 \in R\}\) on \(l\). Let \(γ_{ε,a}(p)\) be the orbit of \(X_{ε,a}\) passing through point \(p \in l\).

There is a sequence of regular orbits \(Γ_{ε,a}\) approaching \(Γ\) when \(ε \downarrow 0\), provided there exists a curve \(a = a(ε)\) on the parameter space \((ε, a)\) such that for the parameter values on this curve, \(γ_{ε,a}(p)^+ = γ_{ε,a}(p)^-\).

Another way to approach the singular orbit is when there is a curve \(a(ε)\) such that for \(ε > 0\), \(X_{ε,a(ε)}\) is of the type \((1 + 2; 2)\) with respect to an involution \(φ\).

In fact, in this case the center manifold crosses the set of fixed points of \(φ\) and so we get the desired regular orbits.

**Example 4.1.** Consider \(φ(x, y) = (−x, −y_1, y_2), \bar{φ}(x, y) = (−x, y)\) and \(X_{ε,a}(x, y) = (−y_2 + x^2, −εx^2y_1^2 + ax^2y_1^2 + xy_2)\). If \(a = 0\) then \(X_{ε,0}\) is of the type \((1 + 2; 1)\) with respect to the involution \(φ\) and it is not of the type \((1 + 2; 2)\) with respect to the involution \(φ\). But if \(a = ε\) then \(X_{ε,ε}\) is of type \((1 + 2; 2)\) with respect to the involution \(φ\). We denote \(Y_ε = X_{ε,ε}\) and consider \(Γ\) an orbit of the reduced problem associated to

\[
Y_0 : \begin{cases}
y_2 = x^2 \\
y_1' = 0 \\
y_2' = xy_2.
\end{cases}
\]

We choose \(Γ\) such that \(x' = \frac{x^2}{2}, y_1 = 0\) and \(y_2 = x^2\). \(X_{ε,ε}\) is reversible with involution \(φ\) and thus its phase portrait is \(y_1y_2\)-symmetric. Then as \(ε\) goes towards 0 a regular orbit of \(X_{ε,ε}\) approaches \(Γ\).

**Fundamental Lemma:** Let \(X_ε\) be a \((n + m; k)\)-reversible vector field with respect to a diagonal involution \(φ\) (i.e., the matrix that represents \(φ\) is diagonal), given by \(X_ε(x, y) = (f(x, y, ε), εg(x, y, ε))\). Assume that \(f\) satisfies

\[
f_1(x, y) = f_1(−x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y) \\
f_1(x, y) = −f_1(−x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y) \forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}.
\]

Then there exists a two-parameter family \(X_{ε,a}\) that is \(φ\)-reversible for all \((ε, a)\) and \(φ_0\)-reversible if \(a = ε\). Here \(φ_0(x, y) = (−x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_s\}\) be the indices of \(x\) and \(\{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r\}\) be the indices of \(y\) where the minus sign is given in the definition of \(φ\). Obviously \(s + r = n + m - k\), because \(φ\) is of type \((n + m; k)\). For each \(j \in \{j_1, j_2, ..., j_r\}\), because \(X_ε\) is \(φ\)-reversible, we have that \(g_j(x, y, ε) = g_j(φ(x, y, ε))\). This equation
implies that the expansion in Taylor’s series of \( g_j \) has only monomials of type 
\[ x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} \cdots y_m^{j_m} \]
such that \( k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n + l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j \) is even. 
For each \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \setminus \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_f\} \), again using that \( X_c \) is \( \varphi \)-reversible, we have 
\( g_j(x, y, \varepsilon) = -g_j(\varphi(x, y), \varepsilon). \) So, in this case we have that \( g_j \) has only monomials 
\[ x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} \cdots y_m^{j_m} \]
such that \( k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_n + l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j \) is odd. Observe that \( X_c \) is also \( \varphi_0 \)-reversible provided that each \( g_j \) satisfies \( g_j(x, y, \varepsilon) = -g_j(-x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y, \varepsilon). \) The above equation is equivalent to saying that \( g_j \) has only monomials 
\[ x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_n^{k_n} y_1^{j_1} y_2^{j_2} \cdots y_m^{j_m} \]
such that \( k_1 \) is odd. Consider \( Y_c(x, y) = (0, h(x, y, \varepsilon)) \), where \( h_j(x, y, \varepsilon) \) has all monomials of \( g_j \) except the ones where \( k_1 \) is even. Now define \( X_{c,a} = X_c - a Y_c. \) By construction \( X_{c,a} \) is \( \varphi \)-reversible for all \((\varepsilon, a)\) and \( X_{c,\varepsilon} \) is \( \varphi_0 \)-reversible.

**Corollary 4.2.** Consider the reversible singular perturbation problem \( X_c(x, y) = (f(x^2, y), \varepsilon g_1(x, y), \ldots, \varepsilon g_m(x)) \), which is \((1 + m; k)\)-reversible with respect to the involution \( \phi(x, y) = (-x, -y_1, \ldots, -y_m - k, y_{m+1-k}, \ldots, y_m) \). Then there exists a two-parameter family \( X_{c,\varepsilon} \) such that \( X_{c,\varepsilon} \) is \((1 + m; m)\)-reversible with respect to \( \tilde{\phi}(x, y) = (-x, y) \).

Let \( K \subset R^{1+m} \) be a compact set and let \( \mathcal{F}_1 = \{ X = (f, g) : K \subset R^{1+m} \rightarrow R^{1+m} | f, g \in C^1 \}. \)

For \( X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1 \) we define \( d(X, Y) = \sup_{p \in K} \{ ||X(p) - Y(p)||, ||DX(p) - DY(p)|| \}. \)

**Theorem B:** Let \( X_c(x, y) = (f(x^2, y), \varepsilon g_1(x, y), \ldots, \varepsilon g_m(x)) \) be reversible of type \((1 + m, k)\) with respect to the involution 
\[ \phi(x, y) = (-x, -y_1, \ldots, -y_m - k, y_{m+1-k}, \ldots, y_m) \]
and let \( K \subset R^{1+m} \) be a compact set. Consider \( \Gamma_0 \subset K \) a singular orbit of \( X_0 \) passing through \((0, 0) \in S \cap \overline{Fix(\phi)} \) and connecting points \( p, q \) on the slow manifold, that are symmetric with respect to \( \phi \).

a) There exists \( Y_c \subset K \subset R^{1+m} \rightarrow R^{1+m}, \) \((1 + m, m)\)-reversible with respect to involution \( \phi(x, y) = (-x, y), \) such that \( d(Y_c, X_c) \rightarrow 0 \) as \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \) There exists \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \) regular orbits of \( Y_c \) such that \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \) with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

b) If \( m = 1 \) and \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(0, 0) < 0 \), then there exists \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \), a periodic orbit of \( Y_c \), such that \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \) with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

c) If \( m \geq 1 \) and \( p, q \) are \( \phi \)-symmetric hyperbolic singular points of the slow system, then there exists a family of heteroclinic cycles of \( Y_c, \Gamma_\varepsilon \), such that \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \), as \( \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \) with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

**Proof.** For a), it is enough to define \( Y_c = X_{c,\varepsilon} \), where \( X_{c,\varepsilon} \) is the family given in the corollary of the Fundamental Lemma.

Part b) follows from Theorem 3.4 by observing that if \( Y_c \) is near \( X_c \) in the \( C^1 \)-topology then the the hypothesis on \( X_c \) still holds for \( Y_c. \)

Part c) is the corollary of Theorem A. Again the convergence in the \( C^1 \)-topology implies that \( \Gamma_0 \) satisfies the QG-condition. If \((0, 0) \in S \cap \overline{Fix(\phi)} \) then \((0, 0) \in S \cap \overline{Fix(\phi)} \) since \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) = 0 \) (non normally hyperbolic). So \( \Gamma_0 \) is tangent to the \( x \)-axis and the \( x \)-axis is not contained in \( \overline{Fix(\phi)} \).
As a final remark we observe that the example 1.4 does no satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem B, but the following small perturbation of it does.

(4.1) \[ X_\lambda : \begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{x} = y_2^2 - 1 + \lambda y_1 \\ \dot{y}_1 = y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2 = F - \alpha \sin(y_1) - xy_2 \end{cases} \]

REFERENCES


UNESP-IBILCE - São José do Rio Preto, SP, CEP 15054-000, Brazil
E-mail address: buzzi@mat.ibilce.unesp.br

UNESP-IBILCE - São José do Rio Preto, SP, CEP 15054-000, Brazil
E-mail address: prs@mat.ibilce.unesp.br

UNICAMP-IMECC - Campinas, SP, CEP 13081-970, Brazil
E-mail address: teixeira@ime.unicamp.br