# On the Schrödinger equation with singular potentials

#### Lucas C. F. Ferreira

Department of Mathematics - Unicamp

Joint with Jaime Angulo Pava (IME-USP)

# Schrödinger equation with singular potentials

We consider the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u - \mu(x)u = F(u), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda = \pm 1$ ,  $\mu$  is a given potential, and:

- In the continuous case x ∈ ℝ<sup>n</sup>, F(u) = λ |u|<sup>ρ-1</sup> u with ρ > 1, or F(u) = λu<sup>ρ</sup>, with ρ ∈ ℕ;
- In the periodic case x ∈ T<sup>n</sup>, F(u) = λ |u|<sup>ρ-1</sup> u with ρ ∈ N odd, or F(u) = λu<sup>ρ</sup> with ρ ∈ N.

# The potential $\mu$

We are interested in two basic types of potentials. The first is delta-type potentials like:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# The potential $\mu$

- We are interested in two basic types of potentials. The first is delta-type potentials like:
- $\mu(x) = \sigma \delta$ ,  $\mu(x) = \sigma(\delta(x a) + \delta(x + a))$  and  $\mu(x) = \sigma \delta'$ with  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  represent the delta function in the origin and its derivative.

# The potential $\mu$

- We are interested in two basic types of potentials. The first is delta-type potentials like:
- $\mu(x) = \sigma \delta$ ,  $\mu(x) = \sigma(\delta(x a) + \delta(x + a))$  and  $\mu(x) = \sigma \delta'$ with  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  represent the delta function in the origin and its derivative.
- The second type is bounded potentials that do not decay to zero or go to zero very slowly at infinity.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

# Delta-type potentials

 Delta-type potentials arise in different areas of quantum field theory and are important for understanding some phenomena in condensed matter physics.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Delta-type potentials

- Delta-type potentials arise in different areas of quantum field theory and are important for understanding some phenomena in condensed matter physics.
- From an experimental viewpoint, nanoscale devises have caused an interest in point-like impurities (defects) that are associated to Delta-type potentials.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Delta-type potentials

- Delta-type potentials arise in different areas of quantum field theory and are important for understanding some phenomena in condensed matter physics.
- From an experimental viewpoint, nanoscale devises have caused an interest in point-like impurities (defects) that are associated to Delta-type potentials.
- We have the case repulsive ( $\sigma > 0$ ) and attractive ( $\sigma < 0$ ).

Results on fundamental solutions, global existence in  $H^s$  ( $s \ge 0$ ), standing waves, and stability have been obtained in dimension n = 1 by several authors.

See e.g. Albeverio-Gestezy-Krohn-Holden (Texts Monog. Phys. '88), Albeverio-Brzezniak-Dabrowski(JFA 1995), Caudrelier-Mintchev-Ragoucy (J. Math. Phys '05), Hölmer-Marzuola-Zworski (CMP '07), Fukuizumi-Ohta-Ozawa (AIHP '08), Adami-Noja (CMP '09), Datchev-Hölmer (CPDE'09), Kovarik-Sacchetti (J.Phys.A '10), Adami-Noja-Visciglia (DCDS-B '13), among others.

As far as we know, there is a lack of results for n > 1. One of the reasons is that a "good formula" for the associated linear unitary group depending on the Schrödinger one  $e^{i\Delta t}\phi$  is found explicitly only for n = 1.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

As far as we know, there is a lack of results for n > 1. One of the reasons is that a "good formula" for the associated linear unitary group depending on the Schrödinger one  $e^{i\Delta t}\phi$  is found explicitly only for n = 1.

In  $n \ge 4$ , von Neumann-Krein theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators theory trivializes (see Albeverio-Gestezy-Krohn-Holden '88).

As far as we know, there is a lack of results for n > 1. One of the reasons is that a "good formula" for the associated linear unitary group depending on the Schrödinger one  $e^{i\Delta t}\phi$  is found explicitly only for n = 1.

In  $n \ge 4$ , von Neumann-Krein theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators theory trivializes (see Albeverio-Gestezy-Krohn-Holden '88).

For n = 2, 3, the fundamental solution is well known (see Albeverio-Gestezy-Krohn-Holden '88), however there is no good formula depending explicitly on  $e^{i\Delta t}\phi$ .

In view of the singular potential, it is reasonable to investigate (1) outside  $L^2$ -framework.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

In view of the singular potential, it is reasonable to investigate (1) outside  $L^2$ -framework.

On the other hand, for the sake of physical reasonability, one could desire that elements in the functional setting have finite local  $L^2$ -norm;

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

In view of the singular potential, it is reasonable to investigate (1) outside  $L^2$ -framework.

On the other hand, for the sake of physical reasonability, one could desire that elements in the functional setting have finite local  $L^2$ -norm;

and so they could be realized in the physical space in any region with finite volume, though some of them may have infinite  $L^2$ -norm.

For n = 1, we prove global existence and asymptotic stability in a time-weighted framework based on weak- $L^p$  spaces.

For n = 1, we prove global existence and asymptotic stability in a time-weighted framework based on weak- $L^p$  spaces.

Precisely, the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  of all Bochner measurable functions  $u: \mathbb{R} \to L^{(\rho+1,\infty)}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty_artheta} = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |t|^artheta \|u(t)\|_{(
ho+1,\infty)},$$

where  $\vartheta = \frac{1}{\rho - 1} - \frac{1}{2(\rho + 1)}$ .

For n = 1, we prove global existence and asymptotic stability in a time-weighted framework based on weak- $L^p$  spaces.

Precisely, the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  of all Bochner measurable functions  $u: \mathbb{R} \to L^{(\rho+1,\infty)}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty_artheta} = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |t|^artheta \|u(t)\|_{(
ho+1,\infty)},$$

where  $\vartheta = \frac{1}{\rho - 1} - \frac{1}{2(\rho + 1)}$ .

Define also the initial data class  $\mathcal{E}_0$  as the set of all  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$  such that the norm

$$\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |t|^{\vartheta} \|G_{\sigma}(t)u_0\|_{(\rho+1,\infty)} < \infty,$$

where  $G_{\sigma}(t)$  is the linear group associated to (1).

Based on  $L^p$ -spaces and time-decay estimates for the associated linear group, spaces like  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  were first used by Kato-Fujita ('62 and '84) and F. Weissler ('80) in the context of Navier-Stokes and semilinear parabolic equations.

Based on  $L^p$ -spaces and time-decay estimates for the associated linear group, spaces like  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  were first used by Kato-Fujita ('62 and '84) and F. Weissler ('80) in the context of Navier-Stokes and semilinear parabolic equations.

For dispersive equations, this type of space was first employed by Cazenave-Weissler (Math Z. '98) for (1) with  $\mu = 0$  in  $L^{p}$ -spaces.

Based on  $L^p$ -spaces and time-decay estimates for the associated linear group, spaces like  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  were first used by Kato-Fujita ('62 and '84) and F. Weissler ('80) in the context of Navier-Stokes and semilinear parabolic equations.

For dispersive equations, this type of space was first employed by Cazenave-Weissler (Math Z. '98) for (1) with  $\mu = 0$  in  $L^{p}$ -spaces.

Motivated by this work, Ferreira-Villamizar-Roa-Silva (PAMS '09) studied (1) with  $\mu = 0$  in such type of framework based on weak- $L^p$  spaces.

Based on  $L^p$ -spaces and time-decay estimates for the associated linear group, spaces like  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  were first used by Kato-Fujita ('62 and '84) and F. Weissler ('80) in the context of Navier-Stokes and semilinear parabolic equations.

For dispersive equations, this type of space was first employed by Cazenave-Weissler (Math Z. '98) for (1) with  $\mu = 0$  in  $L^{p}$ -spaces.

Motivated by this work, Ferreira-Villamizar-Roa-Silva (PAMS '09) studied (1) with  $\mu = 0$  in such type of framework based on weak- $L^p$  spaces.

See also Cazenave-Vega-Vilela (CCM '01) for another approach in weak- $L^p$  spaces via Strichartz type estimates.

Our results read as follows.

Theorem (A)

(Global-in-time existence) Let n = 1,  $\sigma \ge 0$ ,  $\rho_0 = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ , and  $\rho_0 < \rho < \infty$ . There is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $||u_0||_{\mathcal{E}_0} \le \varepsilon$  then (1) has a unique global-in-time mild solution  $u \in \mathcal{L}_n^\infty$  satisfying  $||u||_{\mathcal{L}_n^\infty} \le 2\varepsilon$ .

Our results read as follows.

Theorem (A)

(Global-in-time existence) Let n = 1,  $\sigma \ge 0$ ,  $\rho_0 = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}$ , and  $\rho_0 < \rho < \infty$ . There is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \le \varepsilon$  then (1) has a unique global-in-time mild solution  $u \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$  satisfying  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}} \le 2\varepsilon$ .

## Theorem (B)

(Asymptotic Stability) Let u and v be two solutions obtained from Theorem (A) with initial data  $u_0$  and  $v_0$ , respectively. We have that

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty}|t|^{\vartheta} \|u(\cdot,t)-v(\cdot,t)\|_{(\rho+1,\infty)}=0$$

if only if  $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} |t|^{\vartheta} \|G_{\sigma}(t)(u_0 - v_0)\|_{(\rho+1,\infty)} = 0$ . This last condition holds, in particular, for  $u_0 - v_0 \in L^{(\frac{\rho+1}{\rho},\infty)}$ .

# Some steps in the proof of Thm (A)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solutions)

$$u(t) = G_{\sigma}(t)u_0 - i\lambda \int_0^t G_{\sigma}(t-s)[|u(s)|^{\rho-1}u(s)]ds.$$
 (2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Some steps in the proof of Thm (A)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solutions)

$$u(t) = G_{\sigma}(t)u_0 - i\lambda \int_0^t G_{\sigma}(t-s)[|u(s)|^{\rho-1}u(s)]ds.$$
 (2)

For  $\mu = \sigma \delta$ ,  $\sigma \ge 0$ , and n = 1, Holmer-Marzuola-Zworski (CMP 2007) proved the formula (there are similar ones for the other potentials)

$$G_{\sigma}(t)\phi(x) = e^{it\Delta}(\phi * \tau_{\sigma})(x)\chi^{0}_{+} + \left[e^{it\Delta}\phi(x) + e^{it\Delta}(\phi * \rho_{\sigma})(-x)\right]\chi^{0}_{-}$$
(3)

## Some steps in the proof of Thm (A)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solutions)

$$u(t) = G_{\sigma}(t)u_0 - i\lambda \int_0^t G_{\sigma}(t-s)[|u(s)|^{\rho-1}u(s)]ds.$$
 (2)

For  $\mu = \sigma \delta$ ,  $\sigma \ge 0$ , and n = 1, Holmer-Marzuola-Zworski (CMP 2007) proved the formula (there are similar ones for the other potentials)

$$G_{\sigma}(t)\phi(x) = e^{it\Delta}(\phi * \tau_{\sigma})(x)\chi_{+}^{0} + \left[e^{it\Delta}\phi(x) + e^{it\Delta}(\phi * \rho_{\sigma})(-x)\right]\chi_{-}^{0}$$
(3)

where

$$\rho_{\sigma}(x) = -\frac{\sigma}{2}e^{\frac{\sigma}{2}x}\chi_{-}^{0}, \quad \tau_{\sigma}(x) = \delta(x) + \rho_{\sigma}(x),$$

with  $\chi^0_+$  and  $\chi^0_-$  the characteristic function of  $[0, +\infty)$  and  $(-\infty, 0]$ , respectively.

From (3) and following Ferreira-VillamizarRoa-Silva (PAMS '09), one can obtain the dispersive estimate in Lorentz spaces

$$\|G_{\sigma}(t)f\|_{(p',d)} \le C|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{p}-1)} \|f\|_{(p,d)}.$$
(4)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

From (3) and following Ferreira-VillamizarRoa-Silva (PAMS '09), one can obtain the dispersive estimate in Lorentz spaces

$$\|G_{\sigma}(t)f\|_{(p',d)} \le C|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{p}-1)} \|f\|_{(p,d)}.$$
(4)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

From (4) and Hölder inequality in weak- $L^p$  spaces, one can prove that the nonlinear part  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  of (2) verifies

$$\|\mathcal{N}(u) - \mathcal{N}(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}} \leq K \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}}^{\rho-1} + \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}}^{\rho-1}).$$
(5)

From (3) and following Ferreira-VillamizarRoa-Silva (PAMS '09), one can obtain the dispersive estimate in Lorentz spaces

$$\|G_{\sigma}(t)f\|_{(p',d)} \le C|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{p}-1)} \|f\|_{(p,d)}.$$
(4)

From (4) and Hölder inequality in weak- $L^p$  spaces, one can prove that the nonlinear part  $\mathcal{N}(u)$  of (2) verifies

$$\|\mathcal{N}(u) - \mathcal{N}(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}} \leq K \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}} (\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}}^{\rho-1} + \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}}^{\rho-1}).$$
(5)

Using (4) and (5), one proves that the map

$$\Psi(u) = G_{\sigma}(t)u_0 - i\lambda \int_0^t G_{\sigma}(t-s)[|u(s)|^{
ho-1}u(s)]ds$$

is a contraction on a small ball of  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}_{\vartheta}$ .

Note that the distributions δ and δ' on ℝ<sup>n</sup> are homogeneous of degree −n and −n − 1, respectively.

- Note that the distributions δ and δ' on ℝ<sup>n</sup> are homogeneous of degree −n and −n − 1, respectively.
- ▶ The PDE (1) with  $\mu = \delta$  and  $\mu = \delta'$  has the scaling  $u(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$  when n = 2 and n = 1, respectively.

- Note that the distributions δ and δ' on ℝ<sup>n</sup> are homogeneous of degree −n and −n − 1, respectively.
- ▶ The PDE (1) with  $\mu = \delta$  and  $\mu = \delta'$  has the scaling  $u(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{\rho-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$  when n = 2 and n = 1, respectively.
- In the case μ = δ', if a homogeneous function of degree -<sup>2</sup>/<sub>ρ-1</sub> belonged to 𝔅<sub>0</sub> then one could prove existence of self-similar solutions and asymptotic self-similar ones by means of Theorem (A) and (B).

- Note that the distributions δ and δ' on ℝ<sup>n</sup> are homogeneous of degree −n and −n − 1, respectively.
- ▶ The PDE (1) with  $\mu = \delta$  and  $\mu = \delta'$  has the scaling  $u(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{\rho-1}} u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$  when n = 2 and n = 1, respectively.
- In the case μ = δ', if a homogeneous function of degree -<sup>2</sup>/<sub>ρ-1</sub> belonged to 𝔅<sub>0</sub> then one could prove existence of self-similar solutions and asymptotic self-similar ones by means of Theorem (A) and (B).
- The case μ = δ for n = 2 is more delicate. Here, besides needing homogeneous data in E<sub>0</sub>, one would need the dispersive estimate (4) with n = 2 which is not known to be true.

(Local-in-time solutions) Let n = 1,  $1 < \rho < \rho_0$ ,  $d_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1})$ , and  $d_0 < \zeta < \frac{1}{\rho}$ .

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

(Local-in-time solutions) Let n = 1,  $1 < \rho < \rho_0$ ,  $d_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1})$ , and  $d_0 < \zeta < \frac{1}{\rho}$ .

For  $0 < T < \infty$ , consider the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}^{T}$  of all Bochner measurable functions  $u : (-T, T) \to L^{(\rho+1,\infty)}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{T}}_{\zeta}} = \sup_{-T < t < T} |t|^{\zeta} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{(\rho+1,\infty)}.$$

(Local-in-time solutions) Let n = 1,  $1 < \rho < \rho_0$ ,  $d_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1})$ , and  $d_0 < \zeta < \frac{1}{\rho}$ .

For  $0 < T < \infty$ , consider the Banach space  $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}^{T}$  of all Bochner measurable functions  $u : (-T, T) \to L^{(\rho+1,\infty)}$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{T}}_{\zeta}} = \sup_{-T < t < T} |t|^{\zeta} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{(\rho+1,\infty)}.$$

A local-in-time existence result in  $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}^{T}$  can be proved for (1) by considering  $u_{0} \in L^{(\frac{\rho+1}{\rho},\infty)}(\mathbb{R})$  and small T > 0.

For  $n \ge 1$ , we prove local existence in a framework outside  $L^2$  for potentials  $\mu$  nondecaying at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and also consider periodic solutions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

For  $n \ge 1$ , we prove local existence in a framework outside  $L^2$  for potentials  $\mu$  nondecaying at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and also consider periodic solutions.

We consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{I}=[\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{ee}=\{f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n):\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}\subset BC(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ ,

For  $n \ge 1$ , we prove local existence in a framework outside  $L^2$  for potentials  $\mu$  nondecaying at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and also consider periodic solutions.

We consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{I}=[\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{ee}=\{f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n):\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}\subset BC(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\widehat{f} ~\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  , and its periodic version

$$\mathcal{I}_{per} = \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n) : \widehat{f} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)\}$$

with the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ .

For  $n \ge 1$ , we prove local existence in a framework outside  $L^2$  for potentials  $\mu$  nondecaying at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and also consider periodic solutions.

We consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{I}=[\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^{ee}=\{f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n):\widehat{f}\in\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}\subset BC(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

with norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|\widehat{f} ~\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  , and its periodic version

$$\mathcal{I}_{per} = \{ f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n) : \widehat{f} \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n) \}$$

with the norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{l^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)}$ .

In general  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  may not belong to  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , nor to  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $p \neq \infty$ . In particular,  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  may have infinite  $L^2$ -mass. Also,  $\mu \equiv 1$  then  $\hat{\mu} = \delta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Our local-in-time well-posedness result in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$  reads as follows.

Theorem (C) (Periodic case) Let  $1 \le \rho < \infty$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ . There is T > 0 such that the IVP (1) has a unique mild solution  $u \in L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$  satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in(-T,T)} \left\| u(\cdot,t) \right\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} \leq 2 \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}$$

Our local-in-time well-posedness result in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$  reads as follows.

Theorem (C) (Periodic case) Let  $1 \le \rho < \infty$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ . There is T > 0 such that the IVP (1) has a unique mild solution  $u \in L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$  satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in(-T,T)}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}\leq 2\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}$$

Moreover, the data-map solution  $u_0 \rightarrow u$  is Lipschitz continuous from  $\mathcal{I}_{per}$  to  $L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$ .

Our local-in-time well-posedness result in  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{I}}$  reads as follows.

Theorem (C) (Periodic case) Let  $1 \le \rho < \infty$ ,  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{per}$ . There is T > 0 such that the IVP (1) has a unique mild solution  $u \in L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$  satisfying

$$\sup_{t\in(-T,T)}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}\leq 2\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}$$

Moreover, the data-map solution  $u_0 \rightarrow u$  is Lipschitz continuous from  $\mathcal{I}_{per}$  to  $L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$ .

(Nonperiodic case) Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}$ . The same conclusion of item (1) holds true by replacing  $\mathcal{I}_{per}$  by  $\mathcal{I}$ .

# Some steps of the proof of Thm (C)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solution)

$$u(t) = S_{per}(t)u_0 + B_{per}(u) + L_{\mu,per}(u),$$
(6)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Some steps of the proof of Thm (C)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solution)

$$u(t) = S_{per}(t)u_0 + B_{per}(u) + L_{\mu,per}(u),$$
(6)

where the operators are defined via Fourier transform in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n)$ :

$$S_{per}(t)u_0 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{u}_0(m) e^{-4\pi^2 i |m|^2 t} e^{2\pi i x \cdot m}, \tag{7}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\widehat{L_{\mu,per}(u)}(m,t) = -i \int_0^t e^{-4\pi^2 i |m|^2 (t-s)} (\widehat{\mu} * \widehat{u})(m,s) ds \quad (8)$$

and

# Some steps of the proof of Thm (C)

The IVP is formally converted to (mild solution)

$$u(t) = S_{per}(t)u_0 + B_{per}(u) + L_{\mu,per}(u),$$
(6)

where the operators are defined via Fourier transform in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n)$ :

$$S_{per}(t)u_0 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{u}_0(m) e^{-4\pi^2 i |m|^2 t} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{m}},\tag{7}$$

$$\widehat{L_{\mu,per}(u)}(m,t) = -i \int_0^t e^{-4\pi^2 i |m|^2 (t-s)} (\widehat{\mu} * \widehat{u})(m,s) ds \quad (8)$$

and

$$\widehat{B_{per}(u)}(m,t) = -i\lambda \int_0^t e^{-4\pi^2 i|m|^2(t-s)} (\underbrace{\widehat{u} * \widehat{u} * \dots * \widehat{u}}_{\rho-times})(m,s) ds,$$
(9)

where the symbol \* denotes the discrete convolution

$$\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}(m) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{f}(m-\xi) \widehat{g}(\xi).$$

A basic tool is the Young inequality for measures and discrete convolutions:

$$\|\mu * \nu\|_{\mathcal{M}} \le \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{M}}$$
(10)  
  $\|f * g\|_{l^{1}} \le \|f\|_{l^{1}} \|g\|_{l^{1}}.$ (11)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A basic tool is the Young inequality for measures and discrete convolutions:

$$\|\mu * \nu\|_{\mathcal{M}} \le \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M}} \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{M}}$$
(10)  
  $\|f * g\|_{l^{1}} \le \|f\|_{l^{1}} \|g\|_{l^{1}}.$ (11)

The operator  $L_{\mu,per}$  can be estimated as

$$\begin{split} \|L_{\mu,per}(u)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} &= \left\|\widehat{L_{\mu,per}(u)}\right\|_{l^{1}(\mathbb{Z}^{n})} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} |(\widehat{\mu} * \widehat{u})(m,s)| \, ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\widehat{\mu}\|_{l^{1}(\mathbb{Z}^{n})} \|\widehat{u}(\cdot,s)\|_{l^{1}(\mathbb{Z}^{n})} \, ds \\ &\leq T \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})} \, . \end{split}$$

By elementary convolution properties and Young inequality,

$$\left\| \underbrace{\left( \widehat{u} * \widehat{u} * \dots * \widehat{u} \right)}_{\rho-\text{times}} - \underbrace{\left( \widehat{v} * \widehat{v} * \dots * \widehat{v} \right)}_{\rho-\text{times}} \right\|_{l^{1}(\mathbb{Z}^{n})}$$

$$\leq \left\| \left[ (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) * \widehat{u} * \dots * \widehat{u} + \dots + \widehat{v} * \widehat{v} * \dots * (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right]_{l^{1}(\mathbb{Z}^{n})}$$

$$\leq \left\| (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right\|_{l^{1}} \left\| \widehat{u} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} + \left\| (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right\|_{l^{1}} \left\| \widehat{u} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-2} \left\| \widehat{v} \right\|_{l^{1}} + \dots$$

$$+ \left\| (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right\|_{l^{1}} \left\| \widehat{u} \right\|_{l^{1}} \left\| \widehat{v} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-2} + \left\| (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right\|_{l^{1}} \left\| \widehat{v} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1}$$

$$\leq K \left\| (\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}) \right\|_{l^{1}} \left( \left\| \widehat{u} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} + \left\| \widehat{v} \right\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \|B_{per}(u)(t) - B_{per}(v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{-4\pi^{2}i|\xi|^{2}(t-s)} \left[ \underbrace{(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u} * ... * \widehat{u})}_{\rho-times} - \underbrace{(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v} * ... * \widehat{v})}_{\rho-times} \right] ds \right\|_{l^{1}} \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{t} \|\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}\|_{l^{1}} \left( \|\widehat{u}\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} + \|\widehat{v}\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} \right) ds \\ &\leq KT \| u - v \|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})} \left( \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})}^{\rho-1} + \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})}^{\rho-1} \right). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \|B_{per}(u)(t) - B_{per}(v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{t} e^{-4\pi^{2}i|\xi|^{2}(t-s)} \left[ \underbrace{(\widehat{u} * \widehat{u} * ... * \widehat{u})}_{\rho-times} - \underbrace{(\widehat{v} * \widehat{v} * ... * \widehat{v})}_{\rho-times} \right] ds \right\|_{l^{1}} \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{t} \|\widehat{u} - \widehat{v}\|_{l^{1}} \left( \|\widehat{u}\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} + \|\widehat{v}\|_{l^{1}}^{\rho-1} \right) ds \\ &\leq KT \|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})} \left( \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})}^{\rho-1} + \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{I}_{per})}^{\rho-1} \right). \end{split}$$

Now one can show that

$$\Psi(u) = S_{per}(t)u_0 + B_{per}(u) + L_{\mu,per}(u)$$
(12)

has a fixed point in  $L^{\infty}((-T, T); \mathcal{I}_{per})$  for T > 0 small enough.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー もんぐ

► Let us denote by I<sub>0</sub> the subspace of I whose elements have Fourier transform with no point mass at the origin x = 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- ► Let us denote by I<sub>0</sub> the subspace of I whose elements have Fourier transform with no point mass at the origin x = 0.
- ► Y. Giga at all (IMUJ '08 and Meth. Appl. Anal '05) showed local solvability for Coriolis-Navier-Stokes equations in I<sub>0</sub>.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ► Let us denote by I<sub>0</sub> the subspace of I whose elements have Fourier transform with no point mass at the origin x = 0.
- ► Y. Giga at all (IMUJ '08 and Meth. Appl. Anal '05) showed local solvability for Coriolis-Navier-Stokes equations in I<sub>0</sub>.
- ► As far as we know, the analysis on spaces I and I<sub>per</sub> seems to be new in the context of dispersive equations, and in particular for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation µ = 0.

In ℝ<sup>n</sup>, Theorem (C) provides a framework for NLS type equations that contains functions with high oscillation and infinite L<sup>2</sup>-mass (but with finite local one).

- In ℝ<sup>n</sup>, Theorem (C) provides a framework for NLS type equations that contains functions with high oscillation and infinite L<sup>2</sup>-mass (but with finite local one).
- ► For instance,  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e^{2\pi i x \cdot b_j}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j| < \infty$  and  $(b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  can grow arbitrarily fast as  $j \to \infty$ . These functions are called almost periodic.

- In ℝ<sup>n</sup>, Theorem (C) provides a framework for NLS type equations that contains functions with high oscillation and infinite L<sup>2</sup>-mass (but with finite local one).
- ► For instance,  $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e^{2\pi i x \cdot b_j}$  where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j| < \infty$  and  $(b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  can grow arbitrarily fast as  $j \to \infty$ . These functions are called almost periodic.
- ► The approach used by us could be employed to treat (1) with |u|<sup>ρ-1</sup> u and ρ odd, instead of u<sup>ρ</sup>. For that, it would be enough to write |u|<sup>ρ-1</sup> u as

$$\left[\left(\left|u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{2}}u\right]^{\wedge} = \left(\underbrace{\widehat{u}\ast\widehat{u}\ast\ldots\ast\widehat{u}}_{\frac{\rho-1}{2}-times}\ast\left(\underbrace{\widehat{\overline{u}}\ast\widehat{\overline{u}}\ast\ldots\ast\widehat{\overline{u}}}_{\frac{\rho-1}{2}-times}\right)\ast u$$

and to note that  $\overline{\widehat{u}}(\xi) = \overline{\widehat{u}}(-\xi)$  and  $\|\overline{u}(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}} = \|u(\xi)\|_{\mathcal{I}_{per}}$ .

In n = 1, Grünrock (IRMN '05) and Grünrock-Herr (SIAM '08) proved that the cubic NLS and DNLS equations are LWP in a space based on Fourier transform in the continuous and periodic cases, respectively.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- In n = 1, Grünrock (IRMN '05) and Grünrock-Herr (SIAM '08) proved that the cubic NLS and DNLS equations are LWP in a space based on Fourier transform in the continuous and periodic cases, respectively.
- ► For DNLS, they used the norms  $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$  with  $2 \le p < \infty$  and  $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{u}(\xi) \|_{l^p}$  with  $2 , where <math>s \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .

- In n = 1, Grünrock (IRMN '05) and Grünrock-Herr (SIAM '08) proved that the cubic NLS and DNLS equations are LWP in a space based on Fourier transform in the continuous and periodic cases, respectively.
- ► For DNLS, they used the norms  $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$  with  $2 \le p < \infty$  and  $\|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{u}(\xi) \|_{L^p}$  with  $2 , where <math>s \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .
- For NLS (continuous case), Grünrock (IRMN '05) used the norm ||⟨ξ⟩<sup>s</sup> û(ξ)||<sub>L<sup>p</sup>(ℝ)</sub> with 1
- ► Comparing with the continuous case for NLS in n = 1, the space I is not contained in the above ones, and in fact

$$\|\widehat{u}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq C \|\langle \xi \rangle^{s} \widehat{u}(\xi)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})},$$

くしゃ ( 雪 ) ( 雪 ) ( 雪 ) ( 雪 ) ( 雪 ) (

for  $1 and <math>s > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ .

# Thank you for your attention

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?