

# Global stability in some ecological models of commensalism between two species

Cruz Vargas-De-León<sup>1</sup>,

Unidad Académica de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Guerrero,  
Chilpancingo, Guerrero, México.

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México,  
D.F. 04510, México.

Guillermo Gómez-Alcaraz,

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México,  
D.F. 04510, México

**Abstract.** Lyapunov functions for some mathematical models of ecological commensalism between two species are introduced. Global stability of the unique positive equilibrium is thereby established.

**Keyword:** *Models of ecological commensalism; Lyapunov's asymptotic stability theorem; Global stability*

## 1. Introduction

The species in an ecosystem will interact in different ways. These are mutualism and commensalism (regarded as positive interactions), and competition, amensalism, parasitism and predation (regarded as negative interactions).

In this paper we are interested in the commensal interactions. Commensal and mutualistic interactions occur frequently between species of terrestrial vertebrates (Dickman, 1992). Commensalism is a symbiotic relationship in which one partner benefits and the other partner appears neither to lose nor to gain from the relationship. Literature on the commensal interactions often

---

<sup>1</sup>leoncruz82@yahoo.com.mx

argue for it in two way. The first is simply to assume that there is very little cost to the host (Goto et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2009). The second way argues that if large numbers of symbionts are tolerated by the host, then that means that they are harmless (Browne and Kingsford, 2005; Dvoretzky and Dvoretzky, 2009).

Mathematical modelling of interacting populations can provide valuable insights into variations of populations over time. Literature on the mathematical modelling of the negative interactions between species is very abundant, but modelling of positive interspecific interactions, particularly mutualistic ones, has generated interest in recent years (Graves et al., 2006; Legovióc and Geek, 2012; Sun and Wei, 2003; Zhang, 2012).

Historically the first models that describes facultative mutualism are the following systems of ordinary differential equations (Addicott, 1981; Murray, 2002),

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = r_1 n_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1} + b_{12} \frac{n_2}{K_1} \right], \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2} + b_{21} \frac{n_1}{K_2} \right], \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = r_1 n_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1 + b_{12} n_2} \right], \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \right], \quad (1.2)$$

where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the density of species 1 and 2 at time  $t$ , respectively. The parameters  $r_i$ ,  $K_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ )  $b_{12}$  and  $b_{21}$  are all positive constants and, the  $r_i$  are the linear birth rates and the  $K_i$  are the carrying capacities. The  $b_{12}$  and  $b_{21}$  measure the cooperative effect of  $n_2$  on  $n_1$  and  $n_1$  on  $n_2$  respectively.

Now we propose ecological models to describe commensalism derivatives of the mutualist models. Recalling that commensalism (0,+) is the relation in which one of the species benefits, while another is not affected. The following conditions are satisfied:  $b_{12} = 0$  and  $b_{21} > 0$ , the model of mutualism (1.2) becomes a model of commensalism:

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = r_1 n_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1} \right], \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

Similarly, if  $b_{12} = 0$  and  $b_{21} > 0$  the Lotka-Volterra system (1.1) becomes a Lotka-Volterra commensalism model

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = r_1 n_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1} \right], \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2} + b_{21} \frac{n_1}{K_2} \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

From the point of view of applications, a most interesting topic in population dynamics is the study of the global stability of equilibria. An important technique in stability theory for nonlinear differential equations is known as the second method of Lyapunov. A function with particular properties known as a Lyapunov function is constructed to prove global stability of equilibria in a given region. The construction of Lyapunov functions is often difficult for particular systems, but for Lotka-Volterra models, there has been some success.

The Volterra-type Lyapunov function  $n - n^* - n^* \ln(n/n^*)$ , has a long history of application to Lotka-Volterra systems and was originally discovered by Vito Volterra himself. In Lotka-Volterra models of two-species mutualism (1.1), Goh (1979) found sufficient conditions for global stability of unique positive equilibrium.

Recently, Vargas-De-León (2012) prove necessary and sufficient conditions for global stability of unique positive equilibrium to the mutualistic systems (1.1) and (1.2) subject to proportional harvesting, by means of elegant Lyapunov functions.

In this paper, we shall construct novel Lyapunov functions to study the global stability of the positive equilibrium of models of (1.3) and (1.4).

## 2. Global stability of positive equilibrium

It is easy to see that the non-negative quadrant

$$\mathcal{R}_+^2 = \{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{R}^2 : n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0\}$$

is positively invariant with respect to (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

Both systems (1.3) and (1.4) have the unique positive equilibrium  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$  in  $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_+^2)$  with coordinates given by

$$n_1^* = K_1, \quad n_2^* = K_2 + b_{21} K_1. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from equations (2.5) that

$$\frac{K_2}{n_2^*} + b_{21} \frac{n_1^*}{n_2^*} = 1. \quad (2.6)$$

We establish that all solutions of (1.3) and (1.4) in  $\text{int}(\mathcal{R}_+^2)$  converge to  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *The unique positive equilibrium  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$  of system (1.3) or (1.4) is globally asymptotically stable in the positive quadrant.*

**Proof 1** *For the system (1.3) we define  $L_1 : \{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{R}_+^2 : n_1 > 0, n_2 > 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  by*

$$L_1(n_1, n_2) = c_1 \int_{n_1^*}^{n_1} \frac{(\theta - n_1^*)}{(K_2 + b_{21}\theta)\theta^2} d\theta + c_2 \left( \ln \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} + \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - 1 \right), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $c_1 = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / r_1$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_3 > 0$ . The function  $L_1(n_1, n_2)$  is defined, continuous and positive definite for all  $n_1, n_2 > 0$ . Also, the global minimum  $L_1(n_1, n_2) = 0$  occurs at the positive equilibrium  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$ . Further, the time derivative of the function (2.7) along the trajectories of system (1.3), satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dL_1}{dt} &= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{r_1 (K_2 + b_{21} n_1) n_1} \left( 1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} \right) \frac{dn_1}{dt} + \frac{c_2}{n_2} \left( 1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} \right) \frac{dn_2}{dt}, \\ &= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{r_1 (K_2 + b_{21} n_1) n_1} \left( 1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} \right) \left[ r_1 n_1 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1} \right] \right] \\ &+ \frac{c_2}{n_2} \left( 1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} \right) \left[ r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \right] \right], \\ &= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left( 1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{c_2 r_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left( 1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} \right) [K_2 + b_{21} n_1 - n_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dL_1}{dt} &= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*}\right) \\
&+ \frac{c_2 r_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right) \left[ K_2 \left(1 - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) + b_{21} n_1^* \left(\frac{n_1}{n_1^*} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) \right], \\
&= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(2 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*}\right) \\
&+ \frac{c_2 r_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left[ K_2 \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) + b_{21} n_1^* \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right) \left(\frac{n_1}{n_1^*} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) \right], \\
&= \frac{r_2 c_2 c_3}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(2 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*}\right) + \frac{r_2 c_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} b_{21} n_1^* \left(2 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*}\right) \\
&+ \frac{c_2 r_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left[ K_2 \left(2 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) + b_{21} n_1^* \left(\frac{n_1}{n_1^*} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} - \frac{n_1 n_2^*}{n_1^* n_2} + 1\right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dL_1}{dt} &= \frac{r_2 c_2 c_3}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(2 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*}\right) + \frac{c_2 r_2 K_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left(2 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*}\right) \\
&+ \frac{r_2 b_{21} n_1^* c_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \left[ 3 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} - \frac{n_1 n_2^*}{n_1^* n_2} \right], \\
&\leq -\frac{r_2 c_2 c_3}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} \left(1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1}\right)^2 - \frac{c_2 r_2 K_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the system (1.4), we define  $L_2 : \{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{R}_+^2 : n_1, n_2 > 0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  by

$$L_2(n_1, n_2) = c_1 \left( \ln \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} + \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - 1 \right) + c_2 \left( \ln \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} + \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - 1 \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $c_1 = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / r_1 K_2$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_3 > 0$ . It is clear that at  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$  the function  $L_2(n_1, n_2)$  reaches its global minimum in  $\mathcal{R}_+^2$ , and hence  $L_2(n_1, n_2)$  is a Lyapunov function. The derivative of (2.8) with respect to  $t$  along solution curves of (1.4) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dL_2}{dt} &= \frac{r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*)}{r_1 K_2} \frac{1}{n_1} \left(1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1}\right) \frac{dn_1}{dt} + \frac{c_2}{n_2} \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right) \frac{dn_2}{dt}, \\
&= \frac{r_2}{K_2} c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) \left(1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1}\right) \left[1 - \frac{n_1}{K_1}\right] \\
&+ \frac{c_2 r_2}{K_2} \left(1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2}\right) [K_2 - n_2 + b_{21} n_1].
\end{aligned}$$

The derivative calculation of Lyapunov function are similar to the previous calculations. Using (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dL_2}{dt} &= \frac{r_2 c_2 c_3}{K_2} \left( 2 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} \right) + c_2 r_2 \left( 2 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} \right) \\ &+ \frac{r_2 b_{21} n_1^* c_2}{K_2} \left[ 3 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} - \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} - \frac{n_1 n_2^*}{n_1^* n_2} \right], \\ &\leq -\frac{r_2 c_2 c_3}{K_2} \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} \left( 1 - \frac{n_1^*}{n_1} \right)^2 - c_2 r_2 \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} \left( 1 - \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $dL_i(n_1, n_2)/dt < 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) strictly for all  $n_1, n_2 > 0$  except the positive equilibrium  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$  where  $dL_i/dt = 0$ . Furthermore,  $L_i(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n_1 \rightarrow 0$  or  $n_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $L_i(n_1, n_2) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n_2 \rightarrow 0$  or  $n_2 \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, we may conclude that function (2.7) or (2.8) are Lyapunov functions for systems (1.3) and (1.4) respectively, and that by the Lyapunov asymptotic stability theorem (Lyapunov, 1992), the positive equilibrium  $(n_1^*, n_2^*)$  is globally asymptotically stable in the interior of  $\mathcal{R}_+^2$ , when it exists. This proves Theorem 2.1.

**Remark 1** Our Lyapunov constructions are applied to the following two chemostat-type commensal models

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = s - dn_1(t), \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2} + b_{21} \frac{n_1}{K_2} \right], \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{dn_1}{dt} = s - dn_1(t), \quad \frac{dn_2}{dt} = r_2 n_2 \left[ 1 - \frac{n_2}{K_2 + b_{21} n_1} \right]. \quad (2.10)$$

The proof of the global stability of the positive equilibria of the models (2.9) and (2.10) are obtained by the Lyapunov functions (2.11) and (2.12), respectively.

$$V_1(n_1, n_2) = c_1 n_1^* \left( \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} - 1 - \ln \frac{n_1}{n_1^*} \right) + c_2 \left( \ln \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} + \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - 1 \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $c_1 = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / s K_2 = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / d K_2 n_1^*$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_3 > 0$ .

$$V_2(n_1, n_2) = c_1 \int_{n_1^*}^{n_1} \frac{(\theta - n_1^*)}{(K_2 + b_{21} \theta) \theta} d\theta + c_2 \left( \ln \frac{n_2}{n_2^*} + \frac{n_2^*}{n_2} - 1 \right), \quad (2.12)$$

where  $c_1 = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / s = r_2 c_2 (c_3 + b_{21} n_1^*) / d n_1^*$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_3 > 0$ .

**Remark 2** *The choice of the constant  $c_1$  is crucial in the construction of negative definiteness of the time derivative of the Lyapunov functions. On the Lyapunov functions (2.7), (2.8), (2.11) and (2.12) is assumed that  $c_3 > 0$ , also be defined as  $c_3 = c_2 > 0$  or  $c_3 = 0$ .*

**Remark 3** *The Lyapunov function*

$$\ln \frac{n}{n^*} + \frac{n^*}{n} - 1,$$

*is introduced by Korobeinikov to Leslie-Gower type prey-predator systems (Korobeinikov, 2001; Korobeinikov and Lee, 2009) and recently by Vargas-De-León (2012) to Lotka-Volterra mutualism systems.*

### 3. \*

Acknowledgements We are grateful for resources from the *Laboratorio de Visualización Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM*.

## Referências

- Addicott, J. F. (1981). Stability properties of 2-species models of mutualism: Simulation studies. *Oecologia*, 49(1):42–49.
- Browne, J. and Kingsford, M. (2005). A commensal relationship between the scyphozoan medusae *catostylus mosaicus* and the copepod *paramacrochiron maximum*. *Marine Biology*, 146:1157–1168.
- Dickman, C. (1992). Commensal and mutualistic interactions among terrestrial vertebrates. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 7:194–197.
- Dvoretzky, A. and Dvoretzky, V. (2009). Some aspects of the biology of the amphipods *ischyrocerus anguipes* associated with the red king crab, *paralithodes camtschaticus*, in the barents sea. *Polar Biology*, 32:463–469.
- Goh, B. S. (1979). Stability in models of mutualism. *Am. Nat.*, 113:216–275.
- Goto, R., Hamamura, Y., and Kato, M. (2007). Obligate commensalism of *curvemysella paula* (bivalvia: Galeommatidae) with hermit crabs. *Marine Biology*, 151:1615–1622.

- Graves, W., Peckham, B., and Pastor, J. (2006). A bifurcation analysis of a differential equations model for mutualism. *Bull. Mathematical Biology*, 68:1851–1872.
- Korobeinikov, A. (2001). A lyapunov function for leslie-gower predator-prey models. *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 14(6):697–699.
- Korobeinikov, A. and Lee, W. T. (2009). Global asymptotic properties for a leslie-gower food chain model. *Math. Biosci. Eng.*, 6:585–590.
- Lee, J., Kim, T., and Choe, J. (2009). Commensalism or mutualism: conditional outcomes in a branchiobdellid–crayfish symbiosis. *Oecologia*, 159:217–224.
- Legović, T. and Geček, S. (2012). Impact of maximum sustainable yield on mutualistic communities. *Ecological Modelling*, 230:63–72.
- Lyapunov, A. M. (1992). *The General Problem of the Stability of Motion*. Taylor and Francis, London.
- Murray, J. D. (2002). *Mathematical Biology. I. An introduction*, volume 17 of *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition edition.
- Sun, G. and Wei, W. (2003). The qualitative analysis of commensal symbiosis model of two populations. *Math. Theory Appl.*, 23:65–68.
- Vargas-De-León, C. (2012). Lyapunov functions for two-species cooperative systems. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 219(5):2493–2497.
- Zhang, J. (2012). Global existence of bifurcated periodic solutions in a commensalism model with delays. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(23):11688–11699.