A conditional quenched CLT for random walks among random conductances on \mathbb{Z}^d

Christophe Gallesco Nina Gantert Serguei Popov Marina Vachkovskaia

Gallesco, Gantert, Popov, Vachkovskaia Conditional quenched CLT

The model:

- In Z^d, to any unordered pair of neighbors attach a positive number ω_{x,y} (conductance between x and y).
- ▶ P stands for the law of this field of conductances. We assume that P is stationary and ergodic.
- Define $\pi_x = \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{x,y}$, and let the transition probabilities be

$$q_\omega(x,y) = \left\{egin{array}{c} rac{\omega_{x,y}}{\pi_x}, & ext{if } y \sim x, \ 0, & ext{otherwise}, \end{array}
ight.$$

• P_{ω}^{x} is the quenched law of the random walk starting from x, so that

$$P_{\omega}^{x}[X(0) = x] = 1, \quad P_{\omega}^{x}[X(k+1) = z \mid X(k) = y] = q_{\omega}(y, z).$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ つへつ

(many recent papers) \implies under mild conditions on the law of ω -s, the Quenched Invariance Principle holds:

For almost every environment ω , suitably rescaled trajectories of the random walk converge to the Brownian Motion (with nonrandom diffusion constant σ) in a suitable sense.

Main method of the proof: the "corrector approach", i.e., find a "stationary deformation" of the lattice such that the random walk becomes martingale.

The corrector is shown to exist, but usually no explicit formula is known for it.

◆ロ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ★ □ ▶ ★ □ ▶ ◆ □ ● ● の Q @

Brownian Meander:

Let *W* be the Brownian Motion starting from 0, and define $\tau_1 = \sup\{s \in [0, 1] : W(s) = 0\}$ and $\Delta_1 = 1 - \tau_1$.

Then, the Brownian Meander W^+ is defined in this way:

$$W^+(s) := \Delta_1^{-1/2} |W_1(\tau_1 + s\Delta_1)|, \qquad 0 \le s \le 1.$$

Informally, the Brownian Meander is the Brownian Motion conditioned on staying positive on the time interval (0, 1].

Example: simple random walk S, conditioned on $\{S_1 > 0, \dots, S_n > 0\}$, after usual scaling converges to the Brownian Meander.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Let

$$\Lambda_n := \{X_1(k) > 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, ..., n\}$$

 $(X_1 \text{ is the first coordinate of } X).$

Consider the conditional quenched probability measure $Q_{\omega}^{n}[\cdot] := P_{\omega}[\cdot | \Lambda_{n}].$

Define the continuous map $Z^n(t), t \in [0, 1]$) as the natural polygonal interpolation of the map $k/n \mapsto \sigma^{-1}n^{-1/2}X(k)$ (with σ from the quenched CLT).

For each *n*, the random map Z^n induces a probability measure μ^n_{ω} on ($C[0, 1], \mathcal{B}_1$): for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$,

$$\mu_{\omega}^{n}(\boldsymbol{A}):=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\omega}^{n}[\boldsymbol{Z}^{n}\in\boldsymbol{A}].$$

Condition E. There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s., $\kappa < \omega_{0,x} < \kappa^{-1}$ for $x \sim 0$.

Denote by $P_{W^+} \otimes P_{W^{(d-1)}}$ the product law of Brownian meander and (d-1)-dimensional standard Brownian motion on the time interval [0, 1].

Now, we formulate our main result:

Theorem

Under Condition E, we have that, \mathbb{P} -a.s., μ_{ω}^{n} (after suitable linear transformation) tends weakly to $P_{W^{+}} \otimes P_{W^{(d-1)}}$ as $n \to \infty$ (as probability measures on C[0, 1]).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Strategy of the proof: "go avay a little bit from the forbidden area in a controlled way"

(we need to control the time and the vertical displacement), and then use unconditional CLT.



Gallesco, Gantert, Popov, Vachkovskaia Conditional quenched CLT

Open questions:

- other types of conditioning;
- $P_{\omega}[\Lambda_n] \simeq ?$ (at least prove it is of order $n^{-1/2}$).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (0) (0)